Editor’s note: Career Choices features a psychiatry resident/fellow interviewing a psychiatrist about why he or she has chosen a specific career path. The goal is to inform trainees about the various psychiatric career options, and to give them a feel for the pros and cons of the various paths.

In this Career Choices, Saeed Ahmed, MD, Addiction Psychiatry Fellow at Boston University Medical Center/Boston University School of Medicine, talked with Paul S. Appelbaum, MD, Elizabeth K. Dollard Professor of Psychiatry, Medicine, and Law, and Director, Center for Law, Ethics, and Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons. Dr. Appelbaum is currently Chair of the DSM Steering Committee for the American Psychiatric Association, and Co-chair of the Standing Committee on Ethics of the World Psychiatric Association. He performs forensic evaluations in civil and criminal cases, and treats patients with a broad variety of illnesses, including depression, anxiety, and adjustment problems.

Dr. Ahmed: What made you pick the forensic psychiatry track, and how did your training lead you towards this path?

Dr. Appelbaum: I was a debater in high school and college, and a fair number of our topics dealt with legal issues, which I’d always found intriguing. However, on entering medical school, I had assumed that I would need to leave those interests behind me. During the first week of medical school, though, we were all asked to select a behavioral science elective for the semester, and on the list was “Law and Psychiatry.” I didn’t know what it involved, but it sounded interesting, so I signed up. The class was taught by Dr. Alan Stone, a professor at Harvard Law School and the most important figure in the academic study of legal issues in psychiatry. By the end of the first class—on the Friday afternoon of my first week in medical school—I was so excited by the things we were discussing that I pretty much decided this was what I wanted to focus on in my career.

Later in medical school, I joined a research project looking at violence on the inpatient psychiatric units, as a way of broadening my knowledge of the field. During residency—we’re talking about the late 1970s, which was a time of great legal ferment about regulation of psychiatric practice—I persuaded my attending psychiatrist to join me in studying patients who were exercising their newly granted right to refuse treatment. That’s how Dr. Tom Gutheil and I started working together, a collaboration that continues today, with the publication of the 5th edition of our text, Clinical Handbook of Psychiatry and Law.
was also able to get foundation funding to do a study of patients’ decision-making capacities, a topic that has remained a focus of my research to the present day. During my final year of residency, I was able to create a chief residency in legal psychiatry, which allowed me to take law school courses, pursue my research, and supervise the more junior residents in their forensic evaluations.

Today, most people headed toward careers in forensic psychiatry take a fellowship after residency, but [such fellowships] were few and far between in 1980. So I went to Alan Stone for advice, and he said, “Go work with Loren Roth in Pittsburgh for 5 years, and you’ll be able to do anything you want after that.” I tracked down Loren Roth, then the leading empirical researcher on issues related to law and psychiatry, explained that Alan Stone had said I should go work with him, and somehow it all worked out. My first faculty job was with his Law and Psychiatry Program at the University of Pittsburgh.

Dr. Ahmed: What are some of the pros and cons of working in forensic psychiatry?

Dr. Appelbaum: It may sound at first as though forensic psychiatry is a narrow subspecialty of psychiatry. But the field is actually immense, and the diversity of experiences that it provides is one of the big pros of a career in forensic psychiatry. On the academic side of the field, topics of research interest include prediction and management of violence, involuntary commitment and treatment, informed consent and decisional capacity, privacy and confidentiality of psychiatric treatment, criminal responsibility, and correctional treatment. Clinically, forensic psychiatrists perform a variety of evaluations, ranging from competence to consent to treatment, testamentary capacity, emotional harms in tort cases, psychiatric malpractice, sexual harassment and work-related disability, to competence to stand trial, voluntariness of confessions, insanity defense, and pre-sentencing assessments. Indeed, after nearly 40 years in the field, I still occasionally get asked to do evaluations of a type that I’ve never done before. Increasingly, forensic psychiatrists are involved in treating offenders, both in correctional facilities and in outpatient settings. Most people trained in forensic psychiatry mix their forensic work with general clinical work, whether inpatient or outpatient. So the flexibility that the field offers to craft a career is one of its great advantages.

On the cons side, a career in forensic psychiatry can mean a life of what Alan Stone called “moral peril.” By that he meant that forensic psychiatrists face constant ethical challenges as they perform evaluations and provide testimony. One challenge is to stay grounded in the evidence base of psychiatry and resist the temptation to draw conclusions that may seem “right” but that go beyond what psychiatric knowledge can actually support. Making that challenge even more difficult in private forensic practice is knowing that the party for whom one is working has specific interests that they are hoping you will support, and very definite ideas about what they want your conclusions to be. It may be in your financial interest to accommodate them, but in the long run, your reputation is your most valuable asset. Staying honest both to one’s beliefs and to the broader evidence base in psychiatry is an ongoing challenge, but a critical necessity.

Dr. Ahmed: Based on your personal experience, what should one consider when choosing a forensic psychiatry program?

Dr. Appelbaum: Having supervised forensic psychiatry fellowships for roughly 30 years, I think one of the most important aspects of a training program is its breadth. Given the diversity of the field, a program with a limited number of training sites that focuses on a restricted set of evaluations (usually related to criminal law) will not offer a fellow an optimal training experience. Diverse
placements with a variety of evaluations to be performed under the supervision of experienced forensic psychiatrists, and without excessive service demands, are key aspects of a top-notch program. In addition, not everything can be learned hands-on; didactic training is indispensable. Fellows should be offered sufficient background on legal issues and the research base in forensic psychiatry to ground their subsequent work. Finally, for many fellows their time in fellowship is the last opportunity they may have to engage in research or other scholarly projects under supervision, another valuable component of a training program. A good question to ask of any program: What are your former fellows doing now? If the answers don’t reflect the career path you hope to pursue, that’s not the program for you.

Dr. Ahmed: What are some of the career options and work settings for forensic psychiatrists?

Dr. Appelbaum: One of the advantages of forensic psychiatry is the plethora of work settings available. People headed to private practice can often combine clinical practice with forensic work, a necessity when starting one’s career and waiting to establish steady referral pipelines. Psychiatrists with forensic training are in demand in correctional facilities (which often pay higher salaries than comparable jobs in psychiatric facilities), forensic hospitals, and court clinics. Opportunities for academic involvement include directing fellowship programs, teaching residents, and conducting research. With regard to research, although funding is harder to come by than, for example, for studies of the biology of major psychiatric syndromes, determined psychiatrists can often find ways of framing questions related to forensic issues so that they appeal to funders’ priorities. As one gains experience, opportunities for consultation for law enforcement agencies, intelligence agencies, and federal and state mental health agencies may be available. It is rare for a forensic psychiatrist to do just one of these things; most forensic psychiatrists engage in a mix of types of work, including general clinical work. The possibilities are manifold.

Dr. Ahmed: What are some of the challenges in working in this field?

Dr. Appelbaum: I talked already about some of the ethical challenges in forensic psychiatry. In addition, as a subspecialty that applies psychiatric knowledge to legal questions, forensic psychiatry requires its practitioners to stay up-to-date with both advances in psychiatry and changes in the law, not to mention variations across jurisdictions. This is not an area of specialization in which one can pay attention during fellowship and then coast through a career. In my view, it’s also critical for a good forensic psychiatrist to be an excellent clinician; forensic cases frequently offer diagnostic challenges that require the highest level of clinical skills to address. Finally, for people early in their careers, it can take a few years before they are well-enough known to get a steady flow of private forensic cases. So having a plan B during that career phase will be essential.

Dr. Ahmed: Where do you see forensic psychiatry going?

Dr. Appelbaum: Forensic evaluations will need to incorporate new assessment techniques and new knowledge—although not before they have been demonstrated to be valid and reliable. I can foresee increased use of specialized neuroimaging assessments, genomic and other “omic” testing, and measurement of other neurophysiologic parameters. As we know more about the etiology of psychiatric disorders, that will impact everything from evaluations of causation in emotional harms cases to conclusions about which divorcing parent may be better able to handle primary custody of...
a child. I think there will be exciting opportunities in the coming decades to integrate growing scientific knowledge about psychiatric illnesses into forensic psychiatry.

**Dr. Ahmed:** What advice do you have for those contemplating a career in forensic psychiatry?

**Dr. Appelbaum:** Residents thinking about undertaking training in forensic psychiatry should come to one of the annual meetings of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law, the major professional organization of forensic psychiatrists, which takes place every October. That will give them a good feel for the scope of the field and the types of work available. Many residencies offer rotations in forensic psychiatry, another opportunity to learn about the field, and even in programs that don’t, highly motivated residents are often able to arrange electives working with forensic psychiatrists in the community. Doing a research project in the area is another great way to learn more about the field. The more you know before you make a decision, the better that decision will be.

**Clinical Point**

Forensic psychiatry requires its practitioners to stay up-to-date with both advances in psychiatry and changes in the law.