This Q&A highlights changes to the ADA’s 2017 Standards of Care to help you fine-tune your approach to patients who have, or are at risk for, atherosclerotic CV disease.

More than 29 million Americans have diabetes, and each year another 1.7 million are given the diagnosis.\(^1\) Prediabetes is even more common; over one-third of US adults ages 20 years and older, and more than half of those who are ages 65 and older, have attained this precursor status, representing another 86 million Americans.\(^1\)

Because the evidence base for the management of diabetes is rapidly expanding, the American Diabetes Association’s (ADA) Professional Practice Committee updates its Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes annually to incorporate new evidence into its recommendations. The 2017 Standards of Care are available at: professional.diabetes.org/jfp.\(^2\)

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality for people with diabetes, and is the largest contributor to the direct and indirect costs of the disease.\(^2\) As a result, all patients with diabetes should have cardiovascular (CV) risk factors, including dyslipidemia, hypertension, smoking, a family history of premature coronary disease, and the presence of albuminuria, assessed at least annually.\(^2\) Numerous studies have demonstrated the efficacy of controlling individual CV risk factors in preventing or slowing ASCVD in...
Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality for the 29 million Americans with diabetes, and is the largest contributor to the direct and indirect costs of diabetes.

**Screening**

*Since ASCVD so commonly co-occurs with diabetes, should I routinely screen asymptomatic patients with diabetes for heart disease?*

**No.** The current evidence suggests that outcomes are NOT improved by screening people before they develop symptoms of ASCVD, and widespread ASCVD screening has not been shown to be cost-effective. Cardiac testing should be reserved for those with typical or atypical symptoms or those with an abnormal resting electrocardiogram (EKG).

**Lifestyle modification**

*What are the benefits of lifestyle interventions?*

The benefits include not only lost pounds, but improved mobility, physical and sexual functioning, and health-related quality of life. Recommend that all overweight patients with diabetes take advantage of intensive lifestyle interventions focusing on weight loss through decreased caloric intake and increased physical activity as per the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial. Although the intensive lifestyle intervention in the Look AHEAD trial did not decrease CV outcomes over 10 years of follow-up, it did improve control of CV risk factors and led to people in the intervention group taking fewer glucose-, blood pressure (BP)-, and lipid-lowering medications than those in the standard care group.

**Hypertension/BP management**

*When should I initiate hypertension treatment in patients with diabetes?*

Nonpharmacologic therapy is reasonable in people with diabetes and mildly elevated BP (>120/80 mm Hg). If systolic blood pressure (SBP) is confirmed to be >140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) is confirmed to be >90 mm Hg, the ADA recommends initiating pharmacologic therapy along with nonpharmacologic strategies. For patients with confirmed office-based BP >160/100 mm Hg, the ADA advises initiating lifestyle modifications as well as 2 pharmacologic medications (or a single pill combination of agents).

*What is the recommended BP target for patients with diabetes and hypertension?*

These patients should be treated with a
A new lifestyle recommendation in this year’s ADA Standards states that periods of prolonged sitting should be interrupted every 30 minutes with a period of physical activity.

A 2012 meta-analysis of randomized trials involving adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and comparing intensive BP targets (≤130 mm Hg SBP and ≤80 mm Hg DBP) with standard targets (≤140-160 mm Hg SBP and ≤85-100 mm Hg DBP) found no significant reduction in mortality or nonfatal MIs associated with more intense BP control. There was a statistically significant 35% relative risk (RR) reduction in stroke with intensive targets, but lower BP was also associated with an increased risk of hypotension and syncope.

The 2010 Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial, which randomized 5518 patients with T2DM at high risk for ASCVD to either a target SBP of <120 mm Hg or 130 to 140 mm Hg, found that the patients with the lower SBP target did not benefit in the primary end point (a composite of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and CV death), but did benefit from nominally significant lower rates of total stroke and nonfatal stroke.

Based on these data, the ADA Standards of Care suggest that, “more intensive BP control may be reasonable in certain motivated, ACCORD-like patients (40-79 years of age with prior evidence of CVD or multiple CV risk factors) who have been educated about the added treatment burden, side effects, and costs of more intensive BP control and for patients who prefer to lower their risk of stroke beyond what can be achieved with usual care.”

Another major study, the 2015 Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT) trial, demonstrated that treating patients with hypertension to a target SBP <120 mm Hg compared to the usual target of <140 mm Hg resulted in a 25% lower RR of the primary outcome (a composite of MI,

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Recommended statin intensity*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD risk factor(s)</td>
<td>Moderate or high (see TABLE 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-75 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD risk factors</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS and LDL cholesterol ≥50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) or patients with a history of ASCVD who cannot tolerate high-dose statins</td>
<td>Moderate plus ezetimibe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;75 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD risk factors</td>
<td>Moderate or high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCVD</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS and LDL cholesterol ≥50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) or patients with a history of ASCVD who cannot tolerate high-dose statins</td>
<td>Moderate plus ezetimibe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS, acute coronary syndrome; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

*In addition to lifestyle therapy.

1ASCVD risk factors include LDL cholesterol ≥100 mg/dL (2.6 mmol/L), high blood pressure (>140/90 mm Hg), tobacco use, chronic kidney disease, albuminuria, and family history of premature ASCVD.

2Represents a change from the 2016 Standards of Care, when this read >50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L).
In people with diabetes at high risk for ASCVD and/or with albuminuria, ACE inhibitors and ARBs do reduce ASCVD outcomes and the progression of kidney disease.

### TABLE 2
What constitutes high-intensity vs moderate-intensity statin therapy?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-intensity statin therapy (lowers LDL cholesterol by ≥50%)</th>
<th>Moderate-intensity statin therapy (lowers LDL cholesterol by 30% to &lt;50%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin 40-80 mg</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10-20 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin 20-40 mg</td>
<td>Fluvasatin XL 80 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lovastatin 40 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pitavastatin 2-4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pravastatin 40-80 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosuvastatin 5-10 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simvastatin 20-40 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDL, low-density lipoprotein; XL, extended release.

*Once-daily dosing.

People with diabetes who have hypertension should be treated with lifestyle modification and pharmacologic therapy to a target blood pressure of <140/90 mm Hg.

albumin/creatinine ratios ≥30 mg/g, as these medications are associated with a reduction in the rate of kidney disease progression.

The use of both an ACE inhibitor and an ARB in combination is not recommended.25,26 For patients treated with ACE inhibitors, ARBs, or diuretics, serum creatinine/estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and serum potassium levels should be monitored.

What are the recommended lifestyle modifications for patients with diabetes and hypertension?

Regular exercise and healthy eating are recommended for all people with diabetes to optimize glycemic control and lose weight (if they are overweight or obese). For patients with hypertension, the DASH diet (available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/health-topics/topics/dash/) is effective at lowering BP. The DASH diet emphasizes reducing sodium intake, increasing potassium intake, limiting alcohol intake, and increasing physical activity. Specifically, sodium intake should be restricted to <2300 mg/d and patients should consume approximately 8 to 10 servings of fruits and vegetables per day and 2 to 3 servings of low-fat dairy per day. Alcohol should be limited to 2 drinks per day for men and one drink per day for women.

Most adults with diabetes should perform 150 minutes per week of moderate to vigorous exercise, spread over at least 3 days/week. In addition, it is recommended that resistance exercises be performed at least 2 to 3 days/week. Prolonged inactivity is detrimental to health and should be interrupted with activity every 30 minutes.27

Finally, as a part of lifestyle management for all patients with diabetes, smoking cessation is important, as is attention to stress, depression, and anxiety.

Is there an advantage to nighttime dosing of antihypertensive medications?

Yes. Growing evidence suggests that there is an ASCVD benefit to avoiding nocturnal BP dipping. A 2011 RCT of 448 participants with T2DM and hypertension showed a decrease in CV events and mortality during 5.4 years of follow-up if at least one antihypertensive medication was taken at bedtime.28 As a result of this and other evidence,29 consider administering one or more antihypertensive medications at bedtime, although this is not a formal recommendation in the ADA Standards of Care.

Are there any additional issues to be aware of when treating patients with diabetes and hypertension?

Yes. Sometimes patients who have had diabetes for many years have significant orthostatic hypotension secondary to autonomic neuropathy. Postural changes in BP and pulse may require adjustment of BP targets. Home BP self-monitoring and 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring may indicate white-coat or masked hypertension.

Lipid management

What is the current evidence for lipid treatment in diabetes?

Lipid abnormalities are common in people with diabetes and contribute to the overall high risk of ASCVD in these patients. Subgroup analyses of patients in large trials with diabetes30 and trials involving patients with diabetes31 have shown significant improvements in primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD with statin use. A 2008 meta-analysis of 18,686 people with diabetes showed a 9% reduction in all-cause mortality and a 13% reduction in vascular mortality for each 39-mg/dL reduction in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol.32 Absolute reductions in mortality are greatest in those with highest risk, but the benefits of statin therapy are clear for low- and moderate-risk individuals with diabetes, too.33,34 As a result, statins are the medications of choice for lipid lowering and CV risk reduction and should be used in addition to lifestyle management.

Who should get a statin, and how do I choose the optimum dosage?

Patients ages 40 to 75 years with diabetes but without additional ASCVD risk factors should receive a moderate-intensity statin, according to the ADA (see TABLES 1 and 2). For those with additional CV risk factors, a high-intensity statin should be considered.
The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association ASCVD risk calculator (available at: http://www.cvriskcalculator.com/) may be useful for some patients, but generally, risk is already known to be high for most patients with diabetes. For patients of all ages with diabetes and established ASCVD, high-intensity statin therapy should be added to lifestyle modifications.35-37

For patients with diabetes who are <40 years with additional ASCVD risk factors, few clinical trial data exist; nevertheless, consider a moderate- or high-intensity statin and lifestyle therapy. Similarly, for patients >75 years who have diabetes and no additional ASCVD risk factors, consider a moderate-intensity statin and lifestyle modifications. For older adults with additional ASCVD risk factors, consider high-intensity statin therapy.35-37

**Statins and cognition.** It should be noted that published data have not demonstrated an adverse effect of statins on cognition.38 Statins, however, have been linked to an increased risk of developing diabetes,39,40 although the absolute increase in risk is small, and much smaller than the benefit derived from preventing the development of coronary disease.

**What should I do for my patients who can’t tolerate statins?**

Try a lower dose or a different statin before eliminating the class. Research has shown that even small doses (eg, rosuvastatin 5 mg) have some benefit.31

**How do combination treatments figure into the current treatment of lipids in patients with diabetes?**

- **Fenofibrate.** The ADA does not recommend automatically adding fenofibrate to statin therapy because the combination is associated with increased risks for abnormal transaminase levels, myositis, and rhabdomyolysis. In the ACCORD trial, the combination of fenofibrate and simvastatin did not reduce the rate of fatal CV events, nonfatal MIs, or nonfatal strokes compared with simvastatin alone.42 That said, a subgroup analysis suggested a benefit for men with both a triglyceride level ≥204 mg/dL (2.3 mmol/L) and an HDL cholesterol level ≤34 mg/dL (0.9 mmol/L).42 For this reason, the combination of a statin and fenofibrate may be considered for men who meet these laboratory parameters. In addition, consider medical therapy for triglyceride levels ≥500 mg/dL to reduce the risk of pancreatitis.

- **Ezetimibe.** Recommendations regarding ezetimibe are based on the IMPROVE-IT (Improved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International Trial), a 2015 RCT including over 18,000 patients that compared treatment with ezetimibe and simvastatin to simvastatin alone.43 Individuals in the trial were ≥50 years of age and had experienced an ACS within the preceding 10 days. In those with diabetes, the combination of moderate-intensity simvastatin (40 mg) and ezetimibe (10 mg) significantly reduced major adverse CV events with an absolute risk reduction of 5% (40% vs 45%) and an RR reduction of 14% over moderate-intensity simvastatin (40 mg) alone. Based on these results, patients with diabetes and a recent ACS should be considered for combination therapy with ezetimibe and a moderate-intensity statin. The combination should also be considered in patients with diabetes and a history of ASCVD who cannot tolerate high-intensity statins.43

**Should total cholesterol and LDL levels be used as targets with statin treatment?**

**No.** Statin doses have primarily been tested against placebo in clinical trials, rather than testing to specific target LDL levels, suggesting that the initiation and intensification of statin therapy be based on a patient’s risk profile.35 When maximally tolerated doses of statins do not lower LDL cholesterol by more than 30% from the patient’s baseline, there is currently no good evidence that combination therapy would be helpful, so regular monitoring of lipid levels has limited value. A lipid profile that includes levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglycerides should be obtained at initial medical evaluation, at diagnosis of diabetes, and every 5 years thereafter or before the initiation of statin therapy. Ongoing testing may be appropriate in individual circumstances and to monitor for adherence to, or efficacy of, therapy.
**Recommend daily aspirin therapy to patients ages ≥50 years who have diabetes and at least one additional cardiovascular risk factor, but no bleeding risk.**

**Niacin.** The ADA currently does not recommend niacin in combination with a statin because of lack of efficacy on major ASCVD outcomes, possible increased risk of ischemic stroke, and adverse effects.44

**What are the recommendations for the use of PCSK-9 inhibitors?**

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK-9) inhibitors (ie, evolocumab and alirocumab) may be considered as adjunctive therapy to statins for patients with diabetes at high risk for ASCVD events who require additional lowering of LDL cholesterol. They may also be considered for those in whom high-intensity statin therapy is indicated, but not tolerated.

**Antiplatelet agents**

Who should take aspirin for primary prevention of CVD?

Both women and men ages ≥50 years who have diabetes and at least one additional CV risk factor (family history of premature ASCVD, hypertension, tobacco use, dyslipidemia, or albuminuria) should consider taking daily aspirin therapy (75-162 mg/d) if they do not have an excessive bleeding risk.45,46 The most common dose in the United States is 81 mg. This recommendation is supported by a 2010 consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association, and the American College of Cardiology.47

**Should patients with diabetes and heart disease receive antiplatelet therapy?**

Yes. The evidence is clear that people with known diabetes and ASCVD benefit from aspirin therapy, according to the 2017 Standards of Care. Clopidogrel 75 mg/d is an appropriate alternative for patients who are allergic to aspirin. Dual antiplatelet therapy (a P2Y12 receptor antagonist and aspirin) should be used for as long as one year after an ACS and may have benefits beyond this period.48

**Established heart disease**

Are there specific recommendations for patients with diabetes and CHD?

According to the ADA Standards, there is good evidence that both aspirin and statin therapy are beneficial for patients with known ASCVD, and that high-intensity statin therapy should be used. In addition, consider ACE inhibitors to reduce the future risk of CV events. In patients with a prior MI, continue beta-blocker therapy for at least 2 years post event.49

**Which medications should I avoid, or approach with caution, in patients with congestive heart failure (CHF)?**

Thiazolidinediones. The 2017 Standards of Care state that patients with diabetes and symptomatic congestive heart failure should not receive thiazolidinediones, as they can worsen heart failure status via fluid retention. As such, they are contraindicated in patients with class III and IV heart failure.50

DPP-4 inhibitors. The studies on DPP-4 inhibitors and heart failure have had mixed results. The 2013 SAVOR-TIMI (Saxagliptin Assessment of Vascular Outcomes Recorded in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction) 53 trial52 showed that patients treated with saxagliptin were more likely to be hospitalized for heart failure than those taking placebo (3.5% vs 2.8%, respectively). However, the 2015 EXAMINE (Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin vs Standard of Care)53 trial and the 2015 TECOS (Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes with Sitagliptin)54 trial evaluated heart failure and mortality outcomes in patients with alogliptin and sitagliptin, respectively, compared to placebo, and did not show a relationship to heart failure.

Metformin may be used in people who have T2DM and stable CHF if their eGFR remains >30 mL/min; it should be withheld from patients with unstable heart failure and those who are hospitalized with CHF.

Are there antihyperglycemic medications that reduce CV morbidity and mortality in those with established ASCVD?

Yes. This year’s ADA Standards indicate that certain glucose-lowering medica-
tions—specifically empagliflozin (a sodium-glucose cotransporter [SGLT]-2 inhibitor) and liraglutide (a glucagon-like peptide [GLP]-1 receptor agonist)—have been shown to be beneficial for those with established CVD. According to the 2017 Standards of Care, “In patients with longstanding suboptimally controlled T2DM and established ASCVD, empagliflozin or liraglutide should be considered, as they have been shown to reduce CV and all-cause mortality when added to standard care.” The studies that provide support for their use are summarized below. Ongoing studies are investigating the CV effects of other agents in these drug classes.

**Empagliflozin.** The 2015 EMPA-REG OUTCOME (Empagliflozin Cardiovascular Outcome Event Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients) study was a randomized double-blind study of empagliflozin vs placebo and usual care in patients with diabetes and established CVD. Over a median follow-up of 3.1 years, treatment with empagliflozin reduced the aggregate outcome of MI, stroke, and CV death by 14%, reduced CV deaths by 38%, and decreased deaths from any cause by 32%. In December 2016, the FDA announced a new indication for empagliflozin: to reduce the risk of CV death in adult patients with T2DM and CVD.56

**Liraglutide.** The LEADER (Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results: A Long Term Evaluation) trial was a double-blind randomized trial of liraglutide vs placebo added to usual care in patients with T2DM at high risk for CVD or with existing CVD. More than 80% of the participants had existing CVD including a history of prior MI, cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral vascular disease. After a median follow-up of 3.8 years, the group taking liraglutide demonstrated a 13% reduction in the composite outcome of MI, stroke, or CV death, a 22% reduction in CV death, and a 15% reduction in death from any cause, compared with placebo.57
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