Healthcare is a “dirty” business with widespread effects on the environment. In the US, healthcare is estimated to generate 9.8% of our greenhouse gases and 9% of our particulate matter emissions. Hazardous wastes must be incinerated, emitting carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and volatile substances into the atmosphere. Similarly, hospitals are responsible for 7% of commercial waste use in the US. Conventional water treatment systems are not designed to remove heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, and disinfectants in hospital wastewaters; these compounds have been detected in rivers and streams throughout the US. Similarly, hospitals are not designed to remove heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, and disinfectants in hospital wastewaters; these compounds have been detected in rivers and streams throughout the US.

As hospitalists, we are the directors of inpatient care, yet we only witness brief moments in the lives of our patients and the products we use for their care. For example, we are unaware of particulate matter emissions needed to power an extra imaging study or the contribution of unused materials to a growing landfill. However, pollution, including that from our clinical practice, is detrimental to human health in many ways. Exposure to particulate matter and toxic wastes has been linked to increased rates of reproductive and developmental disorders, cancer, and respiratory disease. Particles <2.5 µm in diameter can diffuse through alveoli into the bloodstream, contributing to heart disease, stroke, and lung disease. Climate change has been linked to a wide range of adverse cardiovascular, respiratory, infectious, and mental health outcomes. These examples of the health impacts of pollution are illustrative but not exhaustive.

The environmental impact of US healthcare accounts for an estimated 470,000 disability-adjusted life years lost; this figure is on par with the burden of preventable medical errors. Clearly, change is necessary at all levels in the healthcare system to address our impact on human health. Fortunately, healthcare systems and hospital administrators have begun to address this issue. This perspective describes sustainability efforts in hospitals and healthcare systems and seeks to motivate hospitalists to build upon these efforts.

Efforts by Hospitals and Healthcare Systems
With the ability to affect change from the top down, health systems are playing an important role in healthcare’s environmental sustainability. Ambitiously, Kaiser Permanente outlined eight environmental stewardship goals, which include becoming net carbon positive and recycling, reusing, or composting 100% of their non-hazardous waste by 2025. The Cleveland Clinic has pledged to become carbon neutral within the next 10 years. Other healthcare systems may follow suite. Many “green” interventions aimed at reducing waste and pollution also protect population health and reduce hospital operating costs.

From 2011 to 2015, a group of Boston Hospitals decreased energy use by 9.4% compared with a historical growth of 1.5% per year and saved over 15 million dollars. Similarly, Virginia Mason reduced landfill waste by reprocessing single-use medical devices, thereby decreasing purchasing costs by $3 million. As part of a regional campaign to protect the St. Croix River, Hudson Hospital and Clinic in Wisconsin saved over $20,000 with new recycling and waste reduction programs. Notably, these programs not only benefit hospitals but also patients and payers by reducing costs of care.

Role of the Hospitalist
These examples illustrate that a greener healthcare industry is achievable. Despite the potential benefits, sustainability efforts in US hospitals are the exception, not the rule, and the...
diffusion of such innovations must be encouraged from within. In addition to the moral case for environmentally sustainable healthcare, such efforts can also improve our quality of care. The conversation around healthcare waste has focused on costs. Yet, examining our waste from a new perspective may reveal new ways to increase the value of patient care while protecting population health. Our communities and families are not immune to the health impacts of pollution, including that generated by our industry. However, predicted effects of climate change including altered patterns of vector-borne disease and frequent hurricanes and forest fires are upon us, affecting our communities, hospitals, and health delivery enterprise today. These challenges represent educational, academic, and economic opportunities that hospitalists should embrace.

**RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION**

**Education and Awareness**

The first step to engagement is to promote awareness of the effects of healthcare waste. Physicians remain one of the most trusted sources of information about the health impacts of climate change. By educating ourselves, we can spread accurate knowledge to our patients and communities. Furthermore, we have the ability to advocate for our hospitals to follow institutions such as Kaiser Permanente and the Cleveland Clinic.

Given that hospitalists play a key role in educating students and residents, they are ideal vehicles for such dissemination. Education should begin in medical and nursing schools, where curricula detailing the importance and impact of healthcare pollution may be introduced. As hospitalists, we should champion such efforts.

**Measurement and Amelioration**

Second, resource use, waste production, and areas for improvement must be systematically quantified. At a national level, the Sustainable Development Unit of the National Health System (NHS) measures and reports water use, waste production, and energy consumption of the UK’s healthcare sector. Consequently, the NHS has surpassed their 2015 goal of reducing their carbon footprint by 10%. By establishing a baseline understanding of our carbon emissions, waste production, and water consumption, areas where physicians and hospitals can target improvement can similarly be identified.

Hospitalists appreciate the practical tradeoffs between clinical work and change efforts; thus, they are critical in establishing pragmatic policies. Physicians, often in collaboration with environmental engineers, have used evidence-based methods such as life-cycle analysis (LCA) to evaluate the environmental impacts of the pharmaceuticals and procedures that they use. An LCA is a cost-benefit analysis that examines multiple parameters of a product, namely, emissions, water use, costs, and waste production, from production to disposal. For example, an LCA of disposable custom packs for hysterectomies, vaginal deliveries, and laryngeal masks found costs savings and environmental benefits from choosing reusable over single-use items and removing unnecessary materials such as extra towels in this setting. By considering the full life cycle of a procedure, LCAs reveal important information about the value and safety of care. LCAs, along with other sustainable design strategies, are tools that can provide hospitalists with new insights for quality improvement.

**Public Reporting**

Numerous physicians are known for educating their communities about the impacts of pollution on health. Recently, a pediatrician brought the presence of lead in Flint’s water supply to the public’s attention, instigating government action and policy change. A group called Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment publishes online summaries of peer-reviewed information on air pollution and health. The Huma Lung Foundation led by a pulmonologist in Chennai, India, is working with a local radio station to report daily air quality measurements along with health advisories for the city.

We must now extend this paradigm to encompass transparency about healthcare’s practices and their impact on health. Indeed, the public is comfortable with this idea: a survey of 1011 respondents in the UK found that 92% indicated that the healthcare system should be environmentally sustainable. One idea may be a public-facing scorecard for hospitals, akin to publicly reported quality metrics. We can look to the example of the SDU and corporations such as Apple, which publicly report their carbon emissions, waste production, water use, and other metrics of their environmental impact. By galvanizing efforts to quantify and report our impact, hospitalists have the opportunity to be a role model for the industry and increase trust within their communities.

**Individual Actions**

What can a hospitalist do today? First, simple measures, like turning off idle electronics, recycling appropriately, or avoiding the use of unnecessary supplies or tests, are behavioral steps in the right direction. Second, just as education, goal setting, and feedback have met success in improving hand hygiene, we must begin the hard work of developing programs to monitor our environmental impact. Individual hospitalist carbon scores may help intensify efforts and spur improvement. Finally, we should learn and celebrate each other’s success. Renewed focus on this topic with increased reporting of interventions and outcomes is needed.

**CONCLUSIONS**

As hospitalists, we must look within ourselves to protect our planet and advocate for solutions that assure a sustainable future. By recognizing that a healthy environment is crucial to human health, we can set an example for other industries and create a safer world for our patients. Eliminating the harm we do is the first step in this process.
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