Combine these screening tools to detect bipolar depression

Used together, brief assessment tools, such as the PDQ9 and MDQ, have greater sensitivity and accuracy than clinician assessment alone.

**THE CASE**

A 35-year-old police officer visited his family physician (FP) with complaints of low energy, trouble sleeping, a lack of enjoyment in life, and feelings of hopelessness that have persisted for several months. He was worried about the impact they were having on his marriage and work. He had not experienced suicidal thoughts. His Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ9) score was 18 (moderately severe depression). He had been seen intermittently for similar complaints and had tried several medications (fluoxetine, bupropion, and citalopram) without much effect. He was taking no medications now other than an over-the-counter multivitamin. He had one brother with anxiety and depression. He said his marriage counselor expressed concerns that he might have bipolar disorder or borderline personality disorder.

● HOW WOULD YOU PROCEED WITH THIS PATIENT?

The prevalence of a spectrum of bipolarity in the community has been shown to be 6.4%. Depressive episodes predominate in bipolar disorder (BPD), with patients spending less time in manic or hypomanic states. Not surprisingly, then, depressive episodes are the most common presentation of BPD.

The depressive symptoms of BPD and unipolar depression, or major depressive disorder (MDD), are similar, making it difficult to distinguish between the disorders. As a result, BPD is often misdiagnosed as MDD. Zimmerman et al point out that “bipolar disorder is prone to being overlooked because its diagnosis is more often based on retrospective report rather than presenting symptoms of mania or hypomania assessment.”

Screen for BPD when depressive symptoms are present

Identifying BPD in a patient with current or
past depressive symptoms requires screening for manic, hypomanic, and mixed episodes (TABLE 1). Two brief, complementary screening tools—the Mood Disorder Questionnaire (MDQ) and the 9-item PHQ9—are helpful in this assessment. Both questionnaires (TABLE 2) can be conveniently completed by the patient in the waiting room or with staff assistance before the physician encounters.

The MDQ screen is for past/lifetime or current manic/hypomanic symptoms (https://www.integration.samhsa.gov/images/res/MDQ.pdf). A positive screen requires answering “Yes” to at least 7 of the 13 items on question 1, answering “yes” on question 2, and answering “moderate problems” or “serious problems” on question 3. One study done in the primary care setting found that the MDQ most accurately identified BPD when using a cutoff of 5 “Yes” answers to question 1. During the clinical interview, discussed in a bit, confirming the positive MDQ items with DSM-5 criteria requires only current presentation or history of 3 symptoms of euphoric manic episode and 4 symptoms of irritable mania for bipolar I and II [may be less for bipolar spectrum]. Although the MDQ was originally developed to be clinician administered, later evidence and clinical experience found that it can also be self-administered.

The PHQ9 screens for current depressive symptoms/episodes (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Home/GetFileById/ID/218).

The value of combining the MDQ and PHQ9. The PHQ9 screens for and assesses the severity of depressive episodes along with clinician assessment, but it cannot distinguish between depressive episodes of MDD or BPD. A brief instrument, such as MDQ, screens for current or past manic or hypomanic symptoms, which, when combined with the clinical interview and patient history, enables detection of BPD if present and avoids erroneously assigning depressive symptoms to MDD.

One cross-sectional study found that the combined MDQ and PHQ9 questionnaires have a higher sensitivity in detecting mood disorder than does routine assessment by general practitioners (0.8 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.71-0.81] vs 0.2 [95% CI, 0.12-0.25]) and without loss of specificity (0.9 [95% CI, 0.86-0.96] vs 0.9 [95% CI, 0.88-0.97]). In this same study, using a structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) as the gold standard, researchers also found the screening tools to be more accurate (Cohen’s Kappa 0.7 [SE=0.05; 95% CI, 0.5-0.7]) than the general practitioner assessment (Cohen’s Kappa 0.2 [SE=0.07 (95% CI, 0.12-0.27)].

Delve deeper with a patient interview

Use targeted questions and laboratory tests to rule out other possible causes of depressed mood, such as substance abuse or medical conditions (eg, hypothyroidism). Keep in mind that even when MDD or BPD is present, other medical disorders or substance abuse could be coexistent. Also ask about a personal or family psychiatric history and assess for suicidality. If family members are available, they may be able to help in identifying the patient’s age when symptoms first appeared or in adding information about the affective episode or behavior that the patient may not recollect.

Beyond a history of manic, hypomanic, or mixed episodes, other symptoms and features may assist in distinguishing between bipolar and unipolar depression or in helping the clinician identify depressed patients who may be at higher risk for, or have, BPD. One meta-analysis of 3 multicenter clinical trials assessed sociodemographic factors and clinical features of BPD compared with unipolar depression. The average age of onset of mood symptoms in individuals with BPD was significantly younger (21.2 years) than that of patients with MDD (29.7 years). Another study found that patients with either bipolar I or bipolar II similarly experienced their first mood disorder episode 10 years earlier than those with MDD.

BPD is often associated with more frequent depressive episodes and a higher number of depressive symptoms per episode than is MDD, as well as more frequent family psychiatric histories (especially of mood disorders), anxiety disorders, alcohol and drug use disorders, and personality disorders. Other factors more closely associated with BPD than MDD include atypical features such as hypersomnia and psychomotor retardation,
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psychotic symptoms during the depressive episode, and more frequent recurrences of depressive episodes.18-22 Also, depressive episodes during the postpartum period indicate a higher risk of BPD than do episodes in women outside the postpartum period, with a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.66 (95% CI, 1.12-2.48).23 The risk is much greater when postpartum depressive episodes are associated with anxiety symptoms (HR=10.15; 95% CI, 7.13-14.46).23

Final thoughts
Increased awareness and screening for BPD in primary care—where most individuals with depressive symptoms are first encountered—should lead to more accurate diagnoses and decrease the years-long gaps between symptom onset and detection of BPD,4,5 thereby improving treatment and patient outcomes. Still, some cases of BPD may be difficult to recognize—particularly patients who present predominantly with depression with past irritability and other hypomanic symptoms (but not euphoria).

A positive MDQ screen should also prompt, if possible, a more detailed clinical interview by a mental health care professional, particularly if there is uncertainty about the diagnosis. Complex cases of BPD may require the expertise of a psychiatrist.

THE CASE
The patient's FP referred him to a psychiatrist colleague, whose inquiry also revealed low mood, anhedonia, hopelessness, difficulty sleeping, low energy, poor appetite, guilt, poor concentration, and psychomotor retardation. The patient had experienced multiple depressive episodes over the past 20 years. Significant interpersonal conflicts frequently triggered his depressive episodes, which were accompanied by mood irritability, racing thoughts, distractibility, increased libido, excessive spending, increased energy, and engagement in risky behaviors.

The patient's score on the MDQ administered by the psychiatrist was positive, with 7 points on question 1. He also had posttraumatic symptoms related to his police work, which were not the main reason for the visit. He had been divorced 3 times. In prior manic episodes, he had not displayed euphoria, grandiosity, psychotic symptoms, or anxiety, but rather irritability with other manic symptoms.

Based on his MDQ results, the clinical interview, and current episode with mixed features, the patient was given a diagnosis of bipolar II disorder. The psychiatrist prescribed divalproex 500 mg at bedtime and scheduled a return visit with a plan for further laboratory monitoring and up-titration if needed.
TABLE 2
Screening tools for depressive and bipolar symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PHQ9</th>
<th>MDQ*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening utility</td>
<td>Current depressive episode</td>
<td>Current or prior mania, hypomania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of questions</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 questions, with 13 items in the first question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient/clinician administered</td>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>Patient or clinician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to administer</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>3-5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.8011-0.8812</td>
<td>0.69 for bipolar I;13 0.3 for bipolar II/NOS;14 0.58 in patients receiving treatment for depression8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.9211</td>
<td>0.67 for bipolar I and II;13 0.93 in patients receiving treatment for depression8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDQ, Mood Disorder Questionnaire (https://www.integration.samhsa.gov/images/res/MDQ.pdf); NOS, not otherwise specified; PHQ9, Patient Health Questionnaire (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Home/GetFileByID/218).

*Standard cutoff for a positive screen for bipolar disorder is 7 out of 13 items posed in question 1. However, in one primary care study, patients with depression were most accurately identified as having bipolar disorder when a cutoff of 5 was used.14

He was also encouraged to follow up with his FP.
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