On September 18, 2014, President Barack Obama signed an executive order that made addressing antibiotic-resistant bacteria a national security policy. This legislation resulted in the creation of a large multidepartmental task force to combat the global and domestic problem of antimicrobial resistance. The order required hospitals and other inpatient health care delivery facilities, including the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), to implement robust antimicrobial stewardship programs that adhere to best practices, such as those identified by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). More specifically, the VA was mandated to take steps to encourage other areas of health care, such as ambulatory surgery centers and outpatient clinics, to adopt antimicrobial stewardship programs. This order also reinforced the importance for VA facilities to continue to develop, improve, and sustain efforts in antimicrobial stewardship.

Prior to the order, in 2012 the Richard L. Roudebush VA Medical Center (RRVAMC) in Indianapolis, Indiana, implemented an inpatient antimicrobial stewardship program that included thrice-weekly meetings to review inpatient records and make stewardship recommendations with an infectious diseases physician champion and clinical pharmacists. These efforts led to the improved use of antimicrobial agents on the inpatient side of the medical center. During the first 4 years of implementation, the program helped to decrease the defined daily doses of broad-spectrum antibiotics per 1,000 patient days nearly 36%, from 532 in 2012 to 343 in 2015, as well as decrease the days of therapy of fluoroquinolones per 1,000 patient days 28.75%, from 80 in 2012 to 57 in 2015. Additionally, the program showed a significant decrease in the standardized antimicrobial administration ratio, a benchmark measure developed by the CDC to reflect a facility’s actual antimicrobial use to the expected use of a similar facility based on bed size, number of intensive care unit beds, location type, and medical school affiliation.

While the RRVAMC antimicrobial stewardship team has been able to intervene on most of the inpatients admitted to the medical center, the outpatient arena has had few antimicrobial stewardship interventions. Recognizing a need to establish and expand pharmacy services and for improvement of outpatient antimicrobial stewardship, RRVAMC leadership decided to establish a pharmacist-led outpatient antimicrobial surveillance program, starting specifically within the emergency department (ED).

Clinical pharmacists in the ED setting are uniquely positioned to improve patient care and encourage the judicious use of antimicrobials for empiric treatment of urinary tract infections (UTIs). The CDC’s Core Elements of Outpatient Antibiotic Stewardship recommends pharmacist availability in the ED setting, and previous literature...
has demonstrated pharmacist utility in ED postdischarge culture monitoring and surveillance.3-5

This article will highlight one such program review at the RRVAMC and demonstrate the need for pharmacist-led antimicrobial stewardship and monitoring in the ED. The purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that pharmacist intervention would be necessary to prospectively check for “bug-drug mismatch” and assure proper follow-up of urine cultures at this institution. The project was deemed to be quality improvement and thereby granted exemption by the RRVAMC Institutional Review Board.

METHODS
This project took place at the RRVAMC, a 229-bed tertiary academic medical center that serves >60,000 patients annually. The RRVAMC ED has 20 beds and received about 29,000 visits in 2014. Patients were eligible for initial evaluation if they had a urine culture collected in the ED within the 91-day period from September 1, 2015 to November 30, 2015. Patients were included for data analysis if it was documented that they were treated for actual or clinically suspected, based on signs and symptoms, uncomplicated UTI, complicated UTI, or UTI with pyelonephritis. Patients did not need to have a positive urine culture for inclusion, as infections could still be present despite negative culture results.6 Patients with positive cultures who were not clinically symptomatic of a UTI and were not treated as such by the ED provider (ie, asymptomatic bacteriuria) were excluded from the study.

Data collection took place via daily chart review of patient records in both the Computerized Patient Record System and Decentralized Hospital Computer Program medical applications as urine cultures were performed. Data were gathered and assessed by a postgraduate year-2 internal medicine pharmacy resident on rotation in the ED who reviewed cultures daily and made interventions based on the results as needed. The pharmacy resident was physically present within the ED during the first 30 days of the project. The pharmacy resident was not within the direct practice area during the final 61 days of the project but was in a different area of the hospital and available for consultation.

Primary data collected included urine culture results and susceptibilities, empiric antimicrobial choices, and admission status. Other data collected included duration of treatment and secondary antibiotics chosen, each of which specifically evaluated those patients who were not admitted to the hospital and were thus treated as outpatients. Additional data generated from this study were used to identify empiric antibiotics utilized for the treatment of UTIs and assess for appropriate selection and duration of therapy within this institution.

RESULTS
During the study period, 722 urine cultures were collected in the ED and were included for initial evaluation. Of these, 127 were treated by the ED provider pursuant to one of the indications specified and were included in the data analysis. Treatment with an antimicrobial agent provided adequate coverage for the identified pathogen in 112 patients, yielding a match rate of 88%. As all included cultures were collected in suspicion of an infection, those cultures yielding no growth were considered to have been adequately covered. Overall, the most frequently grown pathogen identified via culture was *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), appearing in 36 of 127 cultures (28%) (Table). Susceptibility analysis of the *E. coli* cultures revealed a fluoroquinolone susceptibility rate of 75%.

### TABLE Top 5 Urine Culture Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisms</th>
<th>Cultures, No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus faecalis</em></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10,000 CFU, GPC: not identified</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CFU, colony-forming units; GPC, Gram-positive cocci.
Nearly half (45%) of treatment plans included a fluoroquinolone. Of those treated on an outpatient basis, fluoroquinolones were even more frequently used, comprising 50 of 82 (61%) courses. Ciprofloxacin was the most frequently used treatment, used in 39 of the 82 outpatient regimens (48%). Cephalexin was the second most common and was used in 14 outpatient regimens (17%), followed by levofloxacin (15%) (Figure 1). The average duration of treatment for outpatient therapy was 8.3 d (range: 3-14 d). Of the 50 patients who received a fluoroquinolone for outpatient treatment, 33 could have received a narrower spectrum antimicrobial for adequate treatment based on final sensitivities.

Mismatched cultures, or those where the prescribed antibiotic did not provide adequate coverage of the identified pathogens based on susceptibilities, occurred at a rate of 12%. Follow-up on these cultures was determined largely by the patient’s admission status. The majority of mismatched cultures were addressed by the inpatient team (10/15) upon admission. Of those patients who were not admitted, 3 cultures were addressed by the patient’s primary care physician’s clinic, 1 was addressed by a specialty clinic, and 1 was addressed by the pharmacy resident on rotation in the ED. All culture results were addressed on the day the organism’s identification and susceptibility data was released by the microbiology lab (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Empiric antibiotic selection for the treatment of UTIs continues to be the cornerstone of antibiotic management for the treatment of such a disease state. The noted drug-bug match rate of 88% in this study demonstrates effective initial empiric coverage and ensures a vast majority of veterans receive adequate coverage for identified pathogens. Additionally, this rate shows that the current system seems to be functioning appropriately and refutes the author’s preconceived ideas that the mismatch rate was higher at RRVAMC. However, these findings also demonstrate a predominant use of fluoroquinolones for empiric treatment in a majority of patients who could be better served with narrower spectrum agents. Only 2 of the outpatient regimens were for the treatment of pyelonephritis, the only indication in which a fluoroquinolone would be the standard of care per guideline recommendations.

These findings were consistent with a similar study in which 83% of ED collected urine cultures ultimately grew bacteria susceptible...
Empiric antimicrobial selection is based largely on local resistance patterns. Of particular importance is the resistance patterns of *E coli*, as it is the primary isolate responsible for UTIs worldwide. Thus, it is not unexpected that the most frequently isolated pathogen in the current study also was *E coli*. While clinical practice guidelines state that hospital-wide antibiograms often are skewed by cultures collected from inpatients or those with complicated infection, the current study found hospital-wide *E coli* resistance patterns, specifically those related to fluoroquinolone use, to be similar to those collected in the ED alone (78.5% hospital-wide susceptibility vs. 75% ED susceptibility). This was expected, as similar studies comparing *E coli* resistance patterns from ED-collected urine cultures to those institution-wide also have found similar rates of resistance. These findings are of particular importance as *E coli* resistance is noted to be increasing, varies with geographic area, and local resistance patterns are rarely known. Thus, these findings may aid ED providers in their empiric antimicrobial selections.

Ciprofloxacin was the most frequently used medication for the treatment of UTIs. While overall empiric selections were found to have favorable resistance patterns, it is difficult to interpret the appropriateness of ciprofloxacin’s use in the present study. First, there is a distinct lack of US-based clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of complicated UTIs. As the majority of this study population was male, it is difficult to directly extrapolate from the current Infectious Diseases Society of America treatment guidelines for uncomplicated cystitis and apply to the study population. Although recommended for the treatment of pyelonephritis, it is unclear whether ciprofloxacin should be utilized as a first-line empiric option for the treatment of UTIs in males.

![FIGURE 2 Culture Disposition](image-url)
Despite the lack of disease-specific recommendations for ciprofloxacin, recommendations exist regarding its use when local resistance patterns are known.\(^7\) It is currently recommended that these agents not be used when resistance rates of \(E\) \(\text{coli}\) exceed 20% for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or 10% for fluoroquinolones. As this study demonstrated a nearly 25% resistance rate for \(E\) \(\text{coli}\) to fluoroquinolones in both the ED and institution-wide sample populations, it could potentially be ascertained that ciprofloxacin is an inappropriate choice for the empiric treatment of UTIs in this patient population. However, as noted, it is unknown whether this recommendation would still be applicable when applied to the treatment of complicated cystitis and greater male population, as overall rates of susceptible cultures to all organisms was similar to other published studies.\(^8,9\)

While there is scant specific guidance related to the treatment of complicated UTIs, there is emerging guidance on the use of fluoroquinolones, both in general and specifically related to the treatment of UTIs. In July 2016, the FDA issued a drug safety communication regarding the use of and warnings for fluoroquinolones, which explicitly stated that “health care professionals should not prescribe systemic fluoroquinolones to patients who have other treatment options for acute bacterial sinusitis, acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis, and uncomplicated UTIs because the risks outweigh the benefits in these patients.”\(^10\)

This guidance has the potential to impact fluoroquinolone prescribing significantly at RRVAMC. Given the large number of fluoroquinolones prescribed for UTIs, the downstream effects that this shift in prescribing would have is unknown. As most nonfluoroquinolones used for UTI typically are narrower in antimicrobial spectrum (eg, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin, cephalixin, etc) the possibility exists that the match rate for empiric therapy may decrease. Thus, a larger need for closer follow-up to assure adequate coverage may arise, posing a more expanded role for an ED-based pharmacist than was demonstrated in the current study.

This new guidance also may place providers in an area of larger uncertainty with regards to treating both complicated and uncomplicated cystitis. Given the enhanced warnings on fluoroquinolone use, it is unknown whether prescribers would gravitate to utilizing similar options as their peers as alternatives to fluoroquinolones. Similarly, duration of therapy with nonfluoroquinolone agents is unclear as well; as the present study demonstrated a large range in treatment duration of outpatients (3-14 days). While the average observed duration of 8.3 days is intuitively fitting, as the majority of cases were in males, no published guideline exists that affirms the appropriateness of this finding. Such uncertainty and potential inconsistency between providers affords a large opportunity for developing a standardized treatment pathway for the treatment of UTIs to ensure both effective and guideline concordant treatment for patients, specifically with regards to antimicrobial selection and duration of treatment.

It is noteworthy to mention that all follow-ups on positive cultures inadequately covered by empiric therapy took place on the day organism identification and susceptibility data were released. This finding was somewhat surprising, as it was originally theorized that most ED-collected urine cultures were not monitored to completion by a pharmacist and that would be necessary in order to ensure proper follow-up of culture results. What is not clear is whether there is a robust process for the follow-up of urine cultures in the ED. Most of the bug-drug mismatches coincidentally were admitted to the inpatient teams where there were appropriate personnel to follow up and adjust the antibiotic selection. If there was a bug-drug mismatch, and the patient was not admitted, it is unclear whether there is a consistent process for follow-up.

Given the limited number of mismatched cultures that required change in therapy, it is unknown if this role would expand if more narrow-spectrum agents were utilized, theoretically leading to a higher mismatch rate and necessitating closer follow-up. Furthermore, given the common practice of mailed prescriptions at the VA, it is all the more imperative that the cultures be acted upon on the day they were
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identified, as the mailing and processing time
of prescriptions may limit the clinical utility
in switching from a more broad-spectrum
agent, to one more targeted for an identified
organism. While a patient traveling back to
the medical center for expedited prescription
pickup at the pharmacy would alleviate
this problem, many patients at the facility
can travel great distances or may not have readily
available travel means to return to the medical center.

Future Directions
While minimal follow-up was required after
a patient had left the ED, this study dem-
onstrated a fundamental need for further
refinements in antimicrobial stewardship
activities within the ED. Duration of ther-
apy, empiric selection, and proper dosing
are key areas where the ED-based phar-
macy resident was able to intervene during
the time physically stationed in the ED.
The data collected from this study demon-
strated this and was ultimately combined
with other ED-based interventions and uti-
lized as supporting evidence in the phar-
macy service business plan, outlining the
necessity of a full-time pharmacy presence
in the ED. The business plan submission,
along with other ongoing RRVAMC initia-
tives, ultimately led to the approval for clin-
cal pharmacy specialists to expand practice
into the ED. These positions will continue
to advance pharmacy practice within the
ED, while affording opportunities for phar-
macists to practice at the top of their li-
censure, provide individualized provider
education, and deliver real-time antimicro-
bial stewardship interventions. Further-
more, as the majority of the study period
was monitored outside of the ED, the proj-
ect may provide a model for other VA insti-
tutions without full-time ED pharmacists to
implement as a means to improve antimicro-
bial stewardship and further build an ev-
dence base for expanding their pharmacy
services to the ED.

Given the large number of fluoroqui-
nolones utilized in the ED, this study has
raised the question of what prescribing pat-
tterns look like with regards to outpatient UTI
treatment within the realm of primary care
at RRVAMC. Despite the great strides made
with regards to antimicrobial stewardship at
this facility on the inpatient side, no formal
antimicrobial stewardship program exists for
review in the outpatient setting, where liter-
ature suggests the majority of antibiotics are
prescribed. While more robust protocols
are in place for follow-up of culture data in
the primary care realm at this facility, the pre-
scribing patterns are relatively unknown.

A recent study completed at a sim-
ilar VA facility found that 60% of antibi-
otics prescribed for cystitis, pharyngitis,
or sinusitis on an outpatient basis were
guideline-discordant, and CDC guidance
has further recommended specific focus
should be undertaken with regards to out-
patient stewardship practices in the treat-
ment of genitourinary infections. These
findings highlight the need for outpatient
antimicrobial stewardship and presents a
compelling reason to further investigate
outpatient prescribing within primary care
at RRVAMC.

Strengths and Limitations
Strengths of the current study include the
ability to monitor urine cultures in real
time and to provide timely interventions in
the event of a rare bug-drug mismatch. The
evaluation of cultures in this study shows
that the majority of cases had a drug se-
lected with adequate coverage. The study
did assure ED providers that, even though
guidelines may suggest otherwise, urine
cultures drawn in the ED at RRVAMC fol-
lowed similar resistance patterns seen for
the facility as a whole. Moreover, it is valu-
able as it captures data that are directly ap-
plicable to the VA patient population, in
which there is little published data with re-
gards to UTI treatment and no formal VA
guidance.

A primary limitation of this study is the
lack of differentiation between cultures col-
lected from patients with or without in-
dwelling catheters. However, only including
patients who presented with signs and/or
symptoms of a UTI limits the number of cul-
tures that could potentially be deemed as col-
oration, thus minimizing the potential for
nonpathogenic organisms to confound the
results. This study also did not differentiate
the setting from which the patient presented
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(eg, community, extended care facility, etc) that could have potentially provided guidance on resistance patterns for community-acquired UTIs and whether this may have differed from hospital-acquired or facility-acquired UTIs. Another limitation was the relatively short time frame for data collection. A data collection period greater than 91 days would allow for a larger sample size, thus making the data more robust and potentially allowing for the identification of other trends not seen in the current study. A longer data collection period also would have afforded the opportunity to track more robust clinical outcomes throughout the study, identifying whether treatment failure may have been linked to the use of certain classes or spectrums of activity of antibiotics.

CONCLUSION
Despite the E coli resistance rate to ciprofloxacin (> 20%), the empiric treatments chosen were > 85% effective, needing minimal follow-up once a patient left the ED. Nonetheless, a change in prescribing patterns based on recent national recommendations may provide expanded opportunities in antimicrobial stewardship for ED-based pharmacists. Further research is needed in antimicrobial stewardship within this facility's outpatient primary care realm, potentially uncovering other opportunities for pharmacist intervention to assure guideline concordant care for the treatment of UTIs as well as other infections treated in primary care patients.
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