A Single, Post-ACTH Cortisol Measurement to Screen for Adrenal Insufficiency in the Hospitalized Patient
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BACKGROUND: Cosyntropin stimulation testing (CST) is used to screen patients for adrenal insufficiency (AI). Traditionally, CST includes baseline cortisol concentration, the administration of cosyntropin, and cortisol concentration at 30 and 60 minutes poststimulation. There is debate surrounding the utility of testing and cut-off points for concentrations at each time point.

OBJECTIVE: To determine if a single cortisol measurement at 30 or 60 minutes could replace the traditional approach.

DESIGN: We looked retrospectively at inpatients who underwent standard, high-dose CST (n = 702) and evaluated the number of patients who would screen positive for AI by using a single time point (30 or 60 minutes) compared with the traditional CST.

SETTING: A tertiary-care, academic medical center.

PATIENTS: Hospital inpatients present between January 2012 and September 2013.

RESULTS: Of tests, 84.3% were normal, which was defined as at least one cortisol concentration of 18 mcg/dL or higher at any time after stimulation. The average 60-minute concentration was higher than the average 30-minute concentration (P < .001). A single 60-minute concentration is 100% concordant with the full CST in the intensive care unit (ICU) subgroup and 99.6% concordant in floor patients. A single 30-minute concentration is significantly less concordant, 91.9% and 86.9%, in the ICU and floor subgroups, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Overall, a single 60-minute cortisol concentration to screen for AI was 99.7% concordant with the traditional CST, and the positive percent agreement was 98%. Fewer false-positive screens would occur with a single 60-minute cortisol concentration compared with a single 30-minute concentration (P < .001). High-dose CST screening may safely be interpreted with single 60-minute poststimulation cortisol serum concentrations. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2018;13:526-530. Published online first February 8, 2018. © 2018 Society of Hospital Medicine.
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ORIGINAL RESEARCH
Subsequent, small studies of patients who were known to have AI have shown that 60-minute concentrations are as useful as 30-minute concentrations.\textsuperscript{1,4,15} Because 30-minute cortisol concentrations are often lower than 60-minute concentrations, a single 30-minute result may lead to a falsely abnormal test.\textsuperscript{16,17} As such, the use of a single 60-minute test may be more appropriate. Indeed, some authors have suggested that measuring only 30-minute concentrations may lead to overdiagnosis of AI by missing an appropriate response, serum cortisol >18 mcg/dL, at 60 minutes.\textsuperscript{17-19} Peak cortisol concentrations after low-dose cosyntropin stimulation (1 mcg) are seen at 60 minutes, and low-dose stimulation has been shown to be more variable than in the high-dose test (250 mg).\textsuperscript{19,20}

There is a lack of consensus to guide clinicians as to when cortisol should be measured after stimulation, and standard references lack uniformity. Commonly accessed medical resources such as UpToDate and Jameson’s Endocrinology recommend basal, 30-minute, and 60-minute cortisol concentrations, while Williams Textbook of Endocrinology recommends basal and 30-minute concentrations, and the Washington Manual recommends only a single 30-minute concentration.\textsuperscript{21,22} Goldman-Cecil Medicine\textsuperscript{1} recommends checking a cortisol concentration between 30 and 60 minutes and recommends the same 18 mcg/dL cutoff for any test obtained in this time period. As a result of these variable recommendations, all 3 time points are often obtained. Prominent review articles continue to recommend checking all three concentrations while presenting evidence of peak cortisol response at 60 minutes poststimulation.\textsuperscript{11}

In this study, we retrospectively examined CSTs in hospitalized, adult patients both in the intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital ward and/or floor settings to evaluate for significant differences in 30- and 60-minute cortisol concentrations and compare the concordance of screening at each time point alone with traditional CST at all 3 time points. By using these results, we discuss the utility of obtaining 3 cortisol samples.

METHODS

After receiving approval from the institutional review board, we retrospectively reviewed all standard, high-dose CSTs performed on adult inpatients at the Barnes-Jewish Hospital laboratory from January 1, 2012, to August 31, 2013. All patients received the same standard dose (250 mcg cosyntropin, a synthetic ACTH, at a concentration of 1 mcg/mL administered over 2 minutes) regardless of age or weight. We collected patient gender; age; time of baseline cortisol measurement; cortisol results at baseline, 30, and 60 minutes; and patient location (inpatient floor vs ICU status). Tests were included if results from all 3 time points (0, 30, 60 minutes) were available.

Cortisol concentrations were assessed by the laboratory according to the manufacturer’s instructions by using the ADVIA Centaur Cortisol assay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc, Tarrytown, NY), a competitive chemiluminescent immunoassay. For the traditional CST, a cortisol concentration ≥18 mcg/dL at any time point during the test was used to define normal (negative). Patients with a positive (no results >18 mcg/mL) CST were defined as "screen positives" for the purposes of this analysis. Patient location data were available that allowed for an ICU vs non-ICU comparison.

Statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina). Continuous variables were compared by using a 2-tailed Student t test. Percentiles and proportions were compared by using \textit{z} tests or Fisher’s exact tests when appropriate. The concordance of screening at each time point compared with the traditional CST was calculated. Positive percent agreement (PPA) with the traditional CST in each subgroup (ICU and floor) and combined was also evaluated. A \textit{P} value of .05 was used to determine significance.

RESULTS

A total of 702 complete cosyntropin tests on separate patients were included in the analysis. This included 198 ICU patients and 504 non-ICU (floor) patients. Fifty-one percent of patients were male in both the floor and ICU subgroups. The average age of ICU patients was 60.2 ± 13.2 years compared to 57.3 ± 17.3 years for patients on a general medicine floor (P = .02).

Cortisol concentrations obtained at 30 minutes were significantly higher than baseline cortisol concentrations (baseline: 12.8 mcg/dL; 30 minutes: 23.9 mcg/dL; P < .001) for all patients. The average cortisol concentrations obtained at 60 minutes (27.4 mcg/dL) were significantly higher than those at baseline and 30 minutes (P < .001). This trend was seen in each subgroup of patients in the ICU and on the floor (Figure). The average baseline cortisol concentration was higher for ICU patients compared to floor patients (17.6 mcg/dL vs 10.9 mcg/dL, respectively).

By using the traditional CST, there were 26 (13.1%) positive tests for AI in ICU patients and 84 (16.7%) positive tests in floor patients (Table). The Table shows the number of patients who screened positive at each time point and compares the concordance of these conditions.
results with the results of the overall CST in each subgroup (ICU and floor). The 60-minute concentration demonstrated higher concordance with the traditional CST than the 30-minute concentration overall (99.7% vs 88.0%, respectively), in ICU patients (100% vs 91.9%, respectively), and in floor patients (99.6% vs 86.9%, respectively). In the ICU subgroup, 60-minute concentrations were 100% concordant with the traditional CSTs. The PPA of a 60-minute–only screening compared to a traditional CST was better than a 30-minute–only screening overall (98% vs 57%, respectively), in ICU patients (100% vs 62%, respectively), and in floor patients (98% vs 56%, respectively). A 60-minute concentration was required to prevent false-positive screening in 11.7% of all screening tests, but the 30-minute concentration only prevented false-positive screening in 0.3% of screening tests. Of all 30-minute concentrations screening positive for AI alone, 42.7% were negative for AI at 60 minutes. Conversely, only 1.8% of all 60-minute concentrations screening positive for AI alone were negative for AI at 30 minutes. The likelihood of a false-positive screening test at 30 minutes was higher in floor patients (13.1%) than in ICU patients (8.1%). The difference between the false-positive screening rate of a single 30-minute cortisol concentration and a single 60-minute concentration was significant (P < .0001) for both floor and ICU patients. There were no instances of basal cortisol concentrations >18 mcg/dL that were subsequently <18 mcg/dL at 30 and 60 minutes after cosyntropin stimulation.

Only 13% of CSTs were started in the recommended 3-hour window from 6:00 AM to 8:59 AM. The remaining tests were begun outside this window.

**DISCUSSION**

Our investigation of 702 CSTs, the largest retrospective analysis to date, finds that the 60-minute cortisol concentration is significantly higher than the 30-minute concentration in a standard, high-dose CST. Sixty-minute cortisol concentrations are more concordant with traditional CST results than the 30-minute concentrations in both critically ill ICU and noncritically ill floor patients. This suggests that a single 60-minute measurement is sufficient for AI screening. The use of only 30-minute concentrations would lead to a significant increase in false-positive screening tests and significantly lower PPA (98% vs 57%). With peak cortisol concentrations occurring at

---

**TABLE.** Concordance of 30- and 60-Minute Poststimulation Cortisol Concentrations with Traditional CST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Traditional CST</th>
<th>30 minutes</th>
<th>60 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ICU</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional CST</td>
<td>30 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 26</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 26</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordance</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional CST</td>
<td>30 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 84</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 84</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordance</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional CST</td>
<td>30 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 110</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 minutes only</td>
<td>+ 110</td>
<td>- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concordance</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: A total of 702 traditional CSTs were analyzed. Because illness acuity can directly impact cortisol concentrations, results are subdivided into ICU and general floor patients. The traditional CST was considered positive for AI if the cortisol concentrations were <18 mcg/dL at both time points (30 and 60 minutes). This is considered screen positive. The traditional CST was considered negative for AI if the cortisol concentrations were >18 mcg/dL at any time point. This is considered screen negative. Concordance and Percent Positive Agreement results are in bold. The difference between the 30-minute and 60-minute results are significant (P < .001) in all groups. Abbreviations: AI, adrenal insufficiency; CST, cosyntropin stimulation test; ICU, intensive care unit; PPA, positive percent agreement.
60-minutes poststimulation, measuring both 30- and 60-minute poststimulation concentrations does not appear to be of significant clinical benefit. The cost-saving from reduced phlebotomy and laboratory expenses would be significant, especially in locations with limited staff or financial resources. Our findings are similar to other recent results by Chitale et al.,17 Mansoor et al.,16 and Zueger et al.18

Zueger et al.18 evaluated the results of high-dose CST in 73 patients and found 13.7% of patients with inadequate cortisol response (<18 mcg/dL) at 30 minutes had normal concentrations at 60 minutes (>18 mcg/dL). Their study did not identify a single case of normal cortisol concentration at 30 minutes that would have inappropriately screened positive for AI if cortisol concentrations were only checked at 60 minutes. Similarly, they suggested that the 30-minute test did not add any additional diagnostic value; however, no confirmatory testing was performed.

Higher cortisol concentrations at 60 minutes poststimulation may result in improved specificity for AI without reducing sensitivity, but it may also indicate that the cutoff value may need to be raised from 18 mcg/dL at 60 minutes to maintain an appropriate clinical sensitivity. Continued research should resolve this clinical question with gold-standard confirmatory testing. Furthermore, there is debate about an appropriate screening cortisol concentration threshold for critically ill patients. Researchers have compared concentrations of 25 mcg/dL to the traditional 18 mcg/dL to improve sensitivity for AI, but these studies do not involve comparisons to confirmatory testing and often result in reduced specificity.23,24

In our study, only a small fraction of testing was performed in the early-morning hours, when basal cortisol results are of value. There may be indications to perform traditional CSTs with a basal concentration, such as for suspected secondary AI, but testing must be performed in the early morning for interpretable results per current recommendations. However, poststimulation cortisol concentrations may be interpreted regardless of the time of day at which the test was initiated.3

Our study is limited by its scope because it is a retrospective analysis. It is also limited by a lack of gold-standard, clinical confirmatory testing or analysis of other clinical data. Our method of testing and interpretation is considered the screening standard and is often used to plan treatment for AI without confirmatory testing, as ITT is not routinely available for hospitalized patients. The validation of the traditional CST to the ITT has been performed extensively, but a randomized trial comparing a single 60-minute concentration to the ITT may be useful. The exact timing of blood draws may have introduced error in the concentration measurements, and this is critical to screening accuracy. Total serum cortisol is 10% bound to albumin,25 and medications such as steroids or opioids and medical conditions such as obesity or liver disease can affect cortisol concentrations.26 Albumin and free cortisol concentrations that may be used to adjust for these variables were not available.

CONCLUSION

We recommend changes to the standard CST to exclude a basal cortisol concentration unless it is indicated for the evaluation of secondary AI or obtained at the appropriate early-morning hour. A single 60-minute poststimulation cortisol concentration may be an appropriate screening test for AI and demonstrates high concordance with the traditional CST. The use of a 30-minute poststimulation concentration alone may lead to a significantly higher number of false-positive results. Alternatively, the stimulated cortisol threshold used to define a normal test may need to be higher at 60 minutes to maintain the appropriate sensitivity. Further study and comparison with confirmatory testing are needed.
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