Collaborations with Pediatric Hospitalists: National Surveys of Pediatric Surgeons and Orthopedic Surgeons
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To understand characteristics of pediatric hospitalist (PH) involvement in the care of children admitted to surgical services and explore surgeons’ perspectives of PH effectiveness, we conducted a cross-sectional, web-based survey of pediatric surgical (PS) and pediatric orthopedic (OS) surgeons from professional organizations. We used basic analyses to compare responses between the two surgical groups. The initial response rate was 48% (291/606) for PS and 59% (415/706) for OS. Among 185 PS and 212 OS unique programs, PH were routinely engaged (69% and 75%) in the care of surgical patients, particularly in patients with medical complexity (64% PS vs 81% OS; P = .003). PS and OS perceived positive PH impact on care coordination and comorbidity management but little on pain management or length of stay. OS were more likely than PS to view PH involvement positively (64% vs 42%; P < .001). Further research on care models, especially for children with medical complexity, is needed. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2018;13:566-569. Published online first February 6, 2018. © 2018 Society of Hospital Medicine

Pediatric expertise is critical in caring for children during the perioperative and postoperative periods.1,2 Some postoperative care models involve pediatric hospitalists (PH) as collaborators for global care (comanagement),3 as consultants for specific issues, or not at all. Single-site studies in specific pediatric surgical populations4-7 and medically fragile adults8 suggest improved outcomes for patients and systems by using hospitalist-surgeon collaboration. However, including PH in the care of surgical patients may also disrupt systems. No studies have broadly examined the clinical relationships between surgeons and PH.

The aims of this cross-sectional survey of United States pediatric surgeons (PS) and pediatric orthopedic surgeons (OS) were to understand (1) the prevalence and characteristics of surgical care models in pediatrics, specifically those involving PH, and (2) surgeons’ perceptions of PH in caring for surgical patients.

METHODS

The target US surgeon population was the estimated 850 active PS and at least 600 pediatric OS.9 Most US PS (n = 606) are affiliated with the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Section on Surgery (SoSu), representing at least 200 programs. Nearly all pediatric OS belong to the Pediatric Orthopedic Society of North America (POSNA) (n = 706), representing 340 programs; a subset (n = 130) also belong to the AAP SoSu.

Survey Development and Distribution

Survey questions were developed to elicit surgeons’ descriptions of their program structure and their perceptions of PH involvement. For programs with PH involvement, program variables included primary assignment of clinical responsibilities by service line (surgery, hospitalist, shared) and use of a written service agreement, which defines each service’s roles and responsibilities.

The web-based survey, created by using Survey Monkey (San Mateo, California), was pilot tested for usability and clarity among eight surgeons and one PH. The survey had logic points around involvement of hospitalists and multiple hospital affiliations (supplemental Appendix A). The survey request with a web-based link was e-mailed three times to surgical and orthopedic distribution outlets, endorsed by organizational leadership. Respondents’ hospital ZIP codes were used as a proxy for program. If there was more than one complete survey response per ZIP code, one response with complete data was randomly selected to ensure a unique entry per program.

Classification of Care Models

Each surgical program was classified into one of the following three categories based on reported care of primary surgical patients: (1) comanagement, described as PH writing orders and/
or functioning as the primary service; (2) consultation, described as PH providing clinical recommendations only; and (3) no PH involvement, described as “rarely” or “never” involving PH.

Clinical Responsibility Score
To estimate the degree of hospitalist involvement, we devised and calculated a composite score of service responsibilities for each program. This score involved the following seven clinical domains: management of fluids or nutrition, pain, comorbidities, antibiotics, medication dosing, wound care, and discharge planning. Scores were summed for each domain: 0 for surgical team primary responsibility, 1 for shared surgical and hospitalist responsibility, and 2 for hospitalist primary responsibility. Composite scores could range from 0 to 14; lower scores represented a stronger tendency for surgeon management, and higher scores represented a stronger tendency toward PH management.

Data Analysis
For data analysis, simple exploratory tests with $\chi^2$ analysis and Student t tests were performed by using Stata 14.2 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas) to compare differences by surgical specialty programs and individuals by role assignment and perceptions of PH involvement.

The NYU School of Medicine Institutional Review Board approved this study.

RESULTS

Respondents and Programs
Of the estimated 606 PS in the AAP SoSu, 291 (49%) US-based surgeons (PS) responded with 251 (41%) sufficiently completed surveys (Table). The initial and completed survey response rate for pediatric OS through the POSNA listserv was 58% and 48% (340/706), respectively. These respondents represented 185 unique PS programs and 212/340 (62%) unique OS programs in the US (supplemental Appendix B).

Among the unique 185 PS programs and 212 OS programs represented, PH were often engaged in the care of primary surgical patients (Table).

Roles of PH in Collaborative Programs
Among programs that reported any hospitalist involvement (PS, n = 100; OS, n = 157), few (<15%) programs involved hospitalists...
with all patients. Pediatric OS programs were significantly more likely than pediatric surgical programs to involve PH for healthy patients with any high-risk surgery (27% vs 9%; \(P = .001\)). Most PS (64%) and OS (83%) reported involving PH for all medically complex patients, regardless of surgery risk (\(P = .003\)).

In programs involving PH, few PS (11%) or OS programs (16%) reported using a written service agreement.

Care of Surgical Patients in PH-involved programs
Both PS and OS programs with hospitalist involvement reported that surgical teams were either primarily responsible for, or shared with the hospitalist, most aspects of patient care, including medication dosing, nutrition, and fluids (Figure). PH management of antibiotic and nonsurgical comorbidities was higher for OS programs than PS programs.

Composite clinical responsibility scores ranged from 0 to 8, with a median score of 2.3 (interquartile range [IQR] 0-3) for consultation programs and 5 (IQR 1-7) for comanagement programs. Composite scores were higher for OS (7.4; SD 3.4) versus PS (3.3; SD 3.4) programs (\(P < .001\); 95% CI, 3.3-5.5; supplemental Appendix C).

Surgeons’ Perspectives on Hospitalist Involvement
Surgeons in programs without PH involvement viewed PH overall impact less positively than those with PH (27% vs 58%). Among all surgeons surveyed, few perceived positive (agree/strongly agree) PH impact on pain management (<15%) or decreasing LOS (\(\leq 15\%\); supplemental Appendix D).

Most surgeons (\(n = 355\)) believed that PH financial support should come from separate billing (patient fee; 48%) or hospital budget (36%). Only 17% endorsed PH receiving part of the surgical global fee, with no significant difference by surgical specialty or current PH involvement status.

DISCUSSION
This study is the first comprehensive assessment of surgeons’ perspectives on the involvement and effectiveness of PH in the postoperative care of children undergoing inpatient general or orthopedic surgeries. The high prevalence (>70%) of PH involvement among responding surgical programs suggests that PH comanagement of hospitalized patients merits attention from providers, systems, educators, and payors.

Collaboration and Roles are Correlated with Surgical Specialty and Setting
Forty percent of inpatient pediatric surgeries occur outside of children’s hospitals.\(^1\) We found that PH involvement was higher at smaller and general hospitals where PH may provide pediatric expertise when insufficient pediatric resources, like pain teams, exist.\(^2\) Alternately, some quaternary centers have dedicated surgical hospitalists. The extensive involvement of PH in the bulk of certain clinical care domains, especially care coordination, in OS and in many PS programs (Figure) suggests that PH are well integrated into many programs and provide essential clinical care.

In many large freestanding children’s hospitals, though, surgical teams may have sufficient depth and breadth to manage most aspects of care. There may be an exception for care coordination of medically complex patients. Care coordination is a patient- and family-centered care best practice,\(^3\) encompasses integrating and aligning medical care among clinical services, and is focused on shared decision making and communication. High-quality care coordination processes are of great value to patients and families, especially in medically complex children,\(^4\) and are associated with improved transitions from hospital to home.\(^5\) Well-planned transitions likely decrease...
these special populations’ postoperative readmission risk, complications, and prolonged length of stay. Reimbursement for these services could integrate these contributions needed for safe and patient-centered pediatric inpatient surgical care.

Perceptions of PH Impact

The variation in perception of PH by surgical specialty, with higher prevalence as well as higher regard for PH among OS, is intriguing. This disparity may reflect current training and clinical expectations of each surgical specialty, with larger emphasis on medical management for surgical compared with orthopedic curricula (www.acgme.org).

While PS and OS respondents perceived that PH involvement did not influence length of stay, pain management, and resource use, single-site studies suggest otherwise. Objective data on the impact of PH involvement on patient and systems outcomes may help elucidate whether this is a perceived or actual lack of impact. Future metrics might include pain scores, patient centered care measures on communication and coordination, patient complaints and/or lawsuits, resource utilization and/or cost, readmission, and medical errors.

This study has several limitations. There is likely a (self) selection bias by surgeons with either strongly positive or negative views of PH involvement. Future studies may target a random sampling of programs rather than a cross-sectional survey of individuals. Relatively few respondents represent-ed community hospitals, possibly because these facilities are staffed by general OS and general surgeons who were not included in this sample.

CONCLUSION

Given the high prevalence of PH involvement in caring for surgical pediatric patients in varied settings, the field of pediatric hospital medicine should support increased PH training and standardized practice around perioperative management, particularly for medically complex patients with increased care coordination needs. Surgical comanagement, including inter-disciplinary communication skills, deserves inclusion as a PH core competency and as an entrustable professional activity for pediatric hospital medicine and pediatric graduate medical education programs, especially orthopedic surgeries.

Further research on effective and evidence-based pediatric postoperative care and collaboration models will help PH and surgeons to most effectively and respectfully partner to improve care.
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