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Clinical features and diagnosis of small-vessel vasculitis

CAROL LANGFORD, MD, MHS
Director, Center for Vasculitis Care and Research, Department of Rheumatic and Immunologic Diseases, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

ABSTRACT

Vasculitis is inflammation of the blood vessel. Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA), microscopic polyangiitis, and eosinophilic GPA are three small-vessel vasculitic diseases that share certain features, but also have important differences. Distinguishing these entities may influence the diagnostic approach, treatment decisions, and outcomes. Circulating antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) characterize all three diseases, although their immunofluorescence patterns and target antigen specificities differ. While the presence of ANCA can suggest these diagnoses, the diseases are best viewed as separate entities, each defined by specific clinical and histologic characteristics.

Vasculitis refers to inflammation of the blood vessel. This inflammation can cause vessel wall thickening that compromises or occludes the vessel lumen, ultimately resulting in organ ischemia. It also can cause vessel wall attenuation that predisposes to aneurysm formation or breach of the vessel integrity with resultant hemorrhage into the tissue.

Vasculitis can be thought of as a primary or secondary process. Primary vasculitides are unique disease entities without a currently identified underlying cause in which vasculitis forms the pathologic basis of tissue injury. Vasculitis can occur secondary to medication exposure or an underlying illness, including infections, malignancy, cryoglobulinemia, and rheumatic diseases (such as systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren syndrome, or myositis).

Primary vasculitides may differ in epidemiology, such as the age at which they occur and the gender most likely to be affected, their clinical manifestations (including signs, symptoms, and patterns of organ involvement), the diagnostic approach (biopsy, arteriography, and laboratory investigation), treatment (supportive care, glucocorticoids alone, or in combination with other immunosuppressants), and the size of the vessels predominantly affected (large, medium, or small).

Small-vessel vasculitis affects the arteriole, capillary, and venule. An excellent example of small-vessel vasculitis and the one most commonly encountered in clinical practice is cutaneous vasculitis, in which extravasation of erythrocytes from disrupted small vessels is observed histologically, with the clinical sequelae of palpable purpura. Although categorization based on the predominant vessel size that is affected is a helpful way to view these diseases, this is not absolute and each disease has the potential to affect a diverse range of vessels.

This article explores the clinical features and diagnosis of three forms of vasculitis that predominantly affect the small vessels: granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA [Wegener’s granulomatosis]), microscopic polyangiitis (MPA), and eosinophilic GPA (Churg-Strauss syndrome).

GRANULOMATOSIS WITH POLYANGIITIS

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis is characterized by granulomatous inflammation involving the respiratory tract and by vasculitis affecting small- to medium-sized vessels in which necrotizing glomerulonephritis is common.

Wide range of presentations, manifestations

Approximately 90% of patients with GPA have upper or lower airway involvement or both. Upper airway or ear symptoms affect 73% of patients initially and 92% overall. Direct inspection of the nasal membranes shows a cobblestoned or ulcerated appearance, and computed tomography reveals mucosal thickening of the sinuses. In some instances, sinus disease can compromise blood supply to the cartilaginous portion of the nasal septum, leading to nasal septum perforations or collapse of the nasal bridge. Another manifestation of upper airway disease and GPA is subglottic stenosis, a narrowing in the subglottic region located just below the vocal cords. The narrowing typically spans about 1 cm and rarely extends or involves the remainder of the trachea.

The lungs are involved in 85% of patients. Radiographic abnormalities can be diverse and include bilateral pulmonary nodular infiltrates, single or multiple cavities, and bilateral ground glass infiltrates as can be seen in pulmonary hemorrhage (Figure). Bronchoscopy may reveal endobronchial stenosis, and pleural disease can occur rarely.

Approximately 20% of patients with GPA may have glomerulonephritis when they first present for medical attention, but it eventually develops in nearly 80% of patients during the disease course. Despite its potential...
for rapid progression, glomerulonephritis presents a diagnostic challenge because it is asymptomatic. It is detected by evidence of proteinuria and an active urine sediment with dysmorphic red blood cells and red blood cell casts.

Ocular involvement occurs eventually in 52% of patients with GPA. Any ocular structure can be affected and ocular involvement can be visually threatening. The more prominent ocular manifestations include scleritis/episcleritis or orbital disease.

Cutaneous manifestations, observed in 46% of patients, include verrucous-appearing lesions on the elbow and infarctions in the skin and nail folds. Other rare manifestations can occur, such as pericarditis and cerebral vasculitis.

Although nearly all patients present with upper or lower airway symptoms, the multisystem nature of GPA explains the wide range of presentations and the varying degrees of disease severity.

**Differential diagnosis**

The differential diagnosis in GPA is varied. Particularly in the setting of isolated lung or sinus disease, infection is foremost in the differential diagnosis. Even in the nonimmunosuppressed host, unusual infections such as mycobacteria, histoplasmosis, and other fungal infections should be considered. Lymphadenopathy, rarely seen in GPA, should raise concern for other causes of disease. Lymphoproliferative processes and other neoplasms, other rheumatic diseases, granulomatous disease (ie, sarcoidosis), and other causes of glomerulonephritis (when present) also merit consideration. Differentiation of these entities from GPA is essential because the treatment differs in many instances.

The differential diagnosis for patients who present with midline destructive lesions must include other causes of collapse of the nasal bridge, nasal septum perforation, and possibly palate destruction. Erosions of the hard palate in particular should raise an immediate red flag for entities other than GPA, such as lymphoproliferative diseases; rare infections, particularly if the patient has studied or worked abroad; and cocaine exposure.

**Diagnostic evaluation**

A diagnosis of GPA is typically based on the presence of histologic features in a clinically compatible setting. Diagnostic features include necrosis, granulomatous inflammation, vasculitis, and special stains and cultures negative for microorganisms.

Biopsy sites are determined by evidence of clinical disease affecting a target organ and the likelihood of obtaining diagnostically meaningful findings from that site. One challenge is that biopsies are not always diagnostic. The changes tend to be patchy and the likelihood of a positive yield is associated with the amount of tissue that can be obtained. Tissues from the ear, nose, and throat have a yield of about 20%, depending upon the site and the biopsy size. The highest yield comes from radiographically abnormal pulmonary parenchyma. Although transbronchial biopsies are attractive because they are less invasive than open lung biopsy, they are also far less diagnostic, with fewer than 10% having a positive yield. Because cutaneous vasculitis is observed in many settings, its presence is usually insufficient evidence for diagnosis. The renal histologic appearance is a focal, segmental, crescentic, and necrotizing glomerulonephritis that has few to no immune complexes (pauci-immune glomerulonephritis).

Chest imaging should be performed in any patient in whom GPA is part of the differential diagnosis, since up to one-third of patients may be asymptomatic yet have pulmonary radiographic findings.

Laboratory assessment should include serum chemistries to evaluate renal and hepatic function, complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, measurement of C-reactive protein, and urinalysis. If the urinalysis is positive for blood, microscopy should be performed on fresh urine to look for casts. In the setting of pulmonary-renal manifestations, testing for other
causes, such as antitumor basement antibodies and antinuclear antibodies, should be considered.

Serologic testing for antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) has provided a useful tool in suggesting the diagnosis of GPA. Two forms of ANCA have been identified in patients with vasculitis: ANCA directed against the neutrophil serine protease proteinase-3 (PR3), which results in a cytoplasmic immunofluorescence (cANCA) pattern; and ANCA directed against the neutrophil enzyme myeloperoxidase (MPO), which causes a perinuclear immunofluorescence (pANCA) pattern. Approximately 80% to 95% of ANCA found causes a perinuclear immunofluorescence (pANCA) pattern; and ANCA directed against the neutrophil enzyme myeloperoxidase (MPO), which causes a perinuclear immunofluorescence (pANCA) pattern.4 The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7 The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7 The history of MPA dates to 1866, with the description of periarteritis nodosa. The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7 The history of MPA dates to 1866, with the description of periarteritis nodosa. The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7 The history of MPA dates to 1866, with the description of periarteritis nodosa. The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7 The history of MPA dates to 1866, with the description of periarteritis nodosa. The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis.7

Diagnostic evaluation
The diagnosis of MPA is based on consistent clinical features and compatible histologic findings. The histologic renal lesion is identical to that seen in GPA. Pulmonary disease typically includes capillaritis and is notable for the absence of evidence of immune deposition, in contrast to antitumor basement membrane disease.

Chest imaging is indicated when MPA is part of the differential diagnosis. Computed tomography is the preferred technique, as early alveolar hemorrhage that can occur in MPA may not be visualized on a chest radiograph.

Laboratory assessment should include serum chemistries, complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, measurement of C-reactive protein, and urinalysis. Additional testing should be pursued for other diseases as indicated by the clinical features.

Approximately 40% to 80% of patients with MPA have MPO-pANCA.5 Approximately 15% of patients are MPO-pANCA positive,6 and 0% to 20% are ANCA-negative. As with GPA, ANCA is useful to suggest—but not diagnose—disease in many instances. The absence of ANCA does not rule out MPA.

Microscopic Polyangiitis

The history of MPA dates to 1866, with the description of periarteritis nodosa. The term “microscopic polyarteritis” was introduced in 1948, when glomerular disease was recognized in some patients.8 In 1994, the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference defined MPA as a necrotizing vasculitis with few or no immune deposits that affects small vessels (ie, capillaries, venules, or arterioles). Necrotizing arteritis of small- to medium-sized arteries may be present. Necrotizing glomerulonephritis and pulmonary capillaritis commonly occur.9 MPA shares many clinical features with GPA and is currently said to be distinguished by the absence of granulomatous inflammation.9

Presentations and manifestations
In one assessment of organ system involvement in 85 patients with MPA, investigators observed glomerular syndrome in 82% of patients.10 They also found a high predilection for involvement of the skin, joints, and lungs. Pulmonary hemorrhage is a particularly important manifestation of MPA because it can be immediately life-threatening.

Differential diagnosis
The differential diagnosis for MPA is similar to GPA in the inclusion of other causes of classic pulmonary-renal syndromes, such as antitumor basement membrane disease and systemic lupus erythematosus. Poststreptococcal glomerulonephritis should be considered when the kidney is the predominant organ involved in the absence of lung disease. In the setting of pulmonary infiltrates, infections and neoplasms remain significant in the differential diagnosis.

Eosinophilic GPA

Eosinophilic GPA is a unique entity characterized by eosinophil-rich and granulomatous inflammation involving the respiratory tract and necrotizing vasculitis of small- to medium-size vessels. It is also associated with asthma and eosinophilia.

Different disease phases
Eosinophilic GPA is often thought of as having three phases: prodromal, eosinophilic, and vasculitic.11,12 Although helpful conceptually, these phases may not always be present and may not occur in sequence.

The prodromal phase is characterized by asthma associated with allergic rhinitis with or without polyposis. The eosinophilic phase is characterized by the presence of eosinophilia in the blood and tissue. Eosinophilia is a prominent feature, although accurate detection and assessment can be challenging in the setting of glucocorticoid use for asthma as this normalizes the eosinophil count.

The vasculitic phase distinguishes eosinophilic GPA from other eosinophilic disorders. Features of vasculitis may occur in multiple organ sites, including the nerves, lungs, heart, gastrointestinal tract, and kidneys. In one series of 96 patients, nearly 100% had asthma, and peripheral nervous system involvement in the form of mononeuritis multiplex was present in 72%.12 Cardiac involvement is of particular importance as it is a prominent cause of disease-related mortality. Cardiac manifestations include myocarditis, pericarditis, endocarditis, valvulitis, and coronary vasculitis.
DIAGNOSIS, ANCA TESTING, AND DISEASE ACTIVITY

Differential diagnosis

The differential diagnosis of eosinophilic GPA shares similarities with GPA and MPA, but also includes eosinophilic disorders such as hypereosinophilic syndrome, eosinophilic leukemia, and parasitic diseases.

Diagnostic evaluation

Diagnosis is often based on the presence of asthma, a finding of peripheral eosinophilia (> 1,500 cells/mm³), and the presence of systemic vasculitis involving, ideally, two or more extrapulmonary organs. While histologic confirmation remains ideal, demonstration of characteristic findings on biopsy can be difficult. Glomerular involvement is far less common than in GPA and MPA, but, when present, the renal lesion is identical. Pulmonary histologic findings can be diverse and include the classic “allergic-granuloma” as originally described by Churg and Strauss, as well as isolated granulomatous inflammation, eosinophilic inflammation, or small-vessel vasculitis. Tissue eosinophilia is a prominent finding that typically is seen on biopsies of skin, nerve, and gastrointestinal tissues.

Chest imaging should be performed when eosinophilic GPA is part of the differential diagnosis. Because of the potential for cardiac involvement, a baseline echocardiogram should be obtained. Pulmonary function tests may be useful, particularly in patients who have a strong asthmatic component.

Similar to GPA and MPA, laboratory assessment includes serum chemistries, complete blood count with differential to determine the eosinophil count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, measurement of C-reactive protein, and urinalysis. With the allergic and asthmatic components, immunoglobulin E levels are frequently elevated. Additional testing for other eosinophilic diseases should be pursued as indicated by the clinical features.

Only about 40% of patients are ANCA-positive. Most of these are MPO-pANCA, with PR3-cANCA occurring less commonly. Although some reports have suggested differing clinical patterns of eosinophilic GPA based on ANCA positivity, the presence or absence of ANCA is less helpful in the diagnosis.

DIFFERENTIATION

Despite similarities, GPA, MPA, and eosinophilic GPA are phenotypically unique. Because of differences in management, relapse risk, and outcome, differentiation is important. Several features can help distinguish these three small-vessel vasculitic diseases (Table). For example, upper airway disease, which tends to be necrotizing and destructive in GPA, is allergic in eosinophilic GPA and absent in MPA. Lung disease in MPA tends to be pulmonary hemorrhage, which also can be seen in GPA. In GPA, however, nodular disease that may be cavitary is more common. Asthma is the predominant pulmonary feature in eosinophilic GPA, although parenchymal nodules and hemorrhage also can be seen. While glomerulonephritis is typical in GPA and MPA, it occurs to a much lesser degree in eosinophilic GPA. Cardiac features have particular importance in eosinophilic GPA.

A key histologic difference between GPA and MPA is the presence of granulomatous inflammation in GPA and its absence in MPA under the current nomenclature system.9 Granulomatous inflammation can be seen in eosinophilic GPA, but it is usually accompanied by eosinophils, which are less likely to be present in GPA and MPA.

The predominance of the ANCA immunofluorescence pattern and target antigen differs between GPA and MPA, with ANCA positivity occurring in 38% of patients with eosinophilic GPA.

SUMMARY

Conceptualizing vasculitic disease based on vessel size can be useful, but it is not an absolute definition. Although GPA, MPA, and eosinophilic GPA predominantly affect small- to medium-sized vessels, these disease entities are phenotypically unique, with both shared features and differences. Common to all three

---

**TABLE**

Differential diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Granulomatosis with microscopic polyangiitis (GPA)</th>
<th>Microscopic polyangiitis</th>
<th>Eosinophilic GPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ear, nose, throat</td>
<td>Necrotizing, destructive</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allergic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Nodule, cavity, infiltrate</td>
<td>Infiltrates</td>
<td>Asthma, infiltrates, nodule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+ − ++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++ (mortality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCA</td>
<td>80%–95% PR3</td>
<td>40%–80% MPO</td>
<td>40% MPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%–20% MPO</td>
<td>35% PR3</td>
<td>35% PR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%–20% ANCA (−)</td>
<td>Up to 60% ANCA (−)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANCA = antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; MPO = myeloperoxidase; PR3 = proteinase 3; − = absent; + = relative frequency.
Entitites is the potential for organ- and life-threatening manifestations, particularly involving the lungs, kidneys, nerves, gastrointestinal tract, and heart. All three entities need aggressive immunosuppression for severe disease. Recognition of these entities and the distinctions among them can guide the approach to diagnosis, treatment, and future outcomes.
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**ABSTRACT**

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) detection is a well-known tool for diagnosing small-vessel vasculitis. Its diagnostic utility, however, depends on the methodologic accuracy of the test and the appropriate ordering of testing in the right clinical setting. While ANCA testing is of proven value, the utility of serial ANCA testing is not entirely clear. Correlation of ANCA levels with disease activity and predicted relapse remains unconfirmed. The best gauge of the predictive value of serial testing is to perform long-term serial testing for some individual patients in order to establish a relationship between ANCA level and clinical disease manifestation over time. ANCA antigen specificity can be used to assess prognosis in patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis. Proteinase 3-ANCA is associated with higher mortality, higher relapse rate, and faster renal deterioration compared with myeloperoxidase-ANCA. Overall, ANCA is an important diagnostic and prognostic marker for small-vessel vasculitis and warrants further investigation.

**WHAT IS THE BEST ANCA TEST METHODOLOGY?**

The diagnostic utility of ANCA testing depends on both the methodologic accuracy of the test and the appropriate ordering of tests. Methodologic accuracy comprises
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ANCA results in a characteristic cytoplasmic granular centrally accentuated immunofluorescence pattern, referred to as cANCA (middle), while MPO-ANCA causes a perinuclear immunofluorescence pattern, referred to as pANCA (right).


Equally as important as analytic accuracy is the appropriate ordering of the tests in the right clinical setting. Using a test that is sensitive to the presence of a specific ANCA type accurately identifies the presence of either proteinase 3 (PR3)- or myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA. Once obtained, test results must be evaluated in terms of their relationship to the diagnosis being considered. If the tests are deemed diagnostically useful based on the results, the data can be used to assess the positive and negative predictive value of the tests.

For ANCA-associated vasculitis such as granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA, Wegener’s granulomatosis), microscopic polyangiitis, and eosinophilic GPA (Churg-Strauss syndrome), PR3-ANCA and MPO-ANCA are key findings. On ethanol-fixed neutrophil staining, PR3-ANCA results in a characteristic cytoplasmic granular centrally accentuated staining pattern, referred to as cANCA, while MPO-ANCA causes a perinuclear staining pattern, referred to as pANCA (Figure).

Immunofluorescence or antigen-specific testing—or both?

A definitive diagnosis is more likely if an immunofluorescence staining pattern of cANCA is paired with the antigen specificity of PR3-ANCA, for example, or a perinuclear immunofluorescence pattern (pANCA) is paired with a positive MPO-ANCA. When positive test pairings have been obtained and the patient’s antigen ANCA reactivity is known, subsequent serial ANCA testing with an antigen-specific assay alone may be indicated, because the ANCA types of patients with vasculitis are unlikely to switch between PR3 and MPO during the course of their disease. If matching pairings are not obtained, the diagnostic utility of the tests remains unconfirmed.

Antigen type (PR3 or MPO) is determined through antigen-specific methods that include solid-phase assays and other methods of bringing the specific antigen in contact with the specific antibody in question. There are two categories of solid-phase assays: the enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) and the capture ELISA. In the ELISA methodology, the antigen is directly coated to a plastic plate; in the capture ELISA, an anchor, usually a monoclonal antibody or combination of antibodies, captures the target antigen on the plate. In both ELISA and capture ELISA assays, ANCA contained in the serum sample subjected to testing bind to the immobilized antigen. The amount of ANCA bound to the antigen can then be detected by a secondary antibody that is conjugated with an enzyme that can elicit a color reaction. The intensity of the color reaction is proportional to the amount of ANCA bound to the immobilized antigen.

The ELISA methodology tends to trade off analytic sensitivity for specificity, since the antigen purification process (which allows the ELISA system to increase its specificity) can cause conformational changes to the antigen binding to the plate. This, in turn, causes a loss of some recognition of the conformationally sensitive ANCA.

In capture ELISA, a specific antibody captures the antigen; this stabilizes the conformation, boosts the analytic sensitivity, and allows a gentler purification process since it only captures the antigen in question and then binds it to the plate. This process decreases false-positive test results caused by residual contaminants in the antigen preparation. Analytic sensitivity issues may come into play if the anchoring monoclonal antibody competes for the epitope on the antigen being recognized by the serum antibody in question (ANCA), causing occasional false-negative results.

Another method now applied to commercial ANCA testing involves bead-based multiplex assays. These assays are based on principles similar to the ELISA or capture ELISA methods. In multiplex microsphere technology, the purified antigen is bound to a polystyrene microsphere instead of a plate. The microsphere is then presented to the antibody in question. The bead is then introduced to a secondary antibody labeled with a fluorescent marker (instead of an enzyme) for detection of the antibody. One advantage of this system is that various beads containing different antigens can be introduced to the same serum sample, and then different color reactions can be measured for each bead. Because only one antigen is bound to each microsphere (eg, PR3-ANCA, MPO-ANCA or other specific autoantibodies), only specific
INTERPRETING ANCA RESULTS: Accurate tests, appropriate orders

Two case histories demonstrate how to analyze conflicting test results.

Case 1: 84-year-old woman with nonspecific interstitial infiltrates
An 84-year-old woman presented with nonspecific interstitial infiltrates observed on computed tomography. Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) testing produced these results:

- cANCA  Negative
- pANCA  Negative
- myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA  Negative
- proteinase 3 (PR3)-ANCA  Positive

The conflicting results, positive PR3-ANCA and negative cANCA, represent a mismatch and raise the question of whether the patient has ANCA-associated vasculitis. Because there is a low pretest probability for cANCA/PR3-ANCA–associated disease in this patient, the positive PR3-ANCA result is questionable. Additional analysis reveals that a new lot of reagents had been associated with false-positive results. Validation of antigen-specific test results using PR3-transfected human mast cell line testing shows absence of PR3 antibodies. The finding indicates that the PR3-ANCA–positive test should be ignored as a methodologic artifact causing an analytic specificity problem.

Comment. Conflicting ANCA-testing results must be reviewed with an understanding of the clinical context, awareness of the assays that provided the results, and availability of an alternative verification method. Positive predictive value of the test result depends not only on the method’s accuracy, but also on the appropriate application of the test system. If a test is accurate and the probability of the assumed disease is high, the test result is likely to be reliable. However, if the same accurate test is ordered in a situation where the probability of the disease is low (for example, indiscriminate ordering of tests in low-risk patients), false-negative and false-positive results are more likely despite the accuracy of the test. This patient had a low pretest probability of cANCA/PR3-ANCA–associated disease. If the test had been positive for MPO-ANCA–associated disease, the probability would be higher, as some patients with microscopic polyangiitis and MPO-ANCA are found to have pulmonary interstitial infiltrates or lung fibrosis at the time of diagnosis. Because the PR3-ANCA was positive, however, further analysis was required.

Case 2: 55-year-old Hispanic man with destructive nasal disease and hearing problems
A 55-year-old Hispanic man presented with destructive nasal disease and hearing problems, no systemic symptoms, and no other evidence of vasculitis. Routine testing to rule out limited granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) provided these results:

- pANCA  Positive
- MPO-ANCA  Negative
- PR3-ANCA  Positive

The mismatched pairing of test results indicates a need for further investigation. The patient is shown to have cocaine-induced midline destructive lesions (CIMDL), which are typically positive for elastase antibodies that cause a perinuclear staining pattern on ethanol-fixed neutrophils (pANCA). Coexisting antibodies against multiple antigens can cause positive PR3-ANCA test results. The key test results for CIMDL are: pANCA-positive, MPO-ANCA–negative, human neutrophil elastase (HNE)-ANCA–positive, and possibly PR3-ANCA–positive.

Comment. CIMDL clinically mimics GPA, only with more severe local destruction, fewer systemic symptoms, and no other organ involvement. Generally, ANCA results would be pANCA-positive, MPO-ANCA–negative, possibly PR3-ANCA–positive (50% of the time), and HNE-ANCA–positive. The HNE- and PR3-ANCA types are not simply crossreacting antibodies, but coexisting separate antibodies. This phenomenon also occurs in medication-induced ANCA-associated vasculitis, where multiple reactivities are seen with multiple different antigens.

Complicating the CIMDL diagnosis is the increasingly common cocaine contaminant, levamisole, a well-known immunomodulator that has been associated with ear lobe necrosis, skin necrosis and vasculitis (from microthrombotic vasculopathy of the skin), agranulocytosis, antiphospholipid antibodies that possibly play a role in the microthrombotic vasculopathy, and, commonly, ANCA directed against multiple antigens. Levamisole was withdrawn from the US market in 2000.

WHAT IS THE PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF SERIAL ANCA TESTING?

Persistent changes in ANCA levels in relapsing disease may have some value in predicting outcome. The issues to consider include the methodology used to determine serial ANCA levels, correlations between ANCA and disease activity, and the use of ANCA changes to guide treatment.

antibodies will react to each bead of a specific color. If there is no MPO antibody in the sample, there will be no reaction against the MPO antigen bead; however, if PR3-ANCA is present in the sample, it would react with the PR3 antigen beads. Using this methodology, a single serum sample can be tested for a multitude of autoantibodies at the same time (see “Interpreting ANCA results: Accurate tests, appropriate orders,” page S9).
Does methodology matter when determining serial ANCA levels?

Methodology in serial ANCA testing is probably unimportant as long as the same method is used serially. Analysis of large groups of ANCA-positive patients show a statistically highly significant correlation among results obtained with different detection methods, including immunofluorescence, direct ELISA, or capture ELISA. However, at the individual patient level there is some variability.

Do ANCA levels correlate with disease activity?

In a prospective study, serial ANCA samples obtained during the Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial (WGET) were processed in the same manner (collected every 3 months, mean follow-up of 22 months, uniform handling of samples). All samples were analyzed by capture ELISA, and disease activity was measured by the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s Granulomatosis (BVAS/WG). The results indicated that an increase in PR3-ANCA levels was not a significant predictor of relapse. The frequency of a relapse within 1 year of an increase in PR3-ANCA levels was found to be approximately 50%, a result similar to that reported in several smaller studies of different design and methodology.

Should ANCA changes guide treatment?

The available data regarding serial ANCA testing are limited mostly to PR3-ANCA. Serial ANCA testing has limited value as a guide to treatment and, in general, changes in ANCA levels alone should not be used to guide treatment decisions. In new patients without documented serial ANCA level associations, an increase in PR3-ANCA levels has no reliable predictive value. The existing literature suggests that this lack of association is not dependent on the method used for ANCA detection. For individual patients in whom long-term serial ANCA testing has been performed and a relationship between PR3-ANCA levels and disease activity has been established, serial ANCA testing can have some predictive value and can be used to guide treatment. For example, when remission is achieved by depleting B cells in patients with chronically relapsing GPA, ANCA levels usually go down. After B-cell reconstitution, the ANCA level rises in most patients, and this rise is usually associated with a flare shortly thereafter. A flare can be preempted when this pattern is determined in a specific patient, and preemptive treatment is applied accordingly.

What is the implication of ANCA type?

Available reports consistently suggest that PR3-ANCA is associated with a higher mortality than MPO-ANCA (relative risk [RR], 3.78), and a higher relapse rate. Using remission as the starting point, the number of days from complete remission to first disease flare was plotted for patients with MPO- versus PR3-ANCA in an analysis of long-term data from the Rituximab in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis (RAVE) trial. The resulting curve demonstrated a divergence in the probability of remaining in remission, confirming that remission maintenance is clearly greater in patients with MPO-ANCA than in patients with PR3-ANCA.

The primary end point of the RAVE trial was remission of disease without the use of prednisone at 6 months. There was little difference in end point achieved based on comparison of diagnosis (microscopic polyangiitis or granulomatosis) or treatment arms (rituximab versus cyclophosphamide); however, an analysis of end point data separating the patients by ANCA type showed that the treatment response to rituximab was superior to that of cyclophosphamide among patients with PR3-ANCA, whereas in patients with MPO-ANCA, there was little difference in response associated with either treatment. Regarding the likelihood of attaining an ANCA-negative status after 6 months, again MPO-ANCA patients showed no difference in frequency on either treatment. Among PR3-ANCA-positive patients, 50% in the rituximab arm attained ANCA-negative status compared with only 17% in the cyclophosphamide arm.

Summary

Diagnostic utility of ANCA testing depends on the methodology and clinical setting. Only cANCA/PR3-ANCA and pANCA/MPO-ANCA pairings have positive predictive value for diagnosis of small-vessel vasculitis. Mismatches in results, findings of human neutrophil elastase–ANCA, or identification of multiple positive antigens should be considered in cases of cocaine or drug use.

The clinical utility of serial ANCA testing is unconfirmed. Good data currently exist only for PR3-ANCA, and different drugs may affect ANCA levels in different ways. ANCA type is significant in that PR3-ANCA portends a higher relapse rate and poorer patient outcomes compared with MPO-ANCA.
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Defining disease activity and damage in patients with small-vessel vasculitis
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ABSTRACT

The complexity of small-vessel vasculitis requires repeated evaluations of disease activity and damage. Clinical assessment, including regular restaging of disease, is important for management of therapeutic interventions; similarly, assessment tools must be standardized and validated for use in the clinical trial setting. The Outcome Measures in Rheumatology group promotes validated outcomes measures for use in trials. Validated tools for use in clinical trials include the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score and the Vasculitis Damage Index. In addition, health-related quality of life assessments underscore the importance of patient-ranked issues in assessing and treating vasculitis. Improvements in the clinical treatment of vasculitis will arise from research that is supported by refined and validated assessment tools.

small-vessel vasculitides are complex diseases with highly variable clinical features and are associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. These systemic, multisystem, multiorgan diseases often threaten vital organs with manifestations that include upper airway disease, pulmonary disease, glomerulonephritis, neuropathy, arthritis/arthralgias, malaise/fatigue, eye disease, skin/mucosa irregularities, vascular disease, cardiac disease, and gastrointestinal disease.

Accurate assessment of the patient with vasculitis is a challenge for the clinician and is critical for managing therapeutic interventions throughout the course of the disease. Effective management includes repeated evaluations of the activity and severity of the disease as well as the damage it has caused. These distinct yet overlapping concepts must be measured separately but evaluated as a whole. Additional categorizations of disease course,

Dr. Merkel reported that he has served as a consultant for Actelion Pharmaceuticals US, Inc.; Avila Therapeutics; EMD Serono, Inc.; Human Genome Sciences, Inc.; and Nordic Pharma Group and has received research support from Actelion Pharmaceuticals US, Inc.; Bristol-Myers Squibb; CaridianBCT, Inc.; Celgene Corporation; Genentech, Inc.; and MedImmune, LLC.

This article was developed from an audio transcript of Dr. Merkel’s presentation at the “New Directions in Small-Vessel Vasculitis—ANCA, Target Organs, Treatment, and Beyond” symposium held at Cleveland Clinic on May 4, 2011. The transcript was formatted and edited by Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine staff for clarity and conciseness, and was then reviewed, revised, and approved by Dr. Merkel.

doi:10.3949/ccjm.79.s3.03

Correspondence: Ulrich Specks, MD, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, 200 First St. SW, Rochester, MN 45905; specks.urich@mayo.edu
such as whether it is active (new-onset, persistent, or flare) or in remission, further define the disease and are routinely employed in guiding treatment choices.

The importance of accurately assessing a patient’s clinical status is clear, but it is also important to standardize and quantify vasculitis assessment tools for use in clinical trials. Standardized assessments are needed to:

- Guide clinical trial enrollment criteria
- Describe and compare study populations
- Quantify and measure treatment effectiveness
- Describe long-term outcomes
- Translate standardized assessment tools into clinical practice.

Over the past few decades, improvements in clinical research have resulted in increasingly accurate data obtained from well-designed randomized controlled trials, all of which are based on better clinical assessments. Improving the quality of the assessment tools has improved both the interpretation of trial results and translation of findings into clinical practice.

DISEASE ASSESSMENT

When assessing patients with vasculitis, whether clinically or in the context of a clinical trial, it is essential to differentiate among disease activity, damage, and severity:

- Disease activity, such as active bleeding or mucosal inflammation, is treatable and potentially responsive to therapy.
- Disease damage is generally irreversible and not improved by treating vasculitis. Damage may be caused by the disease itself, its treatment, or a comorbid condition. In general, once damage is identified, it is considered permanent if it remains unchanged for more than 6 months. In the Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial,1 damage that occurred in more than 10% of the cohort included hearing loss; proteinuria (≥ 0.5 g/24 hours); nasal blockage, chronic discharge, or crusting; nasal bridge collapse or septal perforation; glomerular filtration rate at least 50% lower than premorbid baseline; subglottic stenosis; and chronic sinusitis or radiologic damage. Disease-related damage can be addressed; a saddle-nose deformity can respond to plastic surgery, for example, but treating vasculitis will have no effect on therapy.
- Disease severity assesses the intensity of the disease and guides the clinician in gauging how aggressive the therapy should be.

Vasculitis has two primary disease states: remission and active disease. In remission, there is no evidence of active disease. This is often qualified by describing the remission as either complete or partial; it is further defined by introducing an element of time, such as a “sustained” remission of more than 6 months. Active disease is the presence of any ongoing expression of vasculitis that is not caused by disease damage, comorbidity, or treatment. Active disease can be graded as low, medium, or high; if active disease lasts longer than 6 months, it is described as persistent or sustained. Flare, a manifestation of active disease, describes the transition from remission to active disease and is characterized by worsening of disease activity. Flares are graded as nonsevere or severe.

These descriptions of disease status can be further broken down into whether they are occurring “on” or “off” treatment. All of these elements are important and the subtleties and differences are critical in interpreting data for use in the clinical setting or in clinical trials.

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT

Assessing the status of disease for a patient with granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA, Wegener’s granulomatosis [WGI]) or microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) begins with a detailed medical history and physical examination every time the patient is seen. Appropriate laboratory assessments include a complete blood count, tests of renal function, acute phase reactants (possibly as disease markers, but not necessarily to guide therapy), and other laboratory tests as needed. Controversy exists regarding the role of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) testing in assessment of disease activity. Urinalyses are key for assessing activity; if a urine dipstick result is positive, a subsequent microscopic examination should be conducted. Microscopic review may demonstrate red cell casts that a routine laboratory check may not reveal. In addition to spotting de novo hematuria, looking for a change in dipstick results may prove valuable, since hematuria may increase in patients in whom persistent hematuria has already been noted. The change may be due to renal disease from the vasculitis, cyclophosphamide-induced bladder toxicity, a kidney stone, menses, or a variety of other causes, but if the hematuria is not monitored, a key assessment will be missed.

Disease staging through diagnostic imaging of the sinuses, neck, and chest should be performed on a regular basis as appropriate, beginning at the patient’s initial visit. Restaging, in much the same way as an oncologist restages cancer, should take place regularly, since this informs whether to make a major change in therapy (eg, from cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, or rituximab). Restaging will also allow benchmarking of old, new, and changed damage so that when the disease recurs, the existing damage can be differentiated from new lesions. Once the disease has stabilized, imaging can be discontinued.

Consultations with otolaryngologists, ophthalmologists, cardiologists, and other specialists should be sought as needed. Serial audiograms, laryngoscopy, echocardiograms, and other appropriate tests should be performed as required. Biopsies are useful for assessment of patients, particularly at diagnosis, but also when it becomes necessary to reassess the progress of a patient’s disease or to identify a potential infection versus a possible malignancy. Biopsy is particularly helpful for kidney disease. If kidney function is deteriorating without
other evidence of active disease, then repeat biopsy is appropriate to determine whether the deterioration is associated with persistent active disease, the natural history of declining kidney function, or another cause. Patients with vasculitis may develop new comorbidities, particularly infections, so vigilance is always required. Importantly, documentation and awareness of disease-related damage is crucial in order to avoid overtreatment; damage should not be treated if therapy will not change the damage.

**ASSESSING DISEASE ACTIVITY AND DAMAGE**

In the clinical trial setting, GPA and MPA are assessed using the outcomes measures listed in the Table. 

**Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score**

Introduced in 1994, the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) is a single-page checklist that records weighted data on more than 50 items and nine organ systems; the sum of the individual items provides the final score. There have been two revisions of the BVAS; one focuses on GPA (BVAS/WG) and the other, BVAS version 3 (v.3) is more simplified. For all of the BVAS tools, remission is defined as a score of 0. Any score greater than 0 defines active disease. Each system is evaluated as being active or not, with items characterized as more severe being weighted more heavily. The use of the BVAS/WG is illustrated in two patients (see “Assessment with the BVAS/WG,” above).

Each of the three BVAS tools has advantages and disadvantages. All of the tools are validated and fairly easy to use; they are inexpensive; they have been employed successfully in clinical trials; and the results are widely accepted by investigators, industry, and both the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency. The tools miss some variables, however, including biomarkers and the patient’s own input; it takes training to learn how to use the tools; decisions are subjective, since the investigator must decide whether the disease is active; because the tools lack gradation, a listing of hemorrhage, for example, does not consider the degree of severity of the hemorrhage; weighting is potentially inaccurate and open to interpretation; precision and sensitivity are inadequate; and there are multiple versions, although they have been shown to be well correlated.

Every major randomized controlled trial in the past 15 years has used the BVAS or one of its derivatives to define outcomes, but primary outcomes were not defined strictly from the BVAS itself. There were important differences in the trials’ definitions of remission, which is the outcome of interest. For example, some trials allow for minor disease activity concurrent with partial remission, while others require full absence of disease activity to achieve “remission.”
**Vasculitis Damage Index**

The Vasculitis Damage Index (VDI) is a single-page catalog of damage items separated into 11 groupings. Limitations of the index include lack of attribution (to vasculitis, treatment, or comorbidities), gradation, weighting, and patient input (patient-reported outcomes). Revisions to the VDI have been made in the ANCA Vasculitis Index of Damage (AVID), which incorporates an expanded list of damage items, as well as an even more expanded version called the Combined Damage Assessment Index that combines the items from the VDI and AVID. While these tools provide a means to catalog damage by choosing whether an item is present or not, a more data-driven approach to damage assessment is needed that incorporates weighting into the tool.

Damage assessment may be the most important measure in evaluating the patient with vasculitis. In addition to keeping patients alive, one of the main purposes in treating active disease is to prevent damage, maintaining quality of life for the patient for the long term and improving outcomes.

**PATIENT-REPORTED OUTCOMES**

Patients have a different perspective on their disease than that provided by assessment tools or physicians. Because physician and patient ratings are often disparate, health-related quality of life (HRQOL) is an increasingly important outcome measure for patients as well as regulatory agencies. In a 2010 study, structured patient-reported assessments of burden of disease were obtained from 264 patients with vasculitis in the United States, Germany, and the United Kingdom. Patients ranked items in terms of most frequent burdens of disease. Across ages and countries, patients most commonly rated fatigue/energy loss, pain, musculoskeletal disease items; however, patients still suffered from these disease items when their disease was inactive. These disease burdens when their disease was inactive. These disease identified in this study are universally measured in the current assessment tools. Patients with active disease had more of the most commonly listed burden-of-disease items; however, patients still suffered from these burdens when their disease was inactive. These disease burden items are therefore mostly dynamic problems and not simply chronic issues.

Patient ratings differ considerably from physician ratings in terms of importance. For example, patients rate nasal manifestations, weight gain, and some chronic pain and fatigue items higher than renal insufficiency and stroke. There is a clear need to address not only physician-ranked issues, but also patient-ranked issues in assessing and treating vasculitis.

When measuring HRQOL via the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36) in patients with vasculitis, a correlation is noted between QOL and sustained remission. In a study by Tomasson et al, QOL was measured using the SF-36 upon treatment following a flare. In all patients, SF-36 increased dramatically immediately following treatment but then leveled off over time. In patients who achieved sustained remission, SF-36 scores continued to rise from baseline. In patients who did not achieve a sustained remission, the SF-36 scores did not improve. This QOL measure, therefore, captures a value that other assessments do not, further demonstrating its utility as part of the assessment process.

**VALIDATED OUTCOME MEASURES**

Outcome Measures in Rheumatology (OMERACT) is an international group of clinicians, trialists, epidemiologists, biostatisticians, health economists, industry executives, and FDA and European Medicines Agency officials who meet every 2 years to promote data-based validation of outcome measures for a variety of diseases. OMERACT endorses core sets of validated outcomes when data demonstrate veracity, discrimination, and feasibility. For each domain in the vasculitis arena, there is an associated validated instrument: for disease activity, the validated instruments are the BVAS, BVAS/WG, and BVAS v.3; for damage assessment, the instrument is the VDI; for patient-reported outcomes, the instrument is the SF-36; and finally, for mortality, the instrument is death. This core set of measures helps frame how future trials in vasculitis will be standardized and assists in comparing trials, which is particularly important to regulatory agencies.

The tools for disease assessment in vasculitis still need to be refined for activity and damage assessment in order to be more scalable and precise, thereby measuring smaller effects. Patient-reported outcomes and patient perspectives on disease need to be better captured, and reliable biomarkers need to be discovered or further developed. Improved outcome measures must be developed for other types of vasculitis, such as eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Churg-Strauss syndrome), giant cell (temporal) arteritis, and Takayasu arteritis, in order to conduct and report trial results. These outcome measures could also translate into tools that can be used to assess patients and make treatment decisions, thereby helping the clinician at the bedside.
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ABSTRACT

Upper airway manifestations, particularly sinonasal manifestations, are encountered frequently in granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA). Nasal endoscopy often reveals crusting, friable erythematous mucosa, and granulation. Up to 25% of patients may have a “saddle-nose” deformity as cartilage destruction worsens. Treatment is often complicated by loss of mucociliary function and necrosis, leading to refractory symptoms. Culture-directed antibiotics, topical antibiotic and saline irrigations, and occasional debridement of adherent crusts can reduce the frequency of sinonasal exacerbations and improve obstructive symptoms. Surgery should be reserved for patients unresponsive to maximal medical therapy. Saddle-nose reconstruction is possible in highly selected patients and can improve nasal breathing and resolve anosmia. Up to 20% of patients with GPA have subglottic stenosis; patients with respiratory symptoms should undergo laryngoscopy to assess the presence of subglottic narrowing. Although systemic manifestations of GPA are managed by immunosuppressive therapy, most patients with subglottic stenosis of GPA require surgical management (ie, endoscopic dilation, endoscopic or laser excision, surgical resection followed by reconstruction).

DISEASE COURSE

Because head and neck involvement may be associated with a less aggressive form of GPA, outcomes for patients with predominantly head and neck involvement may be better compared with those who have involvement of other systems.2 The natural course of GPA may be indolent or rapidly progressive. Regardless, left untreated, it progresses to a generalized systemic disease that often leads to significant morbidity and likely mortality. Most patients (96%) achieve remission with immunosuppressive therapy, but nearly half (49%) have at least one relapse.1 For this reason, systemic immunosuppressive medications play a dominant role in systemic and localized head and neck disease control. Patients often require maintenance medications along with additional therapies during disease exacerbation.3 Therefore, key partnerships between internists, rheumatologists, and otolaryngologists are paramount in the treatment and follow-up of these patients.

DIAGNOSIS: MAINSTAY IS SEROLOGIC EVALUATION

The diagnostic algorithm for GPA includes infection, lymphoproliferative disease (T-cell lymphoma), systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, sarcoidosis, and other granulomatous diseases such as eosinophilic GPA (Churg-Strauss syndrome), polyarteritis nodosa, and microscopic polyangiitis. Appropriate diagnosis is critical because treatment of these entities varies drastically.4 The mainstay of GPA diagnosis is serologic evaluation for a cytoplasmic pattern of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (cANCA), which are reactive toward proteinase-3 (PR3) or myeloperoxidase (MPO). Testing for cANCA yields a pooled sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 99%. Sensitivity falls significantly (63%) when the disease is in nonacute stages, while the specificity remains high.4 These cANCA test characteristics allow a high positive predictive value for this rare disease.

Biopsy is typically reserved for cases in which serologic ANCA testing is nondiagnostic. Biopsy tissue may be readily accessible from the head and neck, but these biopsies may bear significant false-negative rates.4–6 Diagnosis requires demonstration of palisading granulomas as vascular or extravascular lesions within the upper respiratory tract tissues. The specific site biopsied from within the head and neck has been shown to influence diagnostic yield, with sinonasal biopsies producing the highest yield.

SINONASAL MANIFESTATIONS

The nose and paranasal sinuses are the most frequently affected sites in the head and neck, noted in 64% to 80% of patients. Additionally, the nose is the only site
of involvement in 30% of patients.7 Given the high frequency of sinonasal manifestations, GPA should be considered as a potential diagnosis among patients with persistent sinonasal disease.

Pathophysiology and disease course
The pathophysiologic mechanisms leading to the changes in the sinonasal tract in GPA have not been established. GPA is believed to be an immunologic disease that manifests as a vasculitis of small- and medium-sized vessels. Multiple potential causative factors have been identified, including fibrinoid necrosis of small blood vessels, epithelial granulomas, chronic inflammation, and prior surgical intervention.8,9 The acute and chronic inflammation, coupled with the epithelioid granuloma formation, damages adjacent small- to medium-sized vessels. The vasculitis leads to diminished blood flow and subsequent avascular necrosis, which may promote tissue necrosis and bone destruction. This destructive process typically starts in the midseptum supplied by Kiesselbach plexus and in the turbinates. The process then eventually spreads to the paranasal sinuses.8

Patient evaluation
Examination of the nasal cavities is typically performed by rigid or flexible nasal endoscopy and often reveals nasal crusting, friable erythematous mucosa, granulation, and even signs of sinusitis. All or part of the cartilaginous septum may be involved, leading to significant septal defects. As the degree of cartilage destruction increases, nasal dorsal support decreases, leading to a visible depression of the external nose known as a “saddle-nose” deformity, which is present in 23% of patients with GPA.7,10

Imaging assessment by computed tomography (CT) is needed to establish disease extent and involvement. Atypical findings may include bony erosion and destruction of the septum and turbinates; erosion of bony partitions within the ethmoid sinuses; neo-osteogenesis of the maxillary, frontal, and sphenoid sinuses; and complete bony obliteration of the maxillary, frontal, and sphenoid sinuses.9,11

Clinical presentation
Sinonasal disease indicates the degree of disease activity.12 Clinical findings may vary, but they have a significant impact on quality of life in these patients.13 Most patients with active disease present with nasal crusting (69%), chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) symptoms (61%), nasal obstruction (58%), and serosanguinous nasal discharge (52%).10 Patients may also complain of foul-smelling rhinorrhea, recurrent epistaxis, hypnopiasia, anosmia, and epiphora (from granulomatous compression or obstruction of the lacrimal system). In a series of 120 patients with GPA, Cannady et al found that four (3.3%) patients had mucoceles and three (2.5%) had orbital pseudotumor.10

Any structure in the sinonasal cavity, including mucosa, septum, turbinates, and sinuses proper, may be affected because of the vasculitic involvement of mucosal blood vessels that causes diminished blood flow and subsequent necrosis. The area of the anterior septum supplied by Kiesselbach plexus is the most common site of active nasal disease, which can eventually lead to the common presentation of an anterior nasal septal perforation.

Otologic disease secondary to sinonasal GPA
Otologic involvement is observed in 19% to 38% of patients with GPA.14,15 Most patients with GPA who exhibit otologic symptoms have middle ear or mastoid disease. It typically appears as chronic otitis media (COM) with conductive hearing loss.16 In most cases, the otologic involvement is secondary to Eustachian tube dysfunction caused by the presence of extensive disease in the nasopharynx.

Additionally, chronic mastoiditis can result from direct mastoid involvement with GPA. Facial nerve palsy secondary to infective bony destruction is a rare but repeatedly reported complication of GPA.14,15

Inner ear involvement is a relatively common otologic presentation of GPA. Patients may experience sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) as well as vertigo, which may mimic Cogan syndrome. Importantly, patients may exhibit inner ear involvement with or without middle ear and mastoid disease. The SNHL observed in patients with GPA may be responsive to steroid or immunosuppressive therapy.

Treatment
Refractory CRS in GPA is a complex problem for which aggressive surgical intervention is often counterproductive. Unfortunately, traditional medical therapies are also often inadequate to treat progressive sinonasal symptomatology. As the nasal tissue becomes devascularized, loss of normal mucociliary function aggravates the sinus pathology, and clinical symptoms may worsen. Simple antibiotic regimens used to manage uncomplicated sinusitis are often inadequate in these patients. The subsequent progression to frank necrosis in localized regions creates an intranasal foreign body, allowing bacterial colonization, which is often refractory to antibiotics because of the inability of drug tissue penetration into these devascularized nasal structures.12,17

Medical management must be tailored to be effective in this complex intranasal milieu. Successful treatment requires a multifaceted and often prolonged treatment course. A high index of suspicion should be maintained for Staphylococcus aureus. As a rule, endoscopically obtained cultures should be used to guide antibiotic selection. Several weeks of culture-directed antibiotics followed by topical antibiotic irrigations (eg, mupirocin irrigations) can be useful to reduce the frequency of sinonasal exacerbations.

Frequent saline irrigations using high-volume, high-flow irrigation devices (as opposed to low-volume, low-flow applicators such as nasal spray bottles) can be
an excellent adjunct to maintenance therapy and are effective in clearing debris and augmenting mucociliary clearance in affected nasal cavities and those with septal perforations. Occasional in-office endoscopic debridement of large crusts adherent to intranasal structures or the edges of a septal perforation can also help to improve obstructive symptoms.

**Surgery for refractory cases.** Surgery should be reserved for refractory cases unresponsive to maximal medical efforts or those cases with impending complications (ie, mucoceles). Overall, only 16% of patients with sinonasal GPA required surgical intervention in a large series of 120 patients at our institution. In this series, one-third of all patients had undergone previous functional nasal surgery at an outside institution without resolution of symptoms prior to presentation. Anecdotal evidence suggests that surgery for GPA can contribute to additional scarring and lead to protracted sinonasal symptoms.10,18

The decision to perform surgery is individualized and based on severity of the disease process, patient expectations, and surgeon expertise. In our experience at Cleveland Clinic, functional endoscopic sinus surgery in the setting of GPA is a surgical challenge, given extensive alteration of the sinonasal anatomy from previous surgery, prior and ongoing inflammation, chronic crusting, and scarring. Consequently, it has been our practice to employ conservative efforts prior to consideration of surgery. A complete surgical cure is exceedingly rare, and the patient should be counseled about the possible need for revision surgery and ongoing nonsurgical therapies. Meticulous postoperative care with weekly postoperative debridement, saline or antibiotic irrigations, and culture-directed antibiotics, is essential during the early postoperative recovery phase.

**Management of epiphora.** The most common ophthalmologic findings in patients with GPA include chronic epiphora and orbital pseudotumor. With the advent of advanced endoscopic techniques, the otorhinolaryngologist plays a greater role in the surgical management of these ophthalmologic disease entities. In a series reported by Cannady et al,10 endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy was performed successfully in seven patients, including one revision.

**Nasal reconstruction for saddle-nose deformity: effective in selected patients.** The progressive loss of septal support that occurs with the enlarging anterior septal perforation often results in significant collapse of the cartilaginous midvault of the nose. The tip cartilages in turn also begin to lose projection, resulting in a shortened nose with the characteristic saddle-nose deformity. The psychologic impact of this disfiguring facial abnormality is significant. The loss of midvault support also results in worsening nasal obstruction and increases the incidence of anosmia as the superior nasal vault becomes obstructed. For these reasons, patients often seek referral for potential reconstruction.

Despite the potential benefits, the general consensus in the medical community has been that surgical procedures on the nose should be avoided in GPA patients.17 Most nasal destruction in these patients is the consequence of poor tissue perfusion from the active vasculitis. Poor wound healing, reconstructive graft resorption, and worsening necrosis have been observed in patients who have undergone ill-advised surgical procedures.

These poor outcomes do not, however, preclude the potential for safe and effective surgical intervention. In three small published series, good surgical outcomes were achieved but the procedures were done in very highly selected patients and were modified to address the specific clinical issues seen in GPA patients.19-21 The critical step in achieving a good outcome is working closely with the patient’s rheumatologist to identify an appropriate clinical window during which the patient’s disease process is in a period of relative remission. The second major factor is to modify the surgical techniques to take into account the very poor vascular framework of the recipient nasal bed.

Outcomes include structural correction of the saddle nose, improved nasal breathing, resolution of anosmia, and improved nasal hygiene, leading to improved quality of life (Figure).

**Management of COM.** Because the COM in patients with GPA is frequently secondary to nasopharyngeal disease, systemic control of GPA is the first priority. Systemic control is also the first-line treatment for patients with mixed or sensorineural hearing loss, or with vertigo. For continued or symptomatic middle ear effusions that do not resolve with systemic therapy, placement of a ventilation tube may be considered. In patients with significant hearing loss, hearing amplification devices may be warranted.15,22 Cochlear implant devices in GPA patients are experimental and may pose undue risks of meningitis to the patient.

**SUBGLOTTIC STENOSIS AND TRACHEAL MANIFESTATIONS**

Subglottic stenosis affects 10% to 20% of patients with GPA.1,23,24 Because of its potential life-threatening airway complications, patients should be carefully assessed for this disease manifestation. It may be the only manifestation of GPA or may be part of a spectrum of other disease manifestations. Therefore, the work-up for subglottic stenosis of unknown etiology should always include an evaluation for GPA.

**Pathophysiology and disease course**

The etiology of subglottic stenosis in GPA is not well understood. Theories primarily involve the vulnerability of the subglottic tissues to damage, chronic inflammation, and scarring.25 The combination of vasculitis in the setting of active inflammation may synergistically
produce a hyperactive reparative mechanism in GPA patients that leads to cartilaginous fibrotic scarring and stenosis. Wound healing can be divided into the phases of inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling. An imbalance or exaggerated response of any of these levels (and likely all) produces an abnormal healing response.26 Similarly, each of these phases may be targeted to improve the healing process.

**Patient evaluation**

The presence of subglottic stenosis must be considered in a GPA patient with respiratory symptoms. As part of the routine initial evaluation, an office-based nasopharyngeal/laryngeal endoscopy using a flexible laryngoscope should be performed to assess the presence and severity of luminal airway narrowing. Flexible laryngoscopy reveals a circumferential narrowing of the subglottis. The stenotic tissue may vary from friable with erythematous and inflamed mucosa to a rigid mature fibrotic band, depending on the inflammatory state of the stenosis.

Subclinical stenosis may be identified with routine endoscopy. An appropriate baseline is needed to follow the progression of disease and to adjust the timing of any potential intervention. The ability to digitally record a patient's examination allows further tracking of disease and is commonly used in our practices.

Although flexible fiberoptic examination is critical in diagnosis and follow-up, intraoperative direct laryngoscopy using rigid laryngoscopes and telescopes provides the optimum view of the subglottis. In particular, this view provides greater information on the length and degree of the stenosis and allows evaluation of potential stenotic segments in the inferior trachea.

Spiral CT with 3-dimensional reconstruction of the laryngotracheal lumen and virtual bronchoscopy may provide information that complements laryngoscopy. CT may permit assessment of the entire tracheobronchial pathway. Because 15% to 55% of GPA patients have additional bronchial stenotic segments, assessment of the entire airway is important.

**Clinical presentation**

Diagnosis of GPA in patients younger than 20 years is associated with the development of subglottic stenosis.23,30 The GPA patient with subglottic stenosis may or may not have other active systemic symptoms. The efficacy of systemic therapy often does not correlate with the degree of subglottic stenosis. Importantly, when systemic disease enters remission, the subglottic stenosis may remain due to residual scarring of the subglottis.

Patients with subglottic stenosis may present with hoarseness, cough, wheeze, stridor, or dyspnea on exertion.27,32 The stridor and wheeze may be confused with the wheeze of asthma, often leading to misdiagnosis.

Subglottic stenosis likely begins at a small degree and increases gradually, allowing the patient to adjust his or her breathing pattern until a critical stenotic airway area is reached. Typically, and dependent on their pulmonary health, patients are asymptomatic until about 75% airway stenosis (60% in children).33,34 At this point, symptoms may become evident and correlate with the degree of stenosis, ranging from cough and mild shortness of breath to life-threatening stridor and obstruction. Importantly, as the airway caliber narrows, mucous plugging becomes a greater concern, as it can cause acute stridulous exacerbations and airway obstruction.

A significant proportion of patients with GPA who have subclinical asymptomatic stenosis may not receive laryngeal examination. Patients who have suspicious clinical histories should be referred for evaluation of subglottic stenosis prior to symptom worsening.

Patients with significant (approximately 80%) stenosis can present with respiratory symptoms that may be life-threatening. Because airway management in this setting is substantially more difficult, the goal should be to obtain a diagnosis and perform intervention before this advanced presentation develops.

Pauzner et al described a possible association between
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**FIGURE.** Well-timed surgical intervention can correct the saddle-nose deformity, improve nasal breathing and hygiene, and resolve anosmia.
GPA tracheal stenosis and pregnancy. Women of childbearing age who have GPA should be counseled about this possible association and the need for close follow-up during the partum and postpartum periods.

**Treatment is controversial**

The treatment of subglottic stenosis of GPA requires multidisciplinary management by the rheumatologist, otolaryngologist, and pulmonologist. Systemic manifestations of disease are managed by immunosuppressive therapy, but up to 80% of patients may require surgical management of subglottic stenosis, and the remaining 20% will respond to systemic medical therapy. Overall, the treatment of this disease is controversial and varies by center. The therapeutic arsenal consists of conventional immunosuppressive therapy, endoscopic dilation, endoscopic or laser excision, and surgical resection of the stenotic segment followed by reconstruction.

**Tracheotomy.** Historically, tracheotomies were performed in approximately one-half of patients with airway manifestations of GPA when the patient had active disease or when airway patency could not be adequately maintained. Most of these patients were eventually decannulated. At present, tracheotomy is performed infrequently and is reserved for patients who have either a severely tenuous airway (with tracheotomy the only safe option available to obtain a secure airway) or who express a preference for tracheotomy. In a recent study by Hoffman et al., tracheotomy was avoided in 21 patients through the use of stenosis dilation procedures.

**Dilation.** Endoscopic subglottic dilation is the currently advocated method of treatment, and has shown promising results. In two studies with a total of 41 GPA patients who were able to avoid tracheotomy and open surgical procedures, 24% underwent decannulation of previously placed tracheotomies and 24% required only one procedure at an average follow-up of 3.4 and 5 years per study. In these studies, the technique of intraluminal corticosteroid with mechanical dilation (ILCD) was performed. 1,2,3

**Preferred: Dilation plus medical therapy**

Because of the inflammatory etiology of this condition, surgical intervention has the risk of potentially worsening the stenosis. However, combining dilation of the stenosis with aggressive local medical treatment to prevent scar formation and cellular proliferation has been shown to be effective and safe. This treatment modality was recently recommended as the preferred therapy based on a number of relatively small clinical trials for subglottic stenosis, without the benefit of large controlled trials.

Our patient population consists of two subsets: (1) those who respond well to ILCD and systemic medical therapy, requiring a minimal number of dilations before no longer needing procedures because of a possible “burn out” of the subglottic disease, and (2) those who continue to have recurrence of stenosis, requiring repeat ILCD. The latter group requires close long-term observation.

To counter the effects of the exaggerated healing reaction of inflammation (early) and proliferation (late) following injury, two medications are applied to the area of repaired stenosis. The stenotic lesion is first injected submucosally with a long-acting corticosteroid suspension such as methylprednisolone. The solution is injected along the submucosal-perichondrial plane. Incisions are made in a star-like fashion, employing sharp metal microlaryngeal blades or, less commonly, the carbon dioxide laser. These incisions release the constricting stenotic ring and break it up, widening the diameter of the airway and simultaneously preserving islands of intact mucous membrane between the incisions. This epithelium is intended to regenerate and resurface the expanded lumen. Progressive serial dilations are performed using semirigid, flexible, smooth dilators or high-pressure balloon dilation. The next stage involves repeated topical applications of mitomycin-C to further inhibit fibrosis and restenosis by inhibiting cellular proliferation of the vigorous injury cycles of these lesions. Application of mitomycin-C to the dilated area of a laryngotracheal stenosis has been associated with a decreased rate of stenosis relapse.

Our group at Cleveland Clinic has never used laser surgery alone without dilation on the subglottic stenosis caused by GPA. Incidentally, patients treated with laser surgery in other institutions prior to their referral to the Cleveland Clinic have developed complicating secondary stenoses that required more extensive surgical intervention to overcome the severe secondary superimposed damage. In theory, use of the laser may create unnecessary thermal injury that likely worsens local damage. These patients required laryngotracheal reconstructive procedures or had to undergo establishment of permanent tracheotomies.

**CONCLUSION**

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis is a rare disease that may manifest in multiple areas of the head and neck. Careful attention to diagnosis and management is critical, as these patients tend to have progressive disease with debilitating sequelae. The rheumatologist, otolaryngologist, and internist should identify patients with any constellation of symptoms that may be typical of GPA. A collaborative effort to diagnose, treat, and follow these patients is paramount to successful disease management.
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ABSTRACT

Glomerulonephritis (GN) is a common manifestation of the antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody–associated systemic vasculitides (AASV), which include granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA [Wegener’s granulomatosis]), microscopic polyangiitis (MPA), and eosinophilic GPA (Churg-Strauss syndrome). MPA is pauci-immune (lacks antibody depositions) and causes focal segmental necrotizing GN. Other small-vessel vasculitides are Henoch-Schönlein purpura, which features nephritis from immunoglobulin A–dominant immune deposits, and essential cryoglobulinemic vasculitis, in which cryoglobulin immune deposits lead to membranoproliferative GN.1

Renal involvement occurs in 25% to 75% of patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–associated systemic vasculitis (AASV), with the higher percentage reflecting patients who first present to a nephrologist rather than a rheumatologist. Roughly 35% are dialysis-dependent, mainly those who are elderly or proteinase-3- or myeloperoxidase-ANCA–positive. Induction of remission through immunosuppression allows 50% to 60% of dialysis-dependent patients to recover independent renal function.2,3

PROGNOSTIC SIGNIFICANCE OF RENAL INVOLVEMENT

Patients should be assessed at the earliest opportunity for renal involvement as it is highly predictive of survival. Diagnosis allows immunosuppressive treatment to be started early, when kidney function may still be preserved. Reinhold-Keller et al4 examined survival by level of renal involvement at diagnosis in 155 patients with GPA who were followed for a median of 7 years. Survival in those with normal renal function, but with nephritic urinary sediment at diagnosis, declined over time compared with patients who had no renal involvement at diagnosis, with a more than twofold greater risk of death (hazard ratio [HR], 2.41; 95% CI 0.53–11.06). Patients who had impaired renal function at diagnosis had a fivefold greater risk of death (HR, 5.42; 95% CI 1.76–16.68).

Maximum serum creatinine in the first month of treatment is also highly predictive of survival. An outcome analysis followed 80 patients with AASV and renal involvement for a median of 46.7 months.1 Patients were divided equally into groups by maximum serum creatinine levels after the first month of treatment: less than 299 μmol/L, 299 to 582 μmol/L, and greater than 582 μmol/L. All patients were treated for induction of remission with cyclophosphamide and oral corticosteroids. Survival was significantly worse in patients who had the highest maximum serum creatinine in the first month (P = .025). Pooled prospective data from four European Vasculitis Study Group (EUVAS) trials of 535 patients with AASV and follow-up of 5.2 years found a mortality ratio of 2.6 (95% CI, 2.2–3.1) compared with matched subjects from the general population.5 Stage 5 chronic kidney disease (glomerular filtration rate < 15 mL/min) was a significant negative prognostic determinant of survival in these trials.
A Disease of Aging

Renal vasculitis is most prevalent in people aged 50 years and older and often occurs in those aged 70 years and older. Because the very old may not have extra-renal symptoms, it is necessary to maintain a high index of suspicion for AASV and measure urinary sediment and renal function in this age group.

Older patients also present with more severe renal disease and have a poorer prognosis. Harper and Savage compared presentation and outcomes of patients aged 65 and older with renal AASV with those of patients younger than 65 years. Older patients had more severe renal failure than did younger patients (serum creatinine 657 vs 470 μmol/L, respectively; P < .001), and this did not appear to be associated with delayed diagnosis. Survival was worse in those with serum creatinine levels greater than 400 μmol/L irrespective of age; however, when comparing younger and older groups with similar renal insufficiency, older patients were more likely to progress to end-stage renal failure (P = .039), survival was worse (P = .016), and death occurred earlier.

Histopathologic Classification

An international working group of renal pathologists developed pathologic classifications for rapidly progressive GN caused by AASV: focal (≥ 50% normal glomeruli), crescentic (≥ 50% glomeruli with cellular crescents), mixed (no predominant glomerular feature), and sclerotic (≥ 50% globally sclerotic glomeruli). These correspond to the order of severity of renal-function impairment. A study of 100 biopsies from patients with ANCA-associated GN found that the classifications at presentation closely correlated with outcomes.

Patients with sclerotic GN had the worst renal function initially, with little improvement at 5 years; hence, immunosuppression is of little value if the kidney is more than 50% sclerotic. Patients with focal disease who had good renal function initially were found to retain good function after 5 years of treatment. Patients in the crescentic class present with rapidly progressive GN and very poor renal function. However, they were found to improve considerably after 5 years and had good recovery (P = .001). Presenting disease manifestations within the kidney are of diagnostic as well as prognostic value.

Treatment of AASV

Lower dosage of cyclophosphamide

Standard immunosuppressive treatment for vasculitis is oral cyclophosphamide, 2 mg/kg per day. To reduce toxic effects and amount of the drug used, we tested whether a pulse dose could induce remission. In the EUVAS randomized trial of oral versus pulse cyclophosphamide (the CYCLOPS study), 149 AASV patients with renal involvement received either pulse cyclophosphamide, 15 mg/kg every 2 to 3 weeks, or daily oral cyclophosphamide, 2 mg/kg per day, plus prednisone. The groups did not differ in time to remission (HR, 1.098) or in proportion of patients who had achieved remission at 9 months (88.1% vs 87.7%). The pulse-dose group needed half the amount of drug to achieve remission compared with the oral-dose group. Pulse dosing is currently the preferred method in Europe, where doses are administered in the clinic rather than at home.

In a 4.3-year follow-up, twice as many patients relapsed in the pulse-dose group compared with the oral group (HR, 0.50; P = .029), but there was no difference between groups in renal function (P = .82), end-stage renal disease (ESRD), or death. It should be borne in mind that there is a tendency to overtreat. An analysis of four EUVAS trials found a risk of mortality of 11% in the first year; 59% of these were due to treatment-related adverse events.

Despite being prone to renal failure and infectious complications, elderly patients with ANCA-associated GN who do not have ESRD fare better with immunosuppressive therapy than without in terms of progression and survival. Cyclophosphamide dosage should be reduced in these patients.

Plasma exchange

In crescentic disease and rapidly progressing renal failure, plasma exchange (PE) with albumin reduces circulating antibodies by up to 60% and promotes renal recovery. The MEPEX trial compared the addition of either PE or intravenous methylprednisolone (MEP) to oral cyclophosphamide and prednisolone in 137 newly diagnosed AASV patients with severe crescentic GN (serum creatinine > 500 μmol/L). Two-thirds of the group were oliguric. After 3 months, 69% of PE-treated compared with 49% of MEP-treated patients were alive and had achieved independent renal function (P = .02). There was also a reduction in risk for ESRD of 24% at 12 months in the PE versus MEP group. Mortality was similarly high in both groups, however; roughly one-third had died by 12 months, reflecting the higher rates of complications in this older-aged group (median, 66 years).

For patients undergoing PE who have a sudden drop in hemoglobin, gastrointestinal bleeding is only one possible underlying condition. The patient may have bleeding into the pulmonary parenchyma, and computed tomography of the lung should be performed. This is more likely to occur when plasma is exchanged with albumin rather than fresh frozen plasma.

Renal replacement therapy

End-stage renal disease occurs in approximately 25% of patients 3 to 4 years after they present with AASV. Renal-limited disease occurs most often in those with MPA. When there is active rather than sclerotic disease but irreversible renal failure is suspected, immunosuppression can be tried for 3 months. If there is no
response, improvement in renal function is unlikely and immunosuppressive treatment is continued only for extrarenal disease. Patients with ESRD can be treated with hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis at home, or kidney transplant.

Lionaki et al\textsuperscript{13} described the rate of relapse in AASV patients before and after kidney dialysis compared with that in AASV patients with preserved renal function. Over a median of 40 months, 136 of 523 patients progressed to ESRD. Rate of relapse of vasculitis was significantly lower for the patients on chronic dialysis (0.08 episodes per person-year) than for the same patients before dialysis (0.2 episodes) and for the patients with preserved renal function (0.15 episodes). Infections, an important cause of death, were twice as frequent for patients on dialysis and maintenance immunosuppression.

Weidanz et al\textsuperscript{14} reported on a retrospective case series that examined whether immunosuppressive therapy with its risk of infection is beneficial for vasculitis patients on dialysis. They retrospectively examined 46 cases of AASV over 30 years and found that the patients with ESRD received less immunosuppression, but their rate of infection was twice that of pre-ESRD patients, and mortality quadrupled while on dialysis. The mode of dialysis did not affect survival, however. These results may support early discontinuation of immunosuppressive treatment.

A 73-year-old man had a flulike illness with headache, myalgia, and fever that did not respond to treatment with penicillin. He took up to 2 grams of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) daily. He was hospitalized with epistaxis and melena and transferred to our care. The patient became oliguric, passing only a few hundred mL urine per day, with serum creatinine greater than 700 µmol/L. His history was unremarkable except for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and tobacco use. The preliminary diagnosis was acute oliguric kidney failure.

The patient had very high serum creatinine and low hemoglobin levels. He also had low thrombocytes—an unusual finding in vasculitis. In addition, he had low albumin and elevated lactate dehydrogenase levels. Differential diagnosis needed to account for acute renal failure, antibiotic-resistant fever, and possible otolaryngologic disease. Results of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) testing would not arrive for several days.

Differential diagnosis
Differential diagnoses included ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis (AASV), cryoglobulinemic vasculitis, hemolytic uremic syndrome, interstitial nephritis from NSAID use, relapsing polychondritis with GN in systemic lupus erythematosus, and anti–glomerular basement membrane (GBM) antibody disease.

Ultrasound showed bilaterally enlarged kidneys, echogenic parenchyma, hypoechoic medullar pyramids, no

The patient had very high serum creatinine and low hemoglobin levels. He also had low thrombocytes—an unusual finding in vasculitis. In addition, he had low albumin and elevated lactate dehydrogenase levels. Differential diagnosis needed to account for acute renal failure, antibiotic-resistant fever, and possible otolaryngologic disease. Results of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) testing would not arrive for several days.
treatment in patients with ESRD and suggest that it be used only in those with active disease.

Renal transplant
At the time of transplantation, patients receive a massive immunosuppressive induction regimen consisting of anti-CD25 antibody and triple conventional immunosuppressive drugs, usually a calcineurin inhibitor, antimetabolite, and prednisolone. Survival in transplant patients with vasculitis is not significantly different from that of other kidney transplant patients.\(^{15}\)

Patients should not receive transplants until at least 12 months after induction of remission; patients who underwent transplant less than 12 months after remission had a mortality HR of 2.3 ($P < .05$).\(^{16}\) Vasculopathy occurs more frequently in ANCA-positive patients, which leads to graft loss.

Graft loss due to recurrent vasculitis is also possible. Nachman et al\(^{17}\) found double the rate of infection for transplant compared with nontransplant AASV patients, but the rate of relapse was lower than the rate before transplant or for patients on dialysis. There was no significant difference in rates of relapse between patients with or without circulating ANCA at the time of transplant or between those having GPA, MPA, or renal-limited disease.\(^{18}\)
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**FIGURE 2.** (A) Areas of necrosis did not encompass the glomerulus. (B) Tubules packed with erythrocytes.

**FIGURE 3.** Formation of a cellular crescent.

**FIGURE 4.** Fibrous crescent (left) and global sclerosis (right).
Cardiovascular risk
Renal involvement in vasculitis increases cardiovascular morbidity. Vasculitis patients with renal involvement (n = 113) were matched with patients with chronic kidney disease and other contributing cardiovascular risk factors. After approximately 4 years of follow-up, the vasculitis patients had an HR of 2.23 (P = .017) for cardiovascular events. AASV patients with the highest excess risk had previous histories of cardiovascular events (HR, 4), dialysis dependency (HR, 4.3), poor renal function at admission (HR, 0.977), and history of smoking (HR, 3.9).

■ CONCLUSION
Level of renal function at diagnosis is an important predictor of survival. Poor renal function correlates with mortality, especially in the elderly. Pathologic classification of renal vasculitis based on histopathology obtained from the kidney biopsy correlates closely with prognosis. About 60% of initially dialysis-dependent patients with active GN can regain independent renal function. Those on dialysis have a lower rate of relapse of active vasculitis than do those with independent renal function; patients with kidney transplants have the lowest rate of relapse. There is a doubling of infection rate in patients who have ESRD and who receive any form of renal replacement therapy. Lastly, renal involvement in AASV is an independent and serious contributor to risk for cardiovascular disease.
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■ ABSTRACT

Diagnosis of the pulmonary manifestations of small-vessel vasculitis requires attention to detail, judicious use of imaging technology, and awareness of disorders that can mimic or masquerade as pulmonary vasculitis. Treatment should begin with pharmacologic intervention to manage the underlying inflammatory disorder. Dilation procedures and, in rare cases, surgery may be needed to resolve airway stenosis.

The pulmonary manifestations of small-vessel vasculitis are nonspecific and often overlap with other conditions. Consequently, the diagnosis and management of pulmonary vasculitis are complex and require special attention to detail. This article reviews clinical experience with vasculitis as it manifests in the pulmonary setting, with the goal of providing a sound clinical approach to diagnosis and management.

■ DIAGNOSTIC CONSIDERATIONS

Accurate diagnosis is enhanced with imaging technology, judicious use of bronchoscopy, and awareness of disorders that mimic or masquerade as pulmonary vasculitis. The diagnosis can be approached on the basis of pattern recognition. For example, microscopic polyangiitis (MPA) is characterized solely by alveolar hemorrhage syndrome. However, other diagnostic possibilities must be considered, such as infection, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and complications of medicines. The hallmark manifestation of granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA [Wegener’s granulomatosis]) is necrotizing granulomatous inflammations, but the pulmonary manifestations can include nodules, cavitary masses, airway stenosis, and alveolar hemorrhage. Asthma with eosinophilia is the distinguishing feature of eosinophilic GPA (Churg-Strauss syndrome), and Goodpasture syndrome involves deposition of complement and immunoglobulins.

The use of imaging

The best imaging tool for suspected pulmonary vasculitides is high-resolution computed tomography (CT). As a general rule, CT for patients with suspected vasculitis should be ordered without contrast medium as contrast is not needed to assess the lung parenchyma. Vasculitis patients often have renal insufficiency, and contrast-free CT will help protect the kidneys. Another option, which will enhance evaluation of the distribution and location of pulmonary disease, is multiplanar reconstructions of images with virtual bronchoscopy or airway reconstruction. Certain findings on imaging will help to differentiate the vasculitides from one another as well as from mimicking diagnoses.

Eosinophilic GPA. Chest images of patients with eosinophilic GPA appear as patchy, nonsegmental, often peripheral consolidations of ground-glass opacity. These tend to reside in all lobes of the lungs, close to the surface and occasionally accompanied by septal markings.

Microscopic polyangiitis. Although classically a disease of alveolar hemorrhage, MPA often does not manifest with hemoptysis. Approximately one-third of patients with MPA do not cough up blood, even after a large amount of hemorrhage directly into the parenchyma. Patients may present with nonspecific symptoms such as fatigue and shortness of breath. Chest imaging will enhance diagnostic accuracy, particularly when considered in conjunction with laboratory test results. MPA patients usually have low hematocrit levels and may actually have an increased diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (Dlco).

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis. This form of vasculitis has characteristic nodules, cavitary lesions, and, in the worst cases, multifocal masses in the lungs. These can be identified with contrast-free CT, with examination for possible airway involvement.

Multiple lung cavity nodules and pronounced airway narrowing are significant diagnostic clues for GPA. Nodules up to 10 cm in size tend to be near subpleural and peripheral areas. Because microbes and fungus may be present, complicating these nodules, they may also be the primary presentation. While bronchoscopy may be helpful with imaging, surgical biopsy remains the gold standard to rule out infections.

The disease may be multifocal, occurring outside the lungs from the larynx to bronchi and anywhere in the lung. Subglottic stenosis caused by inflammation and scarring affects 16% of patients with GPA, but it also often develops independently of other features of GPA and may have its own course independent of systemic symptoms.1
Bronchoscopy

Bronchoscopy is a relatively low-risk way to assess airways and nodules, but it has had a limited role in the diagnosis of nonfocal interstitial lung disease and rheumatologic lung disease in general. New technologies that augment traditional bronchoscopy and enhance its utility for diagnosis for focal entities are described below.

Electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB) uses electromagnetic technology to localize and guide a catheter through the bronchial pathways. With the help of a virtual, 3-dimensional bronchial map reconstructed from a chest CT, the clinician can navigate to a desired location within the lung for biopsy and diagnosis of pulmonary nodules. The result is a diagnostic yield per nodule of nearly 80%. Seijo et al showed that diagnostic yields by ENB increase with the presence of the bronchus sign, or a bronchus leading directly to a peripheral lung lesion, as viewed on CT imaging. If nodules are bronchocentric, or surround airways, there is greater likelihood of reaching a diagnosis without resorting to surgery.

In peripheral radial ultrasound, a catheter is threaded through another catheter sheath in order to visualize the lesion. This technology can precisely localize lung lesions and often give some clues about the final pathology.

Bronchoscopic confocal fluorescence microscopy is a new form of microscopy that uses a fiberoptic mini-probe instead of an objective lens. High-quality images are achieved by the use of autofluorescence. Researchers have used the technology to detect changes in the respiratory bronchioles and other structures, but a clear atlas of many disease states does not yet exist. Oddly, endobronchial GPA images have been catalogued.

Virtual bronchoscopy is a 3-dimensional image reconstruction and display technique that converts standard CT images into multiplanar images, which can be stacked. Virtual bronchoscopy augments conventional CT because of its ability to enhance detection in the subglottic region and more accurately measure stenosis. The technique cannot replace traditional bronchoscopy, however, because mucus and secretions can appear as abnormalities and cause false-positive results.

Airway examination can often reveal multiple levels of airway disease in a single patient (Figure).

Detecting mimics

Diagnoses that masquerade as eosinophilic GPA include chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, bronchiolitis obliterans with organizing pneumonia, and other interstitial lung diseases. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis—an asthma syndrome sometimes associated with eosinophilia and high immunoglobulin E levels—also mimics eosinophilic GPA. This diagnostic possibility is particularly relevant if the patient is taking immunosuppressive agents or corticosteroids.

Although alveolar hemorrhage is the sole pulmonary manifestation of MPA, the diagnosis is not limited to MPA alone. Alveolar hemorrhage may have other causes, including infection or acute respiratory distress syndrome. Bronchial lavage is recommended for accurate diagnosis, with the introduction of successive volumes of saline into the lungs and examination for increasing amounts of heme in each of the aliquots of alveolar lavage fluid.

Several diagnoses can mimic GPA. Many infections, including those caused by mycobacteria and Cryptococcus, can mimic endobronchial GPA. Biopsy of all new
TREATMENT STRATEGIES

Medications
Although many patients with GPA are surgical candidates because of dyspnea related to fixed endobronchial or endotracheal obstructions, any surgical treatment carries the risk of inciting further flares. Treatment should focus first on mitigating the systemic inflammatory disorder with pharmacologic intervention. Standard pharmacologic therapy includes corticosteroids, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab. Patients with subglottic stenosis are frequently unresponsive to standard immunosuppressive therapy (glucocorticoids in combination with a cytotoxic agent).1

Surgical reconstruction
When medication falls short and surgery is needed to reverse strictures, a number of tools are at our disposal. Some involve heat, such as laser, cauterization, and argon plasma coagulation. In argon plasma coagulation, a jet of ionized argon gas (plasma) is directed through a probe passed through an endoscope. Other techniques rely on cold: cryoprobes, microdebriders, and rigid scissors. In general, freeze therapies cause less scarring than heat therapy. With any surgical technique, there is risk of scars that will contract and cause structural collapse, resulting in restenosis.

Dilation
The high rate of stenosis relapse has spurred interest in alternatives to surgical treatment. One of these, dilation via endoscopy, also may mitigate the wound healing process. Other techniques for clearing the obstructed area include rigid bronchoscopy, the use of bougies (increasingly larger dilators), and balloon dilation. Balloon dilation has some advantages over the other techniques. It permits maximal radial direction and pressure, causes less damage to trachea wall mucosa, and achieves better overall results; however, the procedure usually needs to be repeated.3 It must be done quickly, and it requires flawless communication between the otolaryngologist or pulmonologist and anesthesiologist in order to stabilize the airway below the vocal cords.

Intratracheal dilation-injection therapy
Dilation can be augmented with glucocorticoid injections. In 1991, researchers at the National Institutes of Health utilized a combination dilation-injection therapy for 20 patients who had GPA and subglottic stenosis.1 Patients were first treated with mercury-filled dilators coated with 1% triamcinolone cream. Methylprednisolone acetate was then injected into the stenotic area. None of the patients treated with intratracheal dilation-injection therapy required a tracheostomy and six who already had tracheostomies were decannulated. In contrast, 56% of patients who received standard immunosuppressive therapy and no intratracheal dilation-injection therapy required tracheostomy. Intratracheal dilation-injection therapy is considered a safe and effective treatment of GPA-associated subglottic stenosis and, in the absence of major organ disease activity, could be used without systemic immunosuppressive agents.

Mitomycin-C is a controversial alternative to corticosteroids during dilation. Mitomycin-C is an alkylating agent that inhibits fibroblast proliferation and extracellular matrix protein synthesis, with the potential for reduced scarring. In a recent trial of 26 patients, two doses given 3 to 4 weeks apart reduced the rate of stenosis for 2 to 3 years compared with a single dose.6 Restenosis occurred in both groups, however, and after 5 years, the relapse rates were the same.

Nd:YAG laser photoresection versus endobronchial electrosurgery
One of the most effective therapies for treating obstructive lesions is Nd:YAG laser photoresection (LPR) in which a laser that utilizes the crystal neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd:Y_3Al_5O_12) is paired with a flexible bronchoscope. The procedure can produce favorable outcomes, but it has not gained favor because of perceptions that the lasers require rigid bronchoscopy, expensive equipment, and special training. There are also concerns about complications.

The lower-cost endobronchial electrosurgery (EBES) also failed to gain acceptance because of cumbersome delivery systems and complications associated with power units. Recently, engineers have spawned a new generation of electrosurgical devices, prompting renewed interest in EBES.

A recent study compared LPR and EBES in patients who represented 118 evaluations for LPR.8 Forty percent were considered amendable to EBES and so did not go on to receive the more costly LPR. Of those, 89% achieved success in alleviating the obstruction. The authors concluded that EBES can potentially eliminate the need for LPR in 36% of procedures, and that it could achieve significant savings in cost and time. We use these ablative therapies only in dire circumstances; we use non–heat-based therapies, including repeated dilation, prior to considering use of other therapies.

Cryotherapy
Cryotherapy spray was initially thought to have great therapeutic potential, but the high pressures of the spray caused complications. This modality remains under investigation, however. Some probe-based cryotherapy
techniques have been effective anecdotally. These use a metal-tipped probe attached to a cryogen; the Joule-Thompson effect causes delayed tissue destruction.

**Stents**
A small number of case reports note patient improvement after stenting.\(^9,10\) We use stents in rare circumstances, but because complications are frequent and sometimes severe, we consider stenting a last-resort option. In 2005, the US Food and Drug Administration mandated a Black Box warning against the use of metallic stents in patients who have benign tracheal strictures.

**Multimodality therapies**
In general, when intervention is required to salvage airways, a combination of dilation and steroid injection with or without topical mitomycin-C is standard. We try to avoid use of thermal therapy with laser or electrocautery because of the risk of exuberant inflammation and restenosis from thermal injury. No specific standard of care exists in these cases; reliance on clinical judgment is critical because of the presentation and variety of airway lesions. Further, no large-scale randomized trials exist to guide therapy, so it is best to work with a multidisciplinary team whose members have experience in managing these complex patients.

**CONCLUSION**
The differential diagnosis of pulmonary manifestations of small-vessel vasculitis is complex. Several diagnoses can mimic various forms of pulmonary vasculitis, and the manifestations and symptoms often overlap with other organ systems.

Imaging is useful for analysis of common patterns of small and midsize vasculitis, although the results may be confounded by disorders that mimic pulmonary vasculitis. To enhance diagnostic accuracy, laboratory and clinical findings should be considered along with images. Ideally, treatment will be minimally destructive and mucosa-sparing. Dilation therapies can be augmented with corticosteroid injections or, possibly, mitomycin-C.
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Ocular manifestations of small-vessel vasculitis

JAMES A. GARRITY, MD
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ABSTRACT

Ophthalmic manifestations of vasculitis can be orbital, ocular (affecting the globe), or intraocular. Orbital inflammation manifests as sudden onset of pain, erythema, and proptosis, and can be sight-threatening. In the globe, red eye is typical in both episcleritis and scleritis. Episcleritis is usually otherwise asymptomatic with blanching upon instillation of topical neosynephrine, whereas scleritis is painful and does not blanch. Infectious and rheumatic diseases are present in nearly 50% of patients with scleritis. The symptoms of keratitis are similar to those of scleritis; superficial keratitis is benign but peripheral ulcerative keratitis can be sight-threatening. Anterior uveitis is the most frequent ocular manifestation of Behçet disease. Approximately 30% of patients with granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener’s granulomatosis) have ocular involvement, with orbital disease being most common. With ophthalmic manifestations of vasculitis, tissue biopsy of any site that is amenable to biopsy is recommended. Biopsy must be interpreted within the context of treatment.

We have long understood that vasculitic conditions have various clinical manifestations. The Chapel Hill Consensus Conference classification of systemic vasculitis in 1994 contributed significantly to our understanding of the spectrum of vasculitides and their manifestations, enhancing our diagnostic ability and the likelihood of appropriate treatment.

The ophthalmic manifestations of vasculitis are protean and nonspecific, and should be considered in the overall context of the disease. Patients should be evaluated with the following questions in mind:

- Are the manifestations related to the vasculitis itself?
- Are the manifestations a result or complication of therapy?
- Are the manifestations signs of a completely unrelated and superimposed condition?

This article reviews the three areas of ocular inflammation related to vasculitis and comments on the role of tissue biopsy in the management of these patients.

THREE AREAS OF OCULAR INFLAMMATION

Orbital inflammation

Orbital disease can affect the lacrimal gland (inflammatory dacryoadenitis), extraocular muscles (orbital myositis), and the orbital soft tissues (inflammatory orbital pseudotumor). Orbital inflammation is characterized by relatively sudden onset (within days) of pain, erythema, and proptosis. Diplopia and visual loss from either compression or inflammation of the optic nerve or nerve sheath may be present. Depending upon the structures involved and the degree of involvement, orbital inflammation can be sight-threatening.

Either computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging should be performed to assess orbital or extraorbital involvement. The orbital structures are particularly amenable to biopsy, which, in this author’s opinion, should be performed whenever possible. The biopsy may need to be interpreted within the context of previous or concurrent immunosuppressive therapy, which can alter the histologic picture, minimize inflammation, and make detection of vasculitis difficult. In addition to identifying inflammation, biopsy helps to identify fungal infection or lymphoma that can follow prolonged immunosuppressive therapy.

Treatment of orbital inflammation requires corticosteroid therapy or some other type of systemic immunosuppression.

Ocular, or globe, inflammation

The globe has three areas subject to inflammation: episcleral tissues (episcleritis), sclera (scleritis), and the cornea (keratitis) (Figure 1).

Episcleritis: observation or topical therapy. Episcleritis usually manifests as an otherwise asymptomatic red eye with typical sector-shaped inflammation. Pain is generally not an issue, although patients often report that the eye does not feel normal. Vision is unaffected and there is no potential threat to sight.

The slit-lamp examination shows dilated vessels in the episcleral tissues that blanch after instillation of a drop of 10% phenylephrine. Simple observation may be the best management course, but topical nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or topical corticosteroids may help some patients who have discomfort.
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There is probably a spectrum of disease in that some patients may have either severe episcleritis or mild scleritis (Figure 1B). At times it can be difficult to differentiate between severe episcleritis and mild scleritis. Although scleritis generally requires systemic therapy, topical therapy is justified for mild scleritis. Episcleritis is associated with systemic disease in approximately 36% of patients.2–4

**Scleritis: may be sight-threatening; requires systemic therapy.** Scleritis characteristically presents with intense pain and a red eye.1,5–7 Patients may be sensitive to light and their vision may be compromised. Cataracts and glaucoma can complicate the course of scleritis.

With slit-lamp examination, the redness does not blanch upon instillation of topical 10% phenylephrine as it does with episcleritis. The adjacent cornea may also be affected (Figure 1C). Healed scleritis leaves an area of thinned sclera that appears as a visible blue spot, so if the patient’s history includes red eye with pain and a blue area is visible, the clinician can be confident that a prior episode of scleritis occurred.

Scleritis can be anterior or posterior, and the implications are slightly different for each type. Anterior scleritis can be subclassified as diffuse, nodular, or necrotizing. The necrotizing type can be characterized by painful inflammation or, in the case of scleromalacia perforans, no inflammation and no pain. Posterior scleritis may have minimal pain.

Akpek et al1 reported on a group of 243 patients with scleritis (average age, 52 years; range, 5 to 93 years) who were followed for an average of 1.7 years (range, 0 to 16.6 years). An associated medical condition was present in 107 (44%) patients. Rheumatologic conditions accounted for 37%, with rheumatoid arthritis being most common; infectious disease, with herpes zoster ophthalmicus being most common, accounted for 7%. Of those with an associated medical condition, 78% had been diagnosed previously; the remaining 22% were diagnosed at presentation or the condition developed during follow-up.

Treatment typically requires systemic therapy with NSAIDs, but more often oral or intravenous corticosteroids or even methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclophosphamide, or rituximab may be required. Patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–positive disease may require more intensive therapy than those with ANCA-negative disease. **Keratitis: may be sight-threatening.** Patients with keratitis should be evaluated in the same spirit as patients with scleritis (Figure 1C). Although many patients may have superficial keratitis, which is often related to a dry eye and has no prognostic significance, deep or peripheral ulcerative keratitis is not only consistent with systemic vasculitis but also sight-threatening. Symptoms similar to those observed with scleritis typically include severe pain and photophobia and, as with scleritis, treatment usually involves systemic therapy.

**Intraocular inflammation**

**Vascular involvement.** Vasculitic involvement of blood vessels within and around the eye can produce several different clinical pictures. Within the eye are two separate intraocular circulations, the retinal vessels and the choroid circulation. Retinal vessel involvement can affect the retinal arteries with thrombotic occlusions, the veins with phlebitis, or both. Retinal artery occlusions take the form of a branch or central retinal artery occlusion that infarcts corresponding portions of the retina, leading to loss of vision. The optic disc is also subject to vascular interruption of the posterior ciliary arteries, which constitute a separate branch off the ophthalmic artery. This condition produces an ischemic optic neuropathy and results in loss of vision (Figure 2).

There is no specific treatment for the eye other than treating the underlying condition. Vascular occlusions can sometimes give rise to neovascularization and patients should be followed for this possibility. As with a central nervous system ischemic event, recovery can be variable.

**Uveitis.** The term “uvea,” derived from the Greek
GRANULOMATOSIS WITH POLYANGIITIS: EYE INVOLVEMENT IS COMMON

In terms of specific small-vessel vasculitic diseases that affect the eye, granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA [Wegener’s granulomatosis]) is the quintessential condition. In data obtained from the Wegener Granulomatosis Support Group, eye involvement was noted at presentation in 211 of 701 patients (30%), and during the course of their disease an additional 147 patients developed eye involvement. From the time of initial presentation through the course of follow-up, 359 of the 701 patients (51%) eventually had some type of eye involvement.

In a series of patients seen at the Mayo Clinic, orbital inflammatory disease and scleritis were the two most frequent manifestations of eye involvement with GPA. Orbital involvement typically presents with pain, erythema, swelling, and proptosis. Varying degrees of ptosis, diplopia, or visual loss may also be present. Imaging may show an infiltrate that is usually adjacent to the maxillary or ethmoid sinus. This same process can affect the superior temporal orbital quadrant, an area apart from any sinus, and involve the lacrimal gland.

BIOPSY IS ADVISED

Biopsy, either incisional, at times to include debulking, or excisional if possible, is recommended to establish a diagnosis or aid in the selection of therapy. Orbital disease has been observed to progress in patients who are receiving maintenance therapy with methotrexate and have no evidence of systemic disease activity. Acute and chronic inflammation with evidence of active vasculitis is usually seen histologically. Personal observations suggest that intraorbital corticosteroid injection followed by rituximab has been effective therapy for this limited subset of patients. Diagnostic biopsies often must be interpreted in light of partial treatment, making histopathologic diagnosis challenging at times. Biopsy is important for exclusion of lymphoproliferative disease or fungal infection.

CONCLUSION

Underlying vasculitis might play a role in patients with nonspecific ocular presentations. It is essential that the ophthalmologist collaborate with a specialist in vasculitis (and vice versa) for evaluation and subsequent therapy, which often involves some form of immunosuppression.
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Monitoring patients with vasculitis
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ABSTRACT
Granulomatosis with polyangiitis is a common form of small-vessel vasculitis, remarkable for its tendency toward multisystem manifestations. Standard induction treatment calls for the use of low-dose daily cyclophosphamide (CYC) and glucocorticoids. Treatment goals for newly diagnosed patients include increased survival, induction of remission, reduction of relapse frequency, and minimization of treatment toxicity. Induction and maintenance treatments with CYC, glucocorticoids, and other immunosuppressive therapies improve the disease course, but relapse- and treatment-related toxicity and infections demand consistent, patient-specific monitoring.

SMALL-VEssel VASCULITIS
MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW
Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA, Wegener's granulomatosis [WG]) is one of the most common types of small-vessel vasculitis, with an estimated prevalence in the United States of 3 per 100,000 people. It is distinguished from other necrotizing vasculitides by its tendency to affect the upper and lower respiratory system and the kidneys. Despite the success of induction and maintenance treatments with cyclophosphamide (CYC), glucocorticoids, and less toxic immunosuppressive alternative therapies in improving the disease course, significant treatment-related toxicities and frequent disease relapses demand stringent patient-specific monitoring in order to provide early treatment of relapses and prevent or decrease morbidity.

MONITORING CONSIDERATIONS
Achieving treatment goals requires long-term monitoring of both disease activity and treatment-related toxicities, with constant adjustments to meet the needs of the individual patient and address the often rapidly changing disease and treatment course. The monitoring protocol consists of regularly scheduled follow-up office visits, urine sediment analyses at every office visit whether or not the patient has relapse symptoms, laboratory tests at regular intervals as indicated by the patient's medication plan and disease presentation, additional tests such as lung computed tomography (CT), and patient education regarding new symptoms and the frequency of office visits. A consistent monitoring strategy will help detect a relapse before it can produce more severe morbidity, identify treatment-related complications, and—equally important—identify the achievement of remission. An example of the consequences of inconsistent monitoring is presented in “Relapse in a nonadherent patient,” page S35.

Because there is no definitive cure for small-vessel vasculitis, relapse is always a possibility. The early diagnosis and treatment of relapse may prevent or decrease morbidity from disease, but strict monitoring is needed to identify relapse and initiate treatment before morbidity.
ity occurs (see “Relapse in a patient with new symptoms,” page S36). Repeat induction therapy following a relapse introduces risk of drug toxicity and requires careful monitoring, as does long-term maintenance therapy.

In addition to induction and maintenance therapy, several other situations, including prior therapeutic complications, serum creatinine levels, and risk of cardiovascular disease, require special monitoring attention.

**Induction therapy: monitor response**

Response to treatment during induction must be monitored to identify whether remission is achieved. Induction monitoring requires complete assessment of organ system involvement at every visit with tools such as the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) and, when appropriate, the BVAS/WG. If new or worsening symptoms develop during induction therapy, then the patient needs assessment for continued disease activity as well as treatment complications such as infections related to immunosuppressive therapy.

During induction therapy with daily oral CYC, monitoring should include weekly complete blood cell counts to ensure early identification of leukopenia and other cytopenias. The risk of morbidities increases with the cumulative dose, so a stable blood count for 2 months does not obviate the risk of leukopenia. If persistent hematuria is present without cellular casts, cystoscopy is indicated to look for signs of hemorrhagic cystitis. Prophylaxis against *Pneumocystis jirovecii* is recommended in all patients who receive immunosuppressive therapy. Finally, bone density measurements should be done at baseline.

**Maintenance therapy: frequency can be extended**

Monitoring during maintenance therapy is similar to induction monitoring; however, when the dosage of methotrexate or azathioprine is stabilized, the frequency of some tests can be extended to monthly rather than weekly. For example, a complete blood cell count, comprehensive metabolic panel, sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein measurement, and urinalysis should be performed monthly. Follow-up visits should include urine sediment analyses and monitoring for cardiovascular disease risk factors. Medication monitoring should include cystoscopy for persistent hematuria without cellular casts, bone density measurements, and ophthalmologic examinations as frequently as indicated for each individual’s risk.

**Relapse in a nonadherent patient**

A 58-year-old woman with granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) presents for a routine follow-up visit. Her GPA was diagnosed 2 years earlier with lung nodules, glomerulonephritis, myalgias, fever, sinusitis, and positive proteinase-3–antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies. Her serum creatinine level was not increased at the time of diagnosis, and it remained stable (0.9 mg/dL) following treatment. She has been receiving maintenance therapy with methotrexate.

Because of the patient’s nonadherence with her monitoring protocol, laboratory tests that would ordinarily be performed monthly are instead performed every 3 months; however, the patient missed her appointment 3 months earlier.

She presents for her follow-up visit with fatigue but is otherwise asymptomatic. Urine sediment analysis shows hematuria (2+ blood) and several red blood cell casts, serum creatinine is 5.2 mg/dL, and computed tomography of her chest shows new lung nodules.

Relapse is diagnosed and treatment is started. A few days later, the patient loses kidney function and dialysis, once initiated, becomes permanent.

**Comment.** This patient was being monitored less frequently than recommended and presented for her follow-up examination with no new symptoms. Had she adhered to the monitoring protocol, which included monthly checks of kidney function, the abnormality could have been detected in time to prevent the need for permanent dialysis.

---

**TABLE 1**

Cyclophosphamide-related treatment outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morbidity</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent morbidity</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease-related morbidity</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal insufficiency</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing loss</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal deformities</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheal stenosis</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual loss</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease and/or treatment-related morbidity</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic sinus dysfunction</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary insufficiency</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertility</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystitis</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair loss</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder cancer</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelodysplasia</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of bladder cancer</td>
<td>33-fold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of lymphoma</td>
<td>11-fold increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
needs. *P. jirovecii* prophylaxis should continue as long as the patient receives immunosuppressive medication.

**Therapy-related complications**

**Bladder complications.** In a retrospective analysis of 145 patients with GPA treated with CYC and followed for 0.5 to 27 years (median 8.5 years), nonglomerular hematuria developed in 50% of the patients and bladder carcinoma in 5%. The cumulative CYC dose (19 to 251 g) in this group was much higher than what is currently used. Cytologic examination of the urine showed 43% sensitivity for dysplasia (specificity 100%) and 29% sensitivity for atypia (specificity 89%). In contrast, in a retrospective outcomes analysis involving newly diagnosed patients with GPA treated with CYC or methotrexate, 82 patients followed for up to 12 years had no incidents of cystitis or bladder cancer. Patients in this study were treated with CYC for only 3 to 6 months and therefore received a lower cumulative dose.

To prevent cystitis during treatment with CYC, the patient should be well hydrated, especially in the morning when CYC should be taken. The bladder should be emptied frequently. The addition of mesna when administering intravenous CYC decreases the risk of cystitis. Serial cystoscopy and urine cytology should be used only in patients with nonglomerular hematuria.

**Infertility.** Preservation of ovarian function is a concern with CYC therapy in women of childbearing age. The cumulative dose threshold for gonadal failure is unknown, since data from cancer studies demonstrating gonadal failure involve higher cumulative CYC doses than are typical for vasculitis treatment. It is also unknown whether duration of amenorrhea predicts the recovery of menses or fertility. The primary option for preservation of ovarian function is the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists. Oral contraceptives also may be used, but the best prevention is to avoid CYC in these patients if possible.

**Osteoporosis.** At glucocorticoid dosages of 5 mg/day or greater, bone mineral density begins a rapid decline within the first 3 months and peaks at 6 months. The American College of Rheumatology has provided recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Table 2 presents recommendations for postmenopausal women and men aged 50 years and older who will use glucocorticoids for 3 months or more. Recommendations are also available for premenopausal women and men younger than 50 years of age who have a history of fragility fracture.

**Leukopenia.** Leukopenia should be avoided during CYC treatment. The target white blood cell count should be within the normal range. During treatment with daily oral CYC, the patient should be monitored with a weekly complete blood cell count and medication should be adjusted to maintain the target white blood cell count.

Upon completion of induction therapy, after 3 to 6 months, the patient is switched to maintenance therapy.

### TABLE 2

Recommendations for prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: Postmenopausal women and men aged 50 years and older

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>Medium risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC &lt; 7.5 mg/d for ≥ 3 mo: no pharmacologic treatment</td>
<td>GC &lt; 7.5 mg/d for ≥ 3 mo: alendronate or risedronate</td>
<td>GC &lt; 5 mg/d for ≥ 1 mo: alendronate, risedronate, or zoledronic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC ≥ 7.5 mg/d for ≥ 3 mo: alendronate, risedronate, or zoledronic acid</td>
<td>GC ≥ 7.5 mg/d for ≥ 3 mo: alendronate, risedronate, or zoledronic acid</td>
<td>GC ≥ 5 mg/d for ≤ 1 mo or any dose for &gt; 1 mo: alendronate or risedronate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC = glucocorticoid
Relapse presenting as thrombosis

A 45-year-old man presents with bilateral thigh swelling and pain but no other symptoms. He is diagnosed with bilateral deep vein thrombosis and hospitalized for anticoagulation.

The patient was diagnosed with granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) 10 years previously with alveolar hemorrhage, glomerulonephritis, and sinusitis. He has been receiving treatment with azathioprine and has no history of relapse. His urine sedimentation rate and serum creatinine levels have been normal over the years.

During this hospitalization, the urine sediment shows blood and red blood cell casts, and chest computed tomography shows bilateral nodular infiltrates.

The patient is diagnosed with asymptomatic GPA relapse whose only clinical presentation was deep vein thrombosis.

Comment. Given the increased risk of thrombosis in patients with active GPA, any patient diagnosed with thrombosis should be fully evaluated for possible concurrent GPA relapse.

with an alternative immunosuppressive agent such as azathioprine or methotrexate, depending on the serum creatinine concentration and other factors. This transition, characterized by full-dose immunosuppressive therapy when the bone marrow has been previously suppressed by CYC treatment, may induce pancytopenia. Monitoring with weekly complete blood counts for at least 4 weeks after initiating maintenance therapy can help ensure stability during the transition period.

Monitor serum creatinine and adjust dosages

The serum creatinine concentration may increase as CYC treatment progresses; in some cases, the serum creatinine concentration increases before a response to treatment is seen. The CYC dosages should be adjusted as necessary in response to serum creatinine changes. Careful monitoring of serum creatinine is necessary during methotrexate therapy, as methotrexate treatment in the setting of renal insufficiency increases the risk of bone marrow suppression.

Cardiovascular disease in GPA and MPA

Premature atherosclerosis has been well described in patients with GPA.6 Within 5 years of diagnosis of GPA or MPA, a cardiovascular event will occur in 14% of patients.7 In the absence of specific guidelines for prevention of cardiovascular disease in patients with vasculitis, it is essential to monitor patients and treat modifiable traditional risk factors aggressively, especially in younger patients. Suppiah et al found that independent determinants of cardiovascular outcome included older age, diastolic hypertension, and positive proteinase-3–ANCA status in patients without prior cardiovascular disease.7

In the Wegener's Clinical Occurrence of Thrombosis (WeCLOT) study, Merkel et al showed an increased incidence of thrombosis in patients with active GPA8 (see “Relapse presenting as thrombosis,” left). As with cardiovascular disease, there are no specific guidelines for monitoring asymptomatic patients for thrombosis or for duration of anticoagulation in patients with GPA. It is recommended that patients be evaluated for active GPA or relapse in the setting of acute thrombosis whether or not symptoms of active GPA are present.
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Safety issues in vasculitis: Infections and immunizations in the immunosuppressed host
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ABSTRACT

Infectious diseases are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in immunosuppressed patients, including those with connective tissue diseases. Both disease and treatment contribute to a predisposition to infection in immunocompromised patients. Significant infection and morbidity occurs in 25% to 50% of these patients with a median mortality of 5.2% due to common bacterial infections, such as pneumonia or bacteremia, and opportunistic fungal infections such as Pneumocystis. Organs commonly affected include the lungs, skin, urinary tract, blood, and central nervous system. Pathogens such as Pneumocystis jirovecii, Histoplasma capsulatum, Aspergillus species, herpes zoster, JC virus, Nocardia asteroides, and Nocardia species are increasingly prevalent in immunocompromised patients. Improved recognition, diagnosis, and prevention of these infections are needed to enhance outcomes in these patients.

In 2007, Falagas et al1 provided a systematic review of studies focusing on infection-related morbidity and mortality in patients with connective tissue diseases. Many of the studies reviewed were published prior to the introduction of biologic agents for the treatment of rheumatologic disorders. In 39 studies focusing on infection incidence, patient outcomes, or both in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), polymyositis/dermatomyositis, granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA, Wegener’s granulomatosis), and systemic sclerosis, serious infection developed in 29% of patients and 24% of these died due to the infection with a median attributable mortality of 5.2%. Most of the reported infections were common bacterial syndromes such as pneumonia or bacteremia, and opportunistic fungal (Pneumocystis) infections.

Similarly, in 2006 Alarcón2 reported that 25% to 50% of patients with SLE had significant morbidity primarily from common bacterial infections, with viral, fungal, and parasitic infection less common. Staphylococcus aureus was a common cause of soft tissue infection, septic arthritis, and bacteremia. Streptococcus pneumoniae typically caused respiratory infections, although meningitis and sepsis were reported with SLE. Gram-negative bacteria such as Escherichia coli, Klebsiella species, and Pseudomonas species usually caused urinary tract infections and nosocomial pneumonia. Other bacterial infections included Nocardia species, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and, rarely, Listeria monocytogenes. The most common viral infection was herpes zoster. Fungal infections included Pneumocystis jirovecii (formerly known as Pneumocystis carinii) and Candida species.

In scleroderma, another connective tissue disease evaluated in the literature by Alarcón,2 reports of bacterial, viral, and fungal infections are limited to case reports. In scleroderma patients, viral infections with cytomegalovirus (CMV), parvovirus B19, and P jirovecii were similar to pathogens observed with SLE. In polymyositis/dermatomyositis, gram-positive pneumonia affected 15% to 20% of patients and St aureus occurred frequently in the juvenile form of the disease. Herpes zoster was commonly observed, but CMV was relatively rare. Other viral infections included Coxackie virus, parvovirus B19, and hepatitis C in polymyositis/dermatomyositis. Infection with P jirovecii is frequently fatal in these patients. Other fungal infections seen in polymyositis/dermatomyositis include candidiasis and histoplasmosis.3

Since the approval of antitumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) agents for RA in the late 1990s, as well as other more recent biologic agents, there has been heightened awareness of infectious complications in rheumatologic patients. A major concern with the anti-TNF agents is the risk of granulomatous infection, particularly mycobacterial disease and dimorphic fungal infections such as histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis. Formation of granulomas is the major host defense against mycobacterial infection and is mediated in large part by TNF-alpha. The precise risk of infection associated with each of the various biologic agents is still under study, and rates from randomized trials have differed from postmarketing surveillance studies. Important pathogens associated with biologic agents include Nocardia, CMV, Listeria, Aspergillus,
and JC virus (JCV). Delays in the diagnosis of these infections in immunocompromised patients have led to poor outcomes.

KEY PATHOGENS IN INFECTIONS OF IMMUNOCOMPROMISED HOSTS

Pneumocystis jirovecii
For many decades, *P. jirovecii* was classified as a protozoan but, based on gene sequencing, the organism has been reclassified as a fungus. *P. jirovecii* is a low-virulence, unicellular organism that is the causative agent of Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP). Epidemiologically, primary infection most likely occurs in infants and children. Colonization may be transient, entering the airways and then resolving over a period of weeks or months. Alternatively, the organism may enter a latent state similar to tuberculosis with reactivation occurring during times of intense immunosuppression. However, molecular epidemiology studies show that new cases of PCP are likely environmentally acquired through multiple exposures rather than reactivation of latent infection. Transmission is thought to be airborne from person to person. Pathogenically, the trophic form of the organism attaches to type 1 alveolar cells and remains in the extracellular compartment of the alveoli. This colonization evokes an influx of inflammatory cells (CD8 cells, neutrophils, and macrophages). However, not all colonizations result in pneumonia—even in advanced human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. While there is an innate immunity through alveolar macrophages and pulmonary surfactant, alveolar macrophage response is impaired in HIV when the CD4 count is low. Cell-mediated immunity is the main defense against progression to pneumonia with assistance from costimulatory molecules (such as CD28 and CD2) as well as B cells.

Pathogenesis and clinical presentation of PCP. In HIV-infected patients with CD4 counts less than 200 cells/mm³, foamy eosinophilic interstitial debris may develop. HIV patients often present with subacute PCP after having symptoms for days to weeks. In non-HIV patients, the presentation is often more acute, at times with severe fulminant infection. Infected patients often experience dyspnea as well as nonproductive cough and fever. Examination may reveal crackles. Chest x-ray shows diffuse bilateral interstitial infiltrates and, less commonly, nodules, cavities, unilateral infiltrates, effusions, and spontaneous pneumothoraces. Lung examination can be clear, however, confounding the diagnosis. If chest x-ray shows reticular or interstitial infiltrates, one approach would be to obtain a bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or sputum sample. If the chest x-ray is clear but the suspicion of PCP is still high, the next step would be high-resolution computed tomography (CT). The finding of ground glass opacities is highly suggestive of *P. jirovecii*, particularly in HIV patients. Sputum or BAL fluid should still be obtained to confirm the diagnosis of *P. jirovecii* (Figure 1). If the CT is clear, then *P. jirovecii* is unlikely, particularly in HIV-positive patients where, in one study, the sensitivity of the CT approaches 100%.Laboratory diagnosis. *P. jirovecii* cannot be grown in culture for clinical purposes, and it is extremely difficult to culture even in the research setting. Cytologic stains such as the Wright-Giemsa and methamine silver stains are the mainstay of laboratory diagnosis. The yield for *P. jirovecii* from routine expectorated sputum is very low and some laboratories discourage this approach. The sensitivity of nebulized sputum using hypertonic saline ranges from 50% to 90%.

In patients with acquired immune deficiency syn-

FIGURE 1. Chest computed tomography in non-HIV-infected patients demonstrating ground glass opacities. If ground glass opacities are present (left), sputum or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid should be obtained. If the image is clear (right), *P. jirovecii* is unlikely.
drome (AIDS), bronchoscopy provides 90% to 98% sensitivity by BAL. Transbronchial biopsy may provide some additional yield over BAL in a few situations, such as patients who have been receiving partial P. jirovecii prophylaxis. Immunofluorescence techniques using monoclonal antibodies to P. jirovecii are commercially available and are first-line diagnostic tools in some laboratories. Recently, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay has been introduced into clinical practice as a reproducible test with high sensitivity.

**Primary therapy.** Primary therapy for PCP consists of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) or pentamidine. TMP-SMX is considered the drug of choice and is usually administered intravenously for 21 days in HIV patients and 14 days for non-HIV patients. The oral form may be used in patients with less severe PCP with a functioning gastrointestinal tract. Common adverse reactions to TMP-SMX include rash, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, neutropenia, increased lung function tests, and nausea/vomiting/diarrhea.10 Pentamidine is as effective as TMP-SMX, but is associated with renal toxicity, hypotension, severe hypoglycemia, cardiac arrhythmias, and diabetes.11 It is generally reserved for severe cases of PCP in patients who are allergic to or otherwise intolerant of sulfas. Other treatments include atovaquone and trimethoprim-dapsone. Adjunctive corticosteroids have been shown to be beneficial in moderate to severe PCP in HIV patients to reduce the local host inflammatory response to dead or dying organisms. Recent guidelines have recommended corticosteroids for HIV patients with PCP who have an oxygen level of 70 mm Hg or less on room air, or an alveolar-arterial (A-a) gradient of oxygen 35 mm Hg or greater.12 Little is known about the role of adjunctive corticosteroids in non-HIV patients, given a lack of clinical studies.

**Prevention.** Recent estimates of disease burden from a meta-analysis of 11,900 patients with connective tissue diseases found PCP in 12% of patients with GPA, 6% in those with polydermatomyositis, 5% in SLE, and 1% in RA.1 Mortality due to PCP is higher in patients with rheumatic diseases, ranging from 30% in RA to 63% in GPA, than in those with HIV (10% to 20%).13 One key risk factor predisposing patients with connective tissue diseases to infection with P. jirovecii is recent corticosteroid use. Among patients with connective tissue disease, more than 90% of those infected with P. jirovecii have recently received steroid therapy.14 Additionally, in almost all patients with P. jirovecii, lymphopenia with absolute lymphocyte counts less than 1,000/mm³ is present.15

In patients with HIV, prophylaxis is initiated at a CD4 level of 200/mm³.13 However, the cutoff is less clear for non-HIV rheumatic patients. A cutoff of less than 300 cells/mm³ has been proposed for prophylaxis of PCP. However, at that range, approximately 50% of patients with connective tissue disease would remain above the threshold.13 One possible solution is to screen by PCR and treat colonization. Other algorithms have been proposed, but there is no general consensus on treatment of non-HIV rheumatic patients.13,16 Generally, prophylaxis should be considered in patients at the highest risk for PCP. These include patients taking prednisone at doses greater than 20 mg/day for 1 month plus a cytotoxic agent, a TNF inhibitor plus glucocorticoids, and methotrexate plus glucocorticoids in GPA.13

**Nocardia asteroides and Nocardia species**

_Nocardia_ species are ubiquitous bacteria found worldwide in soil, dust, and decaying material. On Gram stain the organism is weakly gram-positive with a filamentous “beaded” and branching appearance (Figure 2). Disease results from inhalation of contaminated material in the environment with subsequent lung colonization. Nocardiosis is an opportunistic infection generally seen in persons with defective T-cell (cell-mediated) immunity. Cases have been reported in patients with connective tissue diseases, including SLE, and RA treated with corticosteroids alone and corticosteroids plus methotrexate.17-19 Nocardiosis has been increasingly recognized with anti-TNF therapy. Animal models have demonstrated that TNF plays an important role in clearance of _Nocardia_ infections.20 In one review, eight cases of nocardiosis were identified from some 300,000 patients receiving an anti-TNF agent.21

Classically, _Nocardia_ infection results in abscess formation with infiltrates of polymorphonuclear cells, debris, and thin-walled abscesses. The most frequent site of primary infection is pulmonary. Characteristically, multiple pulmonary nodules or cavities are seen, and _Nocardia_ should be considered in the differential diagnosis of an immunocompromised patient with nodular pneumonia. The nodules can also be masslike in appearance (greater than 2 cm). The presentation of new cavitary lung opacities with systemic symptoms may be mistaken for GPA.22 _Nocardia_ may disseminate to one or more organs including the central nervous...
Histoplasmosis is a dimorphic fungus that causes disease in both healthy and immunocompromised hosts. The organism differs from other pathogenic fungi in that it is an intracellular organism, mainly involving the reticuloendothelial system, and is rarely in the extracellular space. In the United States, infections are clustered endemically in areas such as the Mississippi and Ohio River Valleys, but infections are common worldwide. The fungus is found in soil, mulch, bird excrement, and bat guano. Asymptomatic or mild infections are common in healthy persons residing in endemic areas and occur on a sporadic basis. Epidemics can occur when contaminated material is aerosolized. Histoplasmosis is also an opportunistic infection in patients with impaired T-cell immunity such as persons with AIDS, organ transplant recipients, hematologic malignancies, and corticosteroid use. Clinically significant cases of histoplasmosis have been described in patients with RA while receiving methotrexate alone, corticosteroids alone, and combinations of disease-modifying agents. Histoplasmosis was recently identified in 240 patients in association with TNF inhibitors, translating to 17 per 100,000 patients treated with infliximab.

Pathogenesis. Infection initially occurs through inhalation of contaminated material from the environment, primarily causing pulmonary infection. The organism converts from a mold form in the environment to a pathogenic yeast form in the host. Once inhaled, the mediastinal lymph nodes provide the first line of defense. Following draining of the lymph nodes, the organism enters the bloodstream in both immunocompetent and immunosuppressed patients. It is spread hematogenously into the spleen, liver, and reticuloendothelial system, where it is eventually cleared. In immunocompetent patients, cellular immunity limits infection within 7 to 14 days and humoral immunity is not protective. Granuloma formation is the hallmark of host defense.

Spectrum of illness. Histoplasmosis is associated with a wide spectrum of illness, with presentation ranging from asymptomatic to mild pulmonary illness to overwhelming pneumonia. Symptomatic pulmonary histoplasmosis typically presents with fever, flulike symptoms, and cough, often with retrosternal chest pain. X-rays show patchy or nodular infiltrates, with hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy. In some cases the lung parenchyma is clear and the main feature is fever and bilateral hilar adenopathy. Pulmonary histoplasmosis may be difficult to distinguish from sarcoidosis and tuberculosis. Extrapulmonary disease can present as hepatitis, infective endocarditis, and chronic meningitis. In immunocompromised patients, histoplasmosis can present as a progressive disseminated disease which can be acute, subacute, or chronic. Chronic disseminated histoplasmosis is characterized by cough, persistent fever, wasting, hepatosplenomegaly, oral ulcerations, and progressive cytopenias. Acute disseminated histoplasmosis has a much more fulminant course characterized by respiratory insufficiency, hypotension, multisystem organ failure, coagulopathies, and encephalopathy. Histoplasmosis is primarily a pulmonary disease, but in disseminated disease more than 50% of patients have no pulmonary symptoms and 30% may have normal chest x-rays. In one series of infliximab-related cases (n = 10), all came from an endemic area 1 week to 6 months after the first dose of infliximab. Patients presented with cough, fever, and shortness of breath. The pathogenesis of histoplasmosis in patients receiving TNF inhibitors is not entirely clear; such patients may be suffering a new primary infection, a reinfection, or, least likely, reactivation of latent infection.

Definitive diagnosis requires culture confirmation from appropriate body fluids or identification of characteristic yeast forms from histopathologic sections of tissue biopsies. Serologic tests may also be used to confirm the diagnosis. Detection of H and M precipitins or bands by immunodiffusion is a routine test in many laboratories. M bands are present in 50% of acute cases but their presence does not distinguish acute from remote infection. H bands are present in only 10% of all acute cases, but their presence is very specific for acute histoplasmosis.

When looking at complement fixation antibodies to yeast (HY) and mycelial (HMy) forms in pulmonary histoplasmosis, a fourfold rise in titer establishes the diagnosis retrospectively, and a single titer greater than 1:32 is strongly suggestive of active infection. However, in progressive disseminated histoplasmosis, the complement fixation antibodies are frequently negative. Detection of antigen in urine and serum by enzyme immunoassay has become a mainstay of diagnosis, with a sensitivity of approximately 90% in progressive disseminated disease. Of note, most cases of histoplasmosis associated with biologic agents have detectable urinary antigen tests.
mend observation alone in most cases of mild to moderate pulmonary histoplasmosis unless symptoms persist longer than 1 month. For moderately severe or severe acute pulmonary histoplasmosis, the IDSA recommends lipid formulations of amphotericin B (3.0 to 5.0 mg/kg/day) or deoxycholate amphotericin B (0.7 to 1.0 mg/kg/day) for 1 to 2 weeks followed by itraconazole 200 mg twice daily for a total of 12 weeks. Methylprednisolone at a dose of 0.5 to 1.0 mg/kg/day intravenously for 1 to 2 weeks is also recommended. For moderately severe to severe disseminated histoplasmosis, the IDSA recommends lipid formulations of amphotericin B (3.0 mg/kg/day) for 1 to 2 weeks followed by oral itraconazole 200 mg three times daily for 3 days and then 200 mg twice daily for a total of at least 12 months.24 Commonly, the immunosuppressive agent is held during treatment.

**Aspergillus species**

Another emerging pathogen is *Aspergillus* species—a ubiquitous mold spread by aerosols of spores. There are many different species of Aspergillus, but the most common human pathogens include *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, and *A. flavus*. To date, 39 cases of Aspergillus infection associated with infliximab and etanercept have been reported in the Adverse Event Reporting System, translating to 9 to 12 cases per 100,000 patients.21

---

**FIGURE 3.** Disseminated central nervous system aspergillosis in immunosuppressed patients showing brain abscesses.

**FIGURE 4.** Manifestations of herpes zoster include localized disease, disseminated cutaneous zoster, and disseminated visceral zoster.
Manifestations of the various types of Aspergillus infection include invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, which is classically a cavitary disease with a halo effects; chronic necrotizing pneumonia, which has no specific identifying characteristics; and disseminated CNS aspergillosis, causing abscesses in immunosuppressed patients (Figure 3).

**Varicella zoster**

Herpes zoster infection is caused by reactivation of latent infection. In the United States, 95% of adults are seropositive for herpes zoster with a 10% to 30% lifetime risk of zoster reactivation. It is the most common viral infection in multiple series of patients with connective tissue diseases. In a multivariate analysis by Wolfe et al of patients with RA, cyclophosphamide (hazard ratio [HR] 4.2), azathioprine (HR 2.0), and prednisone (HR 1.5) were significant predictors of herpes zoster. TNF inhibitor risk (HR 1.82) is less clear, with studies demonstrating no definitively increased risk. Manifestations of herpes zoster include localized disease (thoracic zoster as the most common presentation), disseminated cutaneous zoster, and disseminated visceral zoster (with encephalitis, myelitis, and angiitis) (Figure 4).

**JC virus**

More than 80% of adults are seropositive for JCV, a DNA virus of the genus *Polyomavirus* that causes lytic infection of oligodendrocytes. In immunocompromised hosts, JCV causes progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a rare but devastating demyelinating disease. PML was first described in malignancy, leukemia, and various other immunocompromised states, prior to its strong association with AIDS in the 1980s. More recently, JCV has been associated with natalizumab for multiple sclerosis and Crohn disease, rituximab for oncology patients, efalizumab for psoriasis, and mycophenolate mofetil for transplant recipients.

In 2006 the US Food and Drug Administration issued a safety alert regarding PML in two patients with SLE treated with rituximab and other immunosuppressives. In a review of PML in rheumatic disease, 36 cases were identified in patients who had not previously received a biologic agent. Most of these patients (60%) had SLE. Of these, many had little or no immunosuppression over the 6 months prior to the diagnosis of PML, suggesting that SLE itself may predispose to PML. Interestingly, PML is rarely associated with TNF inhibitors.

Classic presentation of PML includes motor weakness, aphasia, dysarthria, vision loss, and cognitive loss. Atypical presentation includes seizures, headaches, and brainstem involvement. PML usually spares the optic nerves, spinal cord, peripheral nerves, and muscles. In persons with underlying rheumatic diseases, PML can be difficult to distinguish from neuropsychiatric SLE or CNS vasculitis.

**Diagnosis.** On magnetic resonance imaging, typical presentation of PML shows T2 and fluid attenuated inversion recovery hyperintense regions in the white matter, asymmetric parietal and occipital radiations, and occasional cerebellum and basal ganglia involvement (Figure 5). If magnetic resonance imaging is normal, PML usually can be excluded. Cerebrospinal fluid examination shows a mean of 7 white blood cells/μL. PCR for JCV in cerebrospinal fluid has a specificity of close to 100% in persons with advanced HIV based on data prior to the use of highly active antiretroviral therapy. Sensitivity using older assays is approximately 70% to 90% while the sensitivity of newer quantitative “ultrasensitive” PCR assays is greater than 90%.

**Treatment.** In clinical trials no antiviral agent has been effective in the treatment of PML. In HIV patients who develop PML, highly active antiretroviral therapy should be initiated (if antiretroviral-naïve) or existing antiviral regimens optimized. Antiretroviral therapy in this situation may stabilize disease and possibly increase survival. For HIV-negative patients who develop PML, the cornerstone of management is immediate decrease or discontinuation of immunosuppression. Several adjunctive measures have been reported mainly in natalizumab-associated PML, including corticosteroids, mirtazapine, plasma exchange, and others.

### VACCINES

Vaccination is important in the prevention of infectious disease in immunocompromised patients with connective tissue diseases. Since live vaccines are contraindicated in immunocompromised patients, inactivated or component vaccines should be used. When vaccinating patients who will be starting immunosuppressive therapy, it is recommended that patients be vaccinated 2 to 4 weeks before beginning therapy. If this is not possible, vaccination should be administered during disease remission, after 3 months of immunosuppression and 1 to 3 months after administration of high-dose corticosteroids.

Table 1 lists common live (attenuated) vaccines and inactivated vaccines. Live influenza vaccine is available
as a nasal spray, but that route of administration is contraindicated in immunocompromised patients and those aged over 50 years. To further define the contraindications in immunocompromised patients, corticosteroids are not a contraindication to live-virus vaccines if taken:

- Short-term (less than 14 days)
- At a dose of less than 20 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent
- Long-term on alternate days with short-acting preparations
- At a physiologic dose of prednisone
- Topically, inhaled, intra-articularly, bursally, or via tendon.44

No data are available to guide immunization while a patient is taking anti-TNF agents, but the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommend “caution in the use of live vaccines” with these drugs and “avoidance” unless the benefit, by case, greatly outweighs the risk. In contrast, there are no data on vaccine safety or specific recommendations with rituximab treatment. However, following the recommendations for “functional asplenia” in the CDC guidelines, pneumococcal, meningococcal, and Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine (if not given in infancy) would be indicated. Table 2 summarizes the CDC recommendations for vaccinating immunocompromised adults (excluding those with HIV).

Until definitive guidelines are developed, practitioners must evaluate and treat each patient individually to maximize the efficacy of disease treatments while preventing infection morbidity and mortality in their patients with connective tissue diseases.
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Live vaccines (attenuated)</th>
<th>Inactivated vaccines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live-attenuated influenza—nasal spray</td>
<td>Influenza (trivalent inactivated vaccine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles-mumps-rubella</td>
<td>Pneumococcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicella</td>
<td>Meningococcal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes zoster vaccine</td>
<td>Haemophilus influenza B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
<td>Hepatitis A and B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow fever</td>
<td>Tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others: oral polio vaccine (not available), BCG, typhoid fever, yellow fever</td>
<td>Human papilloma virus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCG = Bacillus Calmette–Guérin

*Live vaccine contraindicated in immunocompromised patients with connective tissue disease.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>1 dose trivalent inactivated vaccine annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis (Td/Tdap)</td>
<td>Substitute 1-time dose of Tdap for Td booster; then boost with Td every 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicella</td>
<td>Contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human papillomavirus</td>
<td>3 doses through age 26 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes zoster</td>
<td>Contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles, mumps, rubella</td>
<td>Contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal (polysaccharide)</td>
<td>1 or 2 doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningococcal</td>
<td>1 or more doses*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A</td>
<td>2 doses*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>3 doses*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommended if some other risk factor is present (eg, on the basis of medical, occupational, lifestyle, or other indications).
37. Rituxan warning. FDA Consumer 2007;41:3.
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ABSTRACT

Standard therapy for granulomatosis with polyangiitis and other vasculitides is a combination of cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids. Although most patients achieve remission, relapses and treatment-related morbidities are common. Clinical trials have yielded a wealth of data about less toxic alternatives to standard therapy, including new agents and methods of delivery. All aim to reduce long-term exposure to cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids and so maintain safety while effectively preventing relapse. Individualized evaluation of risk and treatment selection will help maximize effectiveness and minimize toxicity.

In 1958, shortly after the first descriptions of granulomatosis with polyangiitis, or GPA (Wegener’s granulomatosis), the 1-year mortality was 18%, mainly due to renal failure. Physicians tried to combat the disease using various immunosuppressive drugs (nitrogen mustard and, in later years, azathioprine and methotrexate), but measurable success came only after investigators introduced cyclophosphamide (CYC) in combination with the glucocorticoid prednisone. A key 1992 study showed that the CYC/prednisone combination markedly improved the disease status in 91% of patients, with 75% achieving complete remission. The treatment came at a price, however, with almost all patients suffering serious morbidity or side effects. The results also highlighted concerns about potential malignancies caused by prolonged use of CYC and glucocorticoids. Those concerns motivated the European Vasculitis Study Group in the late 1980s and early 1990s to design and validate testing for antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)–associated vasculitides (AAV) and pursue consensus regarding treatment.

ALTERNATIVES TO STANDARD THERAPY

The accepted therapeutic strategy for GPA is to first induce remission using high doses of CYC and then prevent relapse with longer-term, less toxic therapeutic alternatives. These less toxic therapies include newer agents as well as new methods of delivery, particularly for patients with nonsevere forms of disease.

Methotrexate—effective for early treatment

Methotrexate showed early promise in several nonrandomized trials of patients with nonsevere disease. One such study, de Groot et al subclassified 100 patients at diagnosis according to the extent and severity of the disease. Patients were then randomized to receive either standard oral CYC or methotrexate, each combined with prednisolone. Remission rates (90% to 94%) were comparable regardless of whether patients received CYC or methotrexate, although patients with more severe disease who were taking methotrexate took longer to achieve remission. At the same time, relapse rates were higher for methotrexate-taking patients (70%) compared with the CYC group (47%). Thus, while methotrexate could replace CYC for initial treatment of early AAV, CYC had a greater influence on subsequent relapse rates, particularly in patients with more severe forms of disease.

Pulse cyclophosphamide—a new method

Investigators tested pulse delivery of CYC compared with oral daily administration as a means of reducing the CYC dose. An analysis of 14 relatively small studies showed that pulse CYC had the same survival and renal failure rates as continuous therapy. One such trial, the CYC Daily Oral Versus Pulsed (CYCLOPS) trial, involved 149 patients with generalized disease (nephritis, GPA, and microscopic polyangiitis [MPA]) who were administered either an intravenous (IV) pulse or a daily oral CYC regimen. The pulse CYC neither shortened patients’ time to remission nor increased the proportion of patients who achieved it. Patients receiving pulse CYC suffered one-third the rate of leukopenia experienced by patients who received the oral regimen. Since infection is a source of mortality in vasculitis, this finding is an important consideration when balancing the benefits of day-to-day control offered by oral administration against the safety of at-risk patients such as the elderly.

This treatment strategy may be relevant for patients...
with renal impairment. It was once thought that patients with renal failure after receiving CYC had more aggressive disease and therefore needed higher dosages. Investigators who studied the impact of renal insufficiency and hemodialysis on the pharmacokinetics of CYC found that clearance of CYC is impaired in patients with reduced renal function. Thus, when renal function is suppressed, the CYC dosage should be reduced rather than increased.

Mycophenolate mofetil—efficacy not yet confirmed
Another alternative to CYC, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), has gained much attention, although its effectiveness is not yet certain. Pilot data show that 13 of 17
patients with MPA achieved remission after 6 months of treatment with MMF. Meanwhile, the so-called MYCYC trial, in which patients with newly diagnosed AAV receive either the CYCLOPS regimen or MMF, is under way.

Deoxyspergualin—remission not sustained

A nonstandard drug that warrants attention is deoxyspergualin (now called gusperimus), licensed in Japan for 15 years. In a prospective, open-label trial of 45 patients with relapsing or refractory GPA, investigators showed that 95% achieved partial remission and 45% full remission, although remission was not sustained when therapy was stopped. Because the drug must be administered daily for 21 days by subcutaneous injection, deoxyspergualin is not easy to use. It may represent an alternative, however, since it permitted prednisolone dosage reduction.

EVALUATING RISK AND CHOOSING THERAPIES

Considering all of the available data, the question arises regarding what to prescribe for patients who present in a variety of contexts. On the basis of evidence and consensus, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) has published recommendations for the evaluation, investigation, treatment, and monitoring of patients with primary, small-vessel, large-vessel, and ANCA-associated vasculitides (see “Summary of treatment recommendations,” page S47).

CONSIDERATIONS IN CHOOSING REMISSION THERAPY

Overall, when planning remission therapy and its duration, clinicians must balance the efficacy of CYC and glucocorticoids against their toxicity. Close monitoring and the patient’s capacity to adhere to instructions are two critical issues. Other important considerations include the risk and consequences of relapse, which vary in different circumstances, and the association of cancer with CYC therapy.

Relapse risk is variable

Certain patients are at higher risk of relapse than others. Patients with GPA or proteinase-3-ANCA–positive disease are at higher relapse risk than those who have MPA. ANCA-positive disease in remission or rising ANCA markers both increase the risk of relapse. Ear, nose, throat, and lung diseases increase the likelihood of relapse. Patients with GPA who are Staphylococcus aureus carriers have increased risk. Serum creatinine levels of 2.0 to 3.0 mg/dL at the end of induction therapy should arouse concern about renal relapse.

Most relapses affect the ear, nose, and throat system and do not threaten vital organs. Relapse does not increase the risk of death or end-stage renal disease.

Consider mortality and cancer data

Although the strongest predictor of early death is infection, advanced age and renal impairment also predict death. Chronic kidney disease stage at entry and glomerular filtration rate significantly predict mortality. More than 36 g CYC (equivalent to 9 to 12 months of standard oral therapy) increases the risk of bladder cancer 10-fold and myeloid leukemia 60-fold, but the cancer risk is time-dependent; malignancy requires 12 years on average to emerge.

CONCLUSION

Cyclophosphamide in combination with glucocorticoids remains the standard therapy for GPA and related vasculitides, despite the risk of significant treatment-related...
comorbidities. Several strategies can be employed to reduce exposure, such as sequential withdrawal of CYC and IV administration. The optimization of glucocorticoid dosing will be a major research focus in the next decade. Newer agents may improve the maintenance of remission; for example, azathioprine and methotrexate show equal efficacy and safety, while MMF is less effective. When planning remission maintenance therapy, the relapse risk should be considered carefully because it varies among clinical scenarios. Other factors in the decision include the consequences for the patient, monitoring requirements, and the patient's ability to understand and adhere to instructions.
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ABSTRACT

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA) is a type of vasculitis that affects the respiratory tract and kidneys. Without treatment, half of patients die within 6 months. Standard therapy (a daily combination of cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids) can induce remission, but the duration is short and treatment is plagued by serious morbidity. Advances in understanding the potential target of cyclophosphamide—B cells, that indirectly give rise to antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA)—led to a new B-cell–targeted strategy. We administered rituximab, an anti–B-cell agent, to patients with severe GPA and microscopic polyangiitis. Overall, rituximab matched the efficacy of cyclophosphamide in inducing remission and was superior in patients with relapsing disease. The timing of re-treatment can be individualized based on patients’ B-cell counts and ANCA levels in patients with chronically relapsing GPA.

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA [Wegener’s granulomatosis]) is a vasculitis that affects the renal and respiratory systems. Remission can be induced in most patients with the combination of glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide. Unfortunately, patients often suffer disease relapses requiring re-treatment and exposure to the cumulative toxicities of repeated cyclophosphamide use. In recent years, improved understanding of the mechanisms of action of cyclophosphamide has led to investigation of treatment strategies that target the role of B cells more specifically in the pathogenesis of the disease.

This article reviews the results of recent studies involving the use of biologic therapy in the treatment of GPA, with a brief examination of historic events that influenced the design of recent trials.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

The natural history of GPA was characterized in 1958 in a retrospective study showing that 50% of those afflicted died within 6 months, and 80% died by 18 months. Prednisone and cyclophosphamide changed this dismal outcome. The combination markedly improved the status of 91% to 93% of patients, with most achieving complete remission. Treatment came with a price, however. Almost all patients suffered serious morbidity or side effects, including chronic renal insufficiency (11% requiring dialysis), recurrent infections, hearing loss, infertility, and diabetes. In addition, most patients (99 of 155 in one study) suffered relapse and a significant number (19 of 155) died because of the disease or its treatment.

Investigators’ pursuit of treatment alternatives included foregoing cyclophosphamide in patients who had limited or early systemic GPA and reducing the duration of treatment for patients with severe disease. Studies conducted in the late 1990s defined what eventually became standard therapy for GPA: remission induction with glucocorticoids and methotrexate for limited GPA and with glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide for severe disease. Following remission induction, after 3 to 6 months cyclophosphamide is replaced by azathioprine or methotrexate for remission maintenance. While helpful, these alternatives still fell short of achieving safe, long-term remission.

THERAPY WITH BIOLOGICS

Targeting tumor necrosis factor

The first randomized placebo-controlled trial of a biologic agent in GPA, the Wegener’s Granulomatosis Etanercept Trial (WGET), evaluated whether etanercept, a soluble inhibitor of tumor necrosis factor (TNF), would be an effective adjunct to standard therapy. The results showed that etanercept did not confer any beneficial effect and, in fact, if combined with exposure to cyclophosphamide, etanercept increased the risk for solid tumors. Thus, anti-TNF therapy has a limited or no role in the management of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV).

Targeting B cells

The mechanisms of cyclophosphamide effects on disease activity were not clearly understood. In the late 1970s,
however, National Institutes of Health investigators found that cyclophosphamide, at the doses administered for GPA, had a profound effect on B-cell function.3 Later investigations showed that disease activity of GPA was clearly related to the frequency of activated B cells detectable in the peripheral blood, while abnormally activated T cells were also detectable in patients in remission.6 These findings suggested that activated B cells might be responsible for disease activity, whereas persistently activated T cells might explain the chronically relapsing nature of the disease.6

B cells are the precursors of short-lived plasma cells, which are thought to be the primary source of autoantibodies, including ANCA. Based on clinical observations as well as in vitro and some animal model experiments, investigators have ascribed pathogenic roles to ANCA. Consequently, targeting the cells that produce these autoantibodies (short-lived plasma cells of B-cell origin) might form the basis of a novel treatment. Why not target cells of the B-cell lineage, thereby eliminating the short-lived plasma cells that would otherwise produce autoantibodies? This might be achieved with rituximab, a monoclonal antibody directed against the CD20 molecule found on pre-B and mature B cells.7 Our group first successfully deployed this strategy in the early 2000s, followed by an open-label pilot study.8–10

The RAVE trial
The Rituximab in ANCA-Associated Vasculitis (RAVE) trial was a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial that compared rituximab for remission induction and maintenance with standard therapy consisting of cyclophosphamide followed by azathioprine in patients with severe AAV.11 The results of a pilot trial in 200612 set the stage for the RAVE trial, which hypothesized that treatment with rituximab plus glucocorticoids would not be inferior to daily cyclophosphamide plus glucocorticoids. Both would induce remission and permit discontinuation of prednisone after 6 months.

Nine centers enrolled a total of 197 patients with severe GPA or microscopic polyangiitis (MPA), all positive for ANCA, with active disease severe enough to warrant prednisone and cyclophosphamide. All participants received 1 to 3 g of methylprednisolone intravenously followed by prednisone (1 mg/kg per day). The treatment group received rituximab (375 mg/m² once weekly for 4 weeks) and the control group received standard therapy with cyclophosphamide (2 mg/kg per day) followed by azathioprine (2 mg/kg per day) after 3 to 6 months when remission was achieved.

The primary end point was complete remission, defined as a Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s Granulomatosis (BVAS/WG) of 0 and successful tapering of prednisone by 6 months. Secondary end points included rates of disease flares, cumulative glucocorticoid doses, rates of adverse events, and Medical Outcomes Study 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36, a measure of quality of life) scores. Among patients receiving rituximab, 64% reached the primary end point compared with 53% of patients in the control group. Rituximab was judged not inferior to standard therapy.

Results were similar for the secondary end point of disease remission while taking less than 10 mg/d of prednisone, with 71% of rituximab patients and 62% of control-group patients achieving remission. Rituximab was also as effective as cyclophosphamide in the treatment of patients with major renal disease or alveolar hemorrhage. Most strikingly, rituximab proved superior to the cyclophosphamide-based regimen for inducing remission in patients who entered the trial with relapsing disease (67% rituximab versus 42% cyclophosphamide) (Figure 1). Those who entered the trial with a new diagnosis did not show the same difference in efficacy.

Rituximab also proved significantly more effective than cyclophosphamide for patients who had proteinase-3 (PR3) ANCA, whereas the efficacy of both agents was equivalent among patients who had myeloperoxidase ANCA. Patients in the cyclophosphamide arm experienced more leukopenia compared with the rituximab arm, but this did not lead to more infections.

In summary, the RAVE trial showed that rituximab matched the efficacy of cyclophosphamide (standard therapy) in inducing remission in patients with severe AAV. The results held true for subsets of patients with major renal disease and those with alveolar hemorrhage. Most strikingly, among patients who entered the trial
with a severe relapse, those who received rituximab responded better than those treated with cyclophosphamide. There were no significant differences in flare rates by 6 months and no difference in the rate of severe adverse events. However, participants receiving cyclophosphamide experienced more selected adverse events, particularly leukopenias.

Clinically speaking, rituximab represents the first proven alternative to cyclophosphamide for remission induction in this patient population. The treatment presents the preferred option for patients interested in preserving fertility or who need to be re-treated for a severe disease flare. Based on these data, the US Food and Drug Administration recently extended the labeling of rituximab for treatment of GPA and MPA.

The RITUXVAS trial
The European Vasculitis Study Group (EUVAS) launched another trial comparing the efficacy of rituximab with cyclophosphamide for remission induction. The trial design differed from that of the RAVE trial in that investigators did not discontinue prednisone in all patients, followed patients for 12 months, and assessed sustained remission as the primary end point. In this trial, patients in the rituximab arm also received two single intravenous cyclophosphamide infusions, and cyclophosphamide in the control arm was given intravenously. All 44 patients enrolled in the trial and randomized 3:1 to the rituximab versus the cyclophosphamide control arm were ANCA-positive and had active renal disease. The patient population overall was older and had more severe renal disease than the patients enrolled in the RAVE trial. Overall, one course of rituximab achieved the same results as 6 months of intravenous pulse cyclophosphamide followed by oral azathioprine in terms of rate of sustained remission at 12 months, time to relapse, improvement of renal function, and rate of adverse events.

Mayo Clinic cohort study
Our group at Mayo Clinic evaluated the safety and effectiveness of rituximab when used repeatedly in order to maintain long-term remission. The study involved 53 patients who had a long-term (10 years, on average) diagnosis of refractory AAV. The patients received, on average, four courses of rituximab. All of these patients had GPA and all but one were PR3-ANCA-positive.

In these patients, treatment with rituximab led to depletion of B cells. When B-cell numbers returned to normal approximately 6 to 11 months after treatment, ANCA levels also increased. Patients in whom no treatment was initiated subsequently suffered flares. If treatment was reinitiated, both B cells and ANCA levels decreased and flares could be prevented. BVAS/WG = Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score for Wegener’s Granulomatosis; PR3 = proteinase-3

![Figure 2](image_url)
CONCLUSION

Enhanced understanding of the mechanism of action of cyclophosphamide has led to investigation of the role of B cells in the development of AAV and, from there, to the potential for treatment with biologics such as rituximab. Rituximab is equivalent in efficacy to cyclophosphamide for remission induction in AAV. It effectively restores remission and prevents relapse, and it is a better option than cyclophosphamide for PR3-ANCA–associated relapsing vasculitis. Future investigations should further address how to best prevent relapses after B-cell reconstitution.
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ABSTRACT

Adolf Kussmaul is well known for his contributions to the science of medicine and the specialty of rheumatology. A much-loved teacher and respected physician and researcher, Kussmaul’s desire to understand disease, his careful clinical observations, and his innovative thinking in medical technology mark him as a pioneer in modern rheumatology.

A dolf Kussmaul, who lived and practiced medicine in the 19th century, is known for his clinical skills, his scientific acumen, his gift for teaching, and his mastery of diverse areas of knowledge. He was a contemporary of such luminaries as pathologist Rudolf Virchow. In the rheumatology community, he is best known for describing the first case of polyarteritis nodosa (PAN).

FIRST CASE

In the first volume of the first edition of German Archive for Clinical Medicine, Kussmaul, along with his pathology associate Rudolf Maier, reported the case of Carl Seufarth, a 27-year-old tailor’s journeyman. Seufarth arrived at the University of Freiburg internal medicine clinic on May 4, 1865, at 10 am. Kussmaul was at that time head of medicine at Freiburg. Seufarth’s journeyman’s log recorded that he had been healthy when he left his hometown of Gernsbach in southwest Germany on January 30, 1865. His entry indicated that he was 5 feet 2 inches tall, was of strong build, and had healthy facial color.

Kussmaul’s 1866 description of Seufarth upon his arrival at the clinic is among the most memorable passages in medical literature:

“The patient was one of those patients for whom one can already give the prognosis before the diagnosis; the first impression was one of a lost soul whose few remaining days are numbered.”

Despite his frail appearance, Seufarth was able to walk into the hospital and climb the two flights of stairs to the internal medicine clinic without assistance. He had had a cold followed by a productive cough in the autumn of 1864, but felt well afterward. In the 8 days prior to admission to the University of Freiburg, he developed diarrhea and frequent chills with fevers and sweats. He had felt unwell for the preceding 2 to 3 weeks, during which he was hospitalized briefly for scabies, wandered from one place to another, and eventually arrived in Freiburg. Freiburg police imprisoned him on May 2 for begging and brought him to the internal medicine department on May 4 because of weakness.

Over the next several days, Seufarth experienced rapidly developing weakness, numbness in the left hand and eventually other extremities, and paralysis of the arm and hand muscles. He was closely monitored at the clinic with his temperature recorded every morning and evening. On the 28th day of hospitalization, pea-sized nodules were discovered in the subcutaneous skin of the abdomen and chest. By June 2, the patient was in a state of extreme weakness. He died on June 3, 1865, at 2 AM.

Upon autopsy, Maier effected a sketch of Seufarth’s heart (Figure 1). The aneurysmal dilatations and narrowings in the coronary arteries that are characteristic of PAN are easily recognized. In the autopsy report, Maier described the condition of the heart as:

“A peculiar mostly nodular thickening (periarteritis nodosa) of countless arteries and below the caliber of the liver artery and the major branches of the coronary arteries of the heart . . .”

This description is what we understand today as typical of vascular involvement in PAN. Maier also examined the tissue microscopically. In his report, he described the aneurysmal dilatations, narrowings, and inflammation occurring at the branches of the arteries. His sketch of involved organs depicted neutrophilic infiltration into the walls of the vessels.

When consulted by Kussmaul for a second opinion, pathologist Virchow said he had not observed patients with disease similar to that of Seufarth. In his archives, however, he later found a specimen of an aneurysm in a branch of the superior mesenteric artery.

Kussmaul and Maier published the case under the title “On a previously undescribed peculiar arterial disease (periarteritis nodosa) accompanied by Bright’s disease and rapidly progressive general muscle weakness.” “Periarteritis nodosa” was later termed “polyarteritis nodosa” to better describe the inflammation of multiple medium-
and small-vessel arteries rather than inflammation around the arteries as Maier had initially envisioned it.

**BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES**

The son of a German army surgeon, Kussmaul was born in 1822 in Graben near Karlsruhe, a small town in the Black Forest of southwestern Germany. Kussmaul began his medical studies at the University of Heidelberg in 1840. That same year, he constructed the first ophthalmoscope. The device did not function as intended because he had not discovered the light orientation needed to prevent the iris from contracting. But, as he later said, “It was the best ophthalmoscope of the time. Its only drawback was that it did not work.”

After graduating from the University of Heidelberg, Kussmaul went into private practice in Wiesloch. He returned to the University a year later, after having developed pericarditis, where he served as an assistant in 1846 and 1847 and engaged not only in medicine and medical discovery, but also poetry, publishing, and social movements. He founded a magazine that published short stories, poetry, and spoofs on the government; and he coined the term “Biedermeier,” which refers to a furniture style as well as a German social movement.

With plans to further his medical education, Kussmaul and his friend, Edward Bronner, traveled to Vienna and Prague in 1847 and 1848. In Vienna, they met the anatomic pathologist Karl Rokitansky. Although the young men hoped to study with the renowned scientist, they were soon dissuaded by Rokitansky’s clear dislike of working with students. He also had little use for patients, holding that the best patient was a dead patient because of all that one could learn by doing an autopsy.

Kussmaul and Bronner returned to Germany, Kussmaul having been called to serve as a physician in the Baden battalion during the German-Danish war. There, he contributed significantly to the health of the army by insisting that wounded soldiers not be bled—a common treatment at that time that actually accelerated the deaths of many soldiers in the field.

**ACADEMICIAN, SCIENTIST, AND CLINICIAN**

Shortly after his 1850 marriage to Luise Amanda Wolf, the daughter of a famous surgeon, Kussmaul developed an ascending polyradiculopathy, which at one time was called Landry-Kussmaul paralysis and later Guillain-Barré syndrome. This condition, along with his previous history of pericarditis, stimulated Kussmaul’s pursuit of medical knowledge for better understanding of his own afflictions as well as medicine in general.

He completed his doctoral dissertation at the University of Würzburg in 1853. There, he worked with pathology professor Rudolf Virchow, who is known as the father of the theory of coagulation and the cellular theory of disease. It is perhaps less well known that in a treatise on histopathology in 1847, Virchow proposed that vasculitis actually might occur in blood vessels and originate in the adventitia. This profound insight was lost at the time because of inadequate understanding of vasculitic disorders.

Returning to the University of Heidelberg in 1854, Kussmaul earned the rank of assistant professor of medicine and, by 1857, professor of medicine. Two years later, he relocated to the University of Erlangen as a professor of medicine. His inaugural lecture at the University of Erlangen was the presentation of two cases of Landry-Kussmaul paralysis. Kussmaul’s research at Erlangen focused on differentiating the symptoms of mercurialism from syphilis (mercury was used for the treatment of syphilis).

Kussmaul was then called to the University of Freiburg in 1863 as head of the department of medicine. Among Kussmaul’s achievements at the University of Freiburg in the 1860s were the description of paradoxical pulse in obstructive pericarditis that we know as the Kussmaul pulse, and the description of the breathing characteristic of diabetic acidotic coma that we know as Kussmaul respiration. There he also performed the first gastroscopy on a sword-swallowing circus performer using a derivation of a laryngoscope; unfortunately, again his invention was thwarted by lack of an adequate light source. He also studied peptic ulcer disease and described a technique for dilating a stenosed peptic ulcer lesion with a balloon device. He later worked with Czerny and Billroth to develop the surgical procedure used routinely for nearly 100 years.
100 years to relieve peptic ulcer disease prior to the introduction of drugs such as ranitidine.

**RHEUMATOLOGY “WORMS”**

Kussmaul and Maier initially published the Seufarth case in abstract form and called it “human worm aneurysm,” because they thought that the vascular pea-shaped or pea-sized structures represented worm and nematode infiltration. When they examined the specimens microscopically, however, they realized that they were viewing an inflammatory disease process.

Ironically, vessel disease of the PAN type was described in 1852 by Rokitansky. Rokitansky reported finding mesenteric aneurysms in the branch points of the arteries; however, because he eschewed technology, he did not examine the specimen microscopically and failed to recognize the inflammatory process. His student, Hans Eppinger, revisited the specimen some 30 years later and, under microscopic examination, clearly defined the aneurysmal dilatations and inflammatory infiltrates (Figure 2).

A final rheumatology worm episode occurred late in Kussmaul’s career in Strasburg, where he had become head of the department of medicine in 1878. Kussmaul asked his assistant and biographer, Albert Kahn, to administer naphthalene to a patient to eradicate intestinal worms. Strangely, the worms survived, but the fever resolved. Due to a pharmacy error, acetanilide, an anti-inflammatory marketed by Bayer, had been dispensed rather than naphthalene. Bayer subsequently marketed the product as Antifebrin.

**REMEMBERED AND COMMEMORATED**

Kussmaul was a much-loved teacher and a well-respected physician. After he retired in 1888, he returned to Heidelberg as emeritus professor of medicine. He died in 1902 at age 80. His desire to understand disease, his clinical observations, his teaching abilities, and his ability to apply medical technology to the bedside all played roles in his contributions to clinical medicine. One of several Kussmaul commemoration sites is a lunette in Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, New York, where his portrait plaque is displayed alongside those of Ismar Boas and Carl Anton Ewald, the founders of modern gastroenterology.
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