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Efforts to improve the patient experience are increasingly focusing on engaging patients and their “care partners” by using patient portals. The Acute Care Patient Portal Task Force was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation to convene a national meeting of an interdisciplinary group of stakeholders, including patient advocates, to consider how the acute and postacute care patient experience can be improved by using patient-facing technologies. We identified key opportunities and challenges for enhancing cognitive support, discharge, and provide self-management instructions during the transition home.12 In this context, understanding how best to leverage acute care patient portals will be important to institutions, clinicians, and vendors.

DRIVERS OF ADOPTION
The adoption of patient portals has been driven by federal incentive programs (Meaningful Use), efforts by the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology to improve patient outcomes and the transition toward value-based reimbursement.2,8,9 The vast majority of use has been in ambulatory settings; use for acute care is nascent at best.10 Among hospitalized patients, few bring an internet-enabled computer or mobile device to access personal health records online.11 However, evidence suggests that care partners will use portals on behalf of acutely ill patients.4 As the Caregiver Advise, Record, Enable Act is implemented, hospitals will be required to identify patients’ care partners during hospitalization, inform them when the patient is ready for discharge, and provide self-management instructions during the transition home.12 In this context, understanding how best to leverage acute care patient portals will be important to institutions, clinicians, and vendors.

CURRENT KNOWLEDGE
The literature regarding acute care patient portals is rapidly growing.4,10 Hospitalized patients have unmet information and communication needs, and hospital-based clinicians struggle to meet these needs in a timely manner.11-15 In general, patients feel that using a mobile device to access personal health records has the potential to improve their experience.11 Early studies suggest that acute care patient portals can promote patient-centered communication and collaboration during hospitalization, including in intensive care settings.4,16,17 Furthermore, the use of acute care patient portals can improve perception of safety and quality, decrease anxiety, and increase understanding of health conditions.5,14 Although early evidence is promising, considerable knowledge gaps exist regarding patient outcomes over the acute episode of care.10,18

OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS
A clear area of interest is accessing acute care patient portals via mobile technology to engage patients during recovery from hospitalization.4,11 Although we do not yet know whether use during care transitions will favorably impact outcomes, given the high rate of harm after discharge, this seems likely.19 The few studies evaluating the effect on validated measures of engagement (Patient Activation Measure) and hospital readmissions have not shown demonstrable improvement to date.20,21 Clearly, optimizing acute care patient portals with regard to patient-clinician communication, as well as the type, timing, and format of information providing personal health information online and to communicate with clinicians. In recent years, institutions have been increasing patient portal offerings to improve the patient experience, promote safety, and optimize healthcare delivery.5,7
delivered, will be necessary to maximize value.4,22

From the patient’s perspective, there is much we can learn.23 Is the information that is presented pertinent, timely, and easy to understand? Will the use of portals detract from face-to-face interactions? Does greater transparency foster more accountability? Achieving an appropriate balance of digital health-information sharing for hospitalized patients is challenging given the sensitivity of patient data when diagnoses are uncertain and treatments are in flux.4,24 These questions must be answered as hospitals implement acute care patient portals.

ACUTE CARE PATIENT PORTAL TASK FORCE

To start addressing knowledge gaps, we established a task force of 21 leading researchers, informatics and policy experts, and clinical leaders. The Acute Care Patient Portal Task Force was a subgroup of the Libretto Consortium, a collaboration of 4 academic medical centers established by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation to design, develop, and implement technologies to engage patients, care partners, and providers in preventing harm in hospital settings. Initially, we were challenged with assessing stakeholders’ perspectives from early adopter institutions. We learned that acute care patient portals must offer an integrated experience across care settings, humanize the patient-clinician relationship, enable equitable access, and align with institutional strategy to promote sustainability.19

In 2016, we convened the conference Acute Care Patient Portals 2020: Opportunities and Challenges for Development, Implementation, and Innovation. A total of 71 individuals participated, including chief medical informatics officers, chief nursing informatics officers, chief medical officers, chief nursing officers, quality and safety officers, executive directors, researchers, informatics experts, software developers, clinicians, patient and family advocates, entrepreneurs, policy leaders, and vendor representatives. The purpose of the meeting was multipronged; a key goal was to understand the patient’s perspective during hospitalization. To achieve this, we led a panel composed of 3 patients who served on patient and family advisory councils at early adopter institutions. Panelists were asked to discuss how the use of patient-facing technologies could address current gaps. Meeting transcripts and notes were synthesized, summarized, and reviewed by task force members. By using a group consensus approach, we identified 3 main themes (Table 1). These themes confirm many of the opportunities and challenges reported in the literature but through the lens of the patient. We believe the insight gained will be valuable as institutions start implementing acute care patient portals.

Cognitive Support

The opportunities identified include acclimatizing and assimilating to the hospital environment (reviewing policies and patient rights) and facilitating self-education and preparation by linking to personal health information and providing structured guidance at transitions.4 For example, a care partner of an incapacitated patient may watch a video to orient to the intensive care unit, navigate educational content linked to the patient’s admission diagnosis (pneumonia) entered in the EHR, view the timing of an upcoming imaging study (chest computed tomography scan), and complete a standardized checklist prior to discharge.

The main challenges we identified include ensuring accuracy of hospital-, unit-, and patient-level information, addressing information overload, configuring notification and display settings to optimize the user experience, presenting information at an appropriate health literacy level,21 and addressing security and privacy concerns when expanding access to family members.24

Respect and Boundaries

Opportunities identified include supporting individual learning styles by using interactive features of mobile devices to improve comprehension for visual, auditory, and tactile learners and reinforcing learning through the use of various types of digital media.25-27 For example, a visual learner may view a video tutorial for a newly prescribed medication. A tactile learner may prefer to use interactive graphical displays that exploit multidimensional touch capabilities of mobile devices to learn about active conditions or an upcoming procedure. An auditory learner may choose to use intelligent personal assistants to navigate their plan of care (“Hey Siri, what is my schedule for today?”). By addressing the learning preferences of patients and time constraints of clinicians, institutions can use acute care patient portals to promote more respectful interactions and collaborative decision-making during important care processes, such as obtaining surgical consent.28,29

We also identified opportunities to facilitate personalization by tailoring educational content and by enabling the use of patient-generated health data collected from wearable devices. For example, patients may prefer to interact with a virtual advocate to review discharge instructions (“Louis” in Project Re-Engineered Discharge) when personalized to their demographics and health literacy level.30-32 Patients may choose to upload step counts from wearable devices so that clinicians can monitor activity goals in preparation for discharge and while recovering afterwards. When supported in these ways, acute care patient portals allow patients to have more meaningful interactions with clinicians about diagnoses, treatments, prognosis, and goals for recovery.

The main challenges we identified include balancing interactions with technology and clinicians, ensuring clinicians understand how patients from different socioeconomic backgrounds use existing and newer technology to enhance self-management, assessing health and technology literacy, and understanding individual preferences for sharing patient-generated health data. Importantly, we must remain vigilant that patients will express concern about overdependence on technology, especially if it detracts from in-person interaction; our panelists emphasized that technology should never replace “human touch.”
### TABLE 1. Opportunities and Challenges for Improving the Acute and Post-Acute Care Patient Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acclimatization and assimilation</strong></td>
<td>Understand policies, procedures, unit protocols, the rights of patients, families, and care team members, and clinical staff roles</td>
<td>Ensure hospital information is accurate, up-to-date, and easy to understand</td>
<td>View videos to orient patients, families, and care partners upon admission to the intensive care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timely and relevant clinical updates for patients and care partners, including bedside and distant family members</td>
<td>Address cognitive burden from information overload and alert fatigue; ensure security and privacy when expanding access to care partners</td>
<td>Designate access for proxies when patients are incapacitated; view updated schedule of planned procedures and imaging studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-education and preparation</strong></td>
<td>Enable on-demand access to personal health information and educational materials linked to patient’s problems, medications, and test results in the EHR</td>
<td>Ensure clinical information is optimally presented for all health literacy levels and languages; ensure EHR is routinely updated</td>
<td>View educational content specific to patient’s medical conditions, medications, and test results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review standardized checklists and guides to prepare for complex clinical conversations with clinicians and transitions to and from the hospital</td>
<td>Ensure patients of all literacy levels can easily access, navigate, and comprehend information</td>
<td>Prompt patient to review a predischarge checklist prior to their expected discharge date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respect and Boundaries</strong></td>
<td>Support individual preferences for using interactive features (high-definition video, intelligent personal assistants, multidimensional touch)</td>
<td>Balance online and in-person interactions with clinicians; minimize overdependence on technology</td>
<td>Perform automated teach-back in patients’ preferred language and format (text, audio, video)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improve comprehension for visual, auditory, and tactile learners; reinforce learning by using digital media, graphics, video tutorials, avatars</td>
<td>Ensure clinicians understand how patients use the portal to engage in learning and care processes (electronically signing consent form)</td>
<td>Provide the option of viewing an educational video or taking an interactive tutorial about a procedure prior to meeting with the surgeon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual learning styles</strong></td>
<td>Tailor educational content, features, and functionality to patient’s age, gender, primary language, and health literacy level; provide cultural context in interactive self-care instructions</td>
<td>Assess health and technology literacy of patients; respect time necessary to review and understand clinical information prior to making decisions</td>
<td>Provide options for selecting a virtual or live interpreter based on the patient’s primary language when reviewing informed consent forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connect to personal wearable devices (activity tracker) to upload patient-generated health data for medical decision-making</td>
<td>Understand individual preferences and comfort with sharing patient-generated health data</td>
<td>Prompt patient to connect a Bluetooth activity tracker prior to discharge to monitor health data (step-counts, heart rate) during recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personalization</strong></td>
<td>Support real-time (video conferencing) and asynchronous (secure messaging) communication among patients, care partners, and care team members</td>
<td>Encourage appropriate use of communication tools; minimize conversational silos among clinicians</td>
<td>Synchronize message recipients to current care team role assignments in the EHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Display pictures, names, roles, and availability of all care team members</td>
<td>Maintain accuracy of care team member identities and availability</td>
<td>Prompt the patient to add their ambulatory specialist to the care team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient-centered communication</strong></td>
<td>Share clinical information and documentation typically maintained by clinicians (progress notes, sign-outs) with patients and care partners to facilitate shared decision-making; hold clinicians accountable to a single care plan at shift-change/handoff</td>
<td>Overcome fear of sharing information in the EHR entered by clinicians; acknowledge patients as equal partners; manage expectations about the diagnostic process and therapeutic options when multiple clinicians are involved or team members change</td>
<td>Invite patients, care partners, and family members to review standardized handoff information (I-PASS) from the EHR at shift change and handoffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transparency</strong></td>
<td>Provide tools to react to or rate newly displayed information and report safety concerns to the care team</td>
<td>Address patient and care partner concerns quickly and respectfully; support patients who fear retaliation for voicing complaints</td>
<td>Invite patients to provide input about their expected discharge date and options for skilled nursing facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Abbreviations: EHR, electronic health record; I-PASS, Illness severity, patient summary, action list, situational awareness and contingency plans, and synthesis by receiver.

---

**Patient and Family Empowerment**

The opportunities identified include promoting patient-centered communication by supporting a real-time and asynchronous dialogue among patients, care partners, and care team members (including ambulatory clinicians) while minimizing conversational silos; displaying names, roles, and pictures of all care team members; fostering transparency by sharing clinician documentation in progress notes and sign-outs; ensuring accountability for a single plan of care spanning shift changes and handoffs, and providing a mechanism to enable real-time feedback.

Hospitalization can be a vulnerable and isolating experience, perpetuated by a lack of timely and coordinated communication with the care team. We identified opportunities to mitigate anxiety by promoting shared understanding when questions require input from multiple clinicians, when team members change, or when patients wish to communicate with their longitudinal ambulatory providers. For example, inviting patients to review clinicians’ progress notes should stimulate more open and meaningful communication.
TABLE 2. Goals and Recommendations for Institutions, Clinicians, and Vendors Implementing Acute Care Patient Portals to Support, Respect, and Empower Hospitalized Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td>Comply with federal regulations (CARE Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximize value-based reimbursement via key programs (HRRP, HMB, MACRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinicians</td>
<td>Enhance patient-centered bedside rounding experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extend reach of concurrent transitional care interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Enhance and develop offerings to support broad-based patient engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure support for technology standards and new requirements under MACRA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Abbreviations: API, Application Programming Interface; CARE, Caregiver Advise Record and Enable Act; EHR, electronic health record; FHIR, Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources; HRRP, Hospital Readmission Reduction Program; HMB, Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program; MACRA, Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (Merit-Based Incentive Payment System, Alternative Payment Models).

dication. Furthermore, requesting that patients state their wishes, preferences, and goals could improve overall concordance with care team members. 

Empowering patients and care partners to voice their concerns, particularly those related to miscommunication, may mitigate harm propagated by handoffs, shift work, and weekend coverage. While reporting safety concerns represents a novel mechanism to augment medical-error reporting by clinicians alone, this strategy will be most effective when aligned with standardized communication initiatives (I-PASS) that have been proven to reduce medical errors and preventable adverse events and are being implemented nationally. Finally, by leveraging tools that facilitate instantaneous feedback, patients can be empowered to react to their plan (ranking skilled nursing facility options) as it is developed.

The main challenges we identified include managing expectations regarding the use of communication tools, accurately and reliably identifying care team members in the EHR, acknowledging patients as equal partners, ensuring patients receive a consistent message about diagnoses and therapies during handoffs and when multiple consultants have conflicting opinions about the plan, and addressing patient concerns fairly and respectfully.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

As hospitals start implementing acute care patient portals, how should we prepare? We offer several recommendations to guide key stakeholders (Table 2). Institutions would benefit from aligning implementation with forthcoming regulations and value-based reimbursement initiatives. Clinicians would benefit from using acute care patient portals to enhance concurrent patient engagement initiatives (patient-centered bedside rounds, transitional care interventions). Vendors would benefit by recognizing that current offerings fall short of the desired features and functionality, from partnering formally with patients and advocacy groups to enhance their offerings, especially when incorporating new technologies (artificial intelligence); and from enabling the use of open-application programming interfaces and emerging technology standards that allow third-party applications addressing existing gaps to exchange data quickly and securely.

In summary, the patient-centered themes we identified serve as guiding principles for institutions, clinicians, and vendors who wish to use patient portals to improve the acute and postacute care patient experience. One central message resonates: Patients do not simply want access to their health information and the ability to communicate with the clinicians who furnish this information; they want to feel supported, respected, and empowered when doing so. It is only through partnership with patients and their advocates that we can fully realize the impact of digital technologies when patients are in their most vulnerable state.
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