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GOAL
To examine the differences between pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) and Crohn's disease

OBJECTIVES
Upon completion of this activity, dermatologists and general practitioners should be able to:
1. Explain the clinical presentation of PG and Crohn's disease.
2. Describe the histologic characteristics of PG and Crohn's disease.
3. Recognize the associated conditions of PG and Crohn's disease.

CME Test on page 438.

Both pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) and cutaneous (metastatic) Crohn's disease (CCD) may occur in the setting of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Clinical distinction between PG and CCD may be difficult because clinical and pathologic features often are similar. Although surgical debridement is therapeutic in CCD, it may lead to increased tissue loss and disease progression (pathergy) in PG. Thus, it is important to determine a definitive diagnosis before surgical debridement, especially in tissue-sensitive sites. We present a patient with chronic ulceration of the penis who ultimately was diagnosed with CCD following an initial misdiagnosis of PG.

Pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) is an idiopathic, progressive, ulcerative skin disease. Half of all cases are associated with identifiable systemic diseases including arthritis, hepatitis, blood dyscrasia, and immunosuppression. PG is most frequently associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Crohn’s disease (regional enteritis) is a chronic, granulomatous, inflammatory bowel disease that may affect the entire gastrointestinal (GI) tract, as well as the skin. Draining sinuses or fistulas may form between the GI tract and contiguous skin. Cutaneous manifestations associated with regional enteritis include PG, erythema nodosum, and metastatic Crohn’s disease in noncontiguous skin.

There are several differential diagnoses that should be considered: (1) infections, such as Fournier’s gangrene, bacterial pyoderma, deep fungal infection, chronic herpetic ulcer, anaerobic erosive balanitis in uncircumcised men, mycobacterial infection, gammatorus syphilis, and amebiasis cutis; (2) neoplastic conditions, such as squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic gastrointestinal or genitourinary carcinoma, extramammary Paget’s disease; and (3) miscellaneous conditions, such as metastatic Crohn’s disease, PG, erosive lichen planus, halogenodermas, necrotizing vasculitis, antiphospholipid syndrome, brown recluse spider bite, and factitial dermatitis. Differentiating between PG and cutaneous Crohn’s disease (CCD) may be difficult, as the clinical and pathologic features often are similar.

Case Report
A 52-year-old circumcised white man was diagnosed with Crohn’s disease in 1974. The condition periodically was responsive to sulfasalazine, metronidazole, and prednisone. A small bowel resection was performed 1 and 10 years after the diagnosis; pathology showed mucosal thickening and cobblestoning confined to the small bowel and proximal colon. Histologic examination revealed inflammation of all layers of the intestinal wall and evidence of granulomas.

In January 1998, the patient developed a small nodule on the distal lateral shaft of the penis. The lesion ulcerated, and biopsies were performed. Histologically, the tissues showed epidermal spongiosis and ulceration with a mixed dermal inflammatory infiltrate containing numerous neutrophils, compatible with PG. Results of cultures and special stains (Gomori methenamine-silver, Brown-Brenn, Fite) were negative. The Crohn’s disease was inactive, evinced by a normal upper GI study in March 1998. However, the penile nodule ulcerated further and then remained under control on a therapeutic regimen of prednisone, amoxicillin, isoniazid, and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim.

In March 1998, a papule arose on the patient’s right thigh that soon became ulcerated. A biopsy revealed an inflammatory cell infiltrate with giant cells and palisading granulomas consistent with metastatic Crohn’s disease (Figure 1).

In January 1999, the penile lesion became increasingly erythematous, edematous, ulcerative, and painful. Burning developed with urination. The ulcer measured 3 × 2.5 cm with a depth of 1 cm and involved the distal lateral neck of the glans, corona, and glans penis (Figure 2). A violaceous rolled border was present inferiorly. The ulcer produced a sparse purulent exudate. No inguinal lymphadenopathy was discerned. A urethral fistula was discovered in March 1999.

Due to the refractory nature of the condition, immunosuppressive medications were considered. The patient refused cyclosporine, and mycophenolate mofetil 500 mg twice a day was initiated. The purulent drainage, urine leakage, pain, and induration experienced by the patient decreased in 3 weeks. Complete blood count, differential blood count, and electrolyte count test results were unremarkable. A second lesion arose on the left corona, and the mycophenolate mofetil was increased to 1 gm twice a day. Inflammation and pain again subsided.

With progression of the disease process and development of a fistula, characteristic of Crohn’s disease, a partial penectomy was subsequently performed, leading to resolution of the disease process.

Comment
Pyoderma Gangrenosum—PG presents as tender erythematous vesicles, pustules, or nodules that may ulcerate. The 4 types of PG recognized are vegetative, ulcerative, pustular, and bullous. Ulcerative PG begins as tender pustules that rapidly enlarge. Mature lesions have characteristic blue, rolled, undermined borders and are frequently surrounded by erythema. Classic ulcers are aseptic, though superinfection may occur. Healing results in an atrophic cribriform scar. The trunk and lower extremities are most frequently affected, but lesions also may occur on the upper extremities, head, and neck. Trauma and cutaneous compromise, including surgery, may precipitate or exacerbate lesions (pathergy phenomenon).

IBD is present in up to one third of patients with ulcerative PG. Conversely, PG occurs in 1.5% to 5.0% of patients with IBD. Ulcerative PG may occur before, after, or concurrently with IBD,
and the condition typically demonstrates an independent course.\textsuperscript{8}

A diagnosis of PG is made with clinical and pathologic correlation because the histologic features are not specific. In advanced lesions, histology shows epidermal necrosis and a mixed dermal infiltrate with prominent neutrophils. Late-stage PG can have a mononuclear cell infiltrate and scarring but little or no evidence of granulomas.\textsuperscript{10}

Excluding other conditions is important (see differential diagnosis). Early recognition may avert surgical debridement, which may lead to increased tissue loss and disease progression (pathergy).\textsuperscript{11-14}

Eight cases of penile PG have been reported (Table).\textsuperscript{11-18} The 2 associated diseases, chronic lymphocytic leukemia\textsuperscript{12} and ulcerative colitis,\textsuperscript{13} were present in 2 cases. The scrotum was affected in 4 cases (50\%).\textsuperscript{12-15} One case had perianal involvement,\textsuperscript{13} and one case had a urethral fistula.\textsuperscript{16} It is likely the latter case was incorrectly diagnosed.
# Summary of Cases of Penile Pyoderma Gangrenosum and Cutaneous Crohn’s Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Associated Disease</th>
<th>Genital/Perineal Involvement</th>
<th>Other Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>Inguinocrural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal, scrotal</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>Trunk, gluteal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td>Trunk, shoulder, left cheek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Fistula/sinus†</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Abscesses, anal/perineal/perianal, scrotal, fistula/sinus, rectum</td>
<td>Perioral, face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal, fistula/sinus</td>
<td>Gingival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Abscesses, anal/perineal/perianal, scrotal, fistula/sinus</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal, fistula/sinus</td>
<td>Suprapubic, chin, extremities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal, fistula/sinus, urethral stricture</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Abscesses, anal/perineal/perianal, fistula/sinus</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal/perineal/perianal, fistula/sinus</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal tags</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Anal tags</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Buttock abscesses, penile edema</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Fistula/sinus</td>
<td>Thigh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CCL indicates chronic lymphocytic leukemia; UC, ulcerative colitis; PG, pyoderma gangrenosum; CCD, cutaneous Crohn’s disease; CD, Crohn’s disease; and –, absent.

†Likely a misdiagnosis of Crohn’s disease.
because fistula formation is a feature of Crohn’s disease, not PG.

**Crohn’s Disease**—Crohn’s disease infrequently involves sites outside the GI tract. Although oral, perineal, and perianal involvement in Crohn’s disease has been described, involvement of sites noncontiguous with the GI tract is rare.

Fourteen patients (including the present case) of CCD with penile involvement have been reported (Table). Eleven patients (79%) had concomitant perineal or perianal involvement; 8 patients (57%) noted fistula or sinus formation; 5 patients (36%) experienced cutaneous abscesses; and 2 patients (14%) had scrotal lesions.

Fistulas and perineal ulcerations are frequent complications (7/11 or 64%). CCD most commonly affects the lower extremities; however, genital, abdominal, and facial involvement also have been reported. Although Crohn’s disease frequently involves the small bowel exclusively (40%), all documented cases of CCD have arisen in patients with disease of the colon or rectum. A temporal correlation between the severity of bowel involvement and the presence of cutaneous lesions has not been observed.

Histopathology results reveal a dermal infiltrate with noncaseating granulomas formed by aggregating epithelioid histiocytes and multinucleated giant cells. Lymphocytes and plasma cells often are present. Involvement of the fat produces a granulomatous panniculitis.

The cause of CCD is unknown. Two theories have been proposed: CCD is a form of granulomatous vasculitis precipitated by sensitized T-lymphocytes reacting to a circulating antigen, or CCD may represent a granulomatous perivasculitis with inflammatory cells responding to cutaneous antigens. Immunofluorescence studies have suggested a T-lymphocyte-mediated type IV hypersensitivity reaction.

**Conclusion**

Differentiating Crohn’s disease from PG may be difficult in sites that are potentially contiguous with the GI tract. Histologically, fibrinoid necrosis of dermal vessels may be present in both PG and CCD. However, CCD is more likely to demonstrate a granulomatous reaction as opposed to the sterile pyodermatous reaction seen in PG. CCD characteristically demonstrates persistent cutaneous fistulas and sinuses despite successful therapy to halt the inflammatory reaction in the bowel. Patients with CCD tend to be younger than patients with PG and have anal, perineal, or perianal involvement. Recurrent or persistent penile ulcers should be cultured for opportunistic pathogens.

A biopsy should be performed to rule out other causes and to help differentiate these entities.
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