Does long-acting reversible contraception prevent unintended pregnancy better than OCs, transdermal patch, and vaginal ring—regardless of a patient’s age?

**Yes.** In this prospective cohort study of 7,486 women, 156 unintended pregnancies were attributed to contraception failure. Failure rates at years 1, 2, and 3 in oral contraceptive (OC), patch, or ring users were 4.8%, 7.8%, and 9.4%, respectively, compared with 0.3%, 0.6%, and 0.9% in intrauterine device (IUD) or implant users ($P<.001$). For OC, patch, or ring users, those younger than age 21 had almost twice the risk of a pregnancy as older study participants (hazard ratio, 1.9; 95% confidence interval, 1.2–2.8). No significant difference was found in the pregnancy rate according to age in women using IUDs or implants.

**Details of the study**

Eligibility in the Project included age 14 to 45 years, residence in the St. Louis, Missouri, area, and the need for contraception. The woman’s contraceptive of choice was made available at no charge, with most women choosing a long-acting method. The published study includes outcomes for 7,486 women who used OCs, the patch, the ring, an IUD, implant, or depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) injections.

Among women using OCs, the patch, or the ring, the pregnancy rate was 4.55 per 100 participant-years. This rate was nearly 22-fold higher than that observed in women using IUDs or the implant (hazard ratio, 21.8; 95% confidence interval, 13.7–34.9); that rate was 0.27 per 100 participant-years. A similar low rate of pregnancy was noted among women who chose DMPA and returned every 3 months for follow-up injections.

Among women younger than 21 years who used OCs, the patch, or the ring, the rate of unintended pregnancy was twice as high as in older women using these same methods.
methods. By contrast, regardless of age, pregnancy rates were uniformly low among women using long-acting methods.

**Study limitations**
The authors point out that their study design was not randomized—participants were at high risk for unintended pregnancy and willing to begin using a new contraceptive method, which could have resulted in higher adherence rates and lower failure rates.

**Access is ongoing barrier to use**
These important data from the Contraceptive Choice Project clarify that long-acting reversible contraceptives represent powerful tools to help women minimize unintended pregnancy and induced abortion, and that women will choose these methods if they are accessible.

The findings in this report also make it clear that rates of unintended pregnancy are particularly high among adolescents using shorter-acting hormonal contraceptives (OCs, patch, or ring) and that longer-acting contraceptives are particularly useful in our younger patients. Other recent reports have provided clear evidence that immediately providing long-acting contraceptives after childbirth or induced abortion reduces unintended pregnancy in these settings.1,2

In the United States, inadequate access to long-acting reversible contraceptives continues to constrain use. Accordingly, insurance policies that fully cover longer-acting contraceptives could go a long way toward reducing the rate of unintended pregnancies and induced abortions in our patients.

If long-acting reversible contraceptives become more available in the United States, I look forward to a time when the great majority of our patients’ pregnancies are planned and when far fewer women will face the troubling prospect of an induced abortion. |
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**Tell us...**

Do you currently offer, or do you plan to begin offering, long-acting reversible contraceptives as first-line birth control options to your patients?

Go to obgmanagement.com and click on “Send us your letters.” We’ll publish a selection of “pearls” in an upcoming issue!
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