WHAT’S THE VERDICT?

Medical judgments and settlements

Complaint of arm pain ends in death

TWO WEEKS OF SEVERE PAIN IN HER LEFT ARM, along with severe chest pain and a sensation of “elephants” on her chest, prompted a 49-year-old woman to visit her primary care physician. The patient had gone to the emergency room 3 months earlier with chest pain. A stress test done at that time was negative, and an electrocardiogram was normal. The woman had a history of hypercholesterolemia and a family history of heart disease. She had recently quit smoking after 25 years.

The physician’s nurse practitioner noted bilateral arm pain and diagnosed arthralgia. The doctor and nurse claimed that the doctor performed an impromptu physical examination as the patient was leaving the office (the patient claimed, in disallowed testimony, that she had seen the doctor only in the parking lot, and he didn’t examine her). The doctor said that the patient denied chest pain when he examined her and reported bilateral rather than left arm pain. He also said he found a neck spasm and prescribed Darvocet for the arm pain. The doctor didn’t record the findings of the exam.

The patient died in her bathroom 2 days later. The cause was an arrhythmia resulting from decreased blood flow to the heart from atherosclerotic disease.

PLAINTIFF’S CLAIM Cardiac ischemia should have been ruled out at the office visit.

THE DEFENSE The delay in diagnosis and surgery caused the plaintiff to become quadriplegic.

VERDICT $1.9 million New Jersey settlement.

COMMENT While most radiculopathy (whether cervical or lumbar) can be treated conservatively, cauda equina or symptoms of myelopathy indicate a neurosurgical emergency. A delay in definitive diagnosis and treatment will undoubtedly play out in court.

“Classic” endocarditis is missed again, and again

AFTER 5 OR 6 WEEKS OF SYMPTOMS, including fever, chills, myalgia, weight loss, fatigue, light-headedness, weakness, non-productive cough, and intermittent fever that peaked at 102.3°F, a 24-year-old woman sought treatment at her family physician.

The physician said that he’d ruled out cardiac disease and prescribed Darvocet for arm pain. Two days later, the patient was dead.
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The patient returned twice during the month after her initial visit; she was seen by a physician on both occasions. She reported a syncopal episode and was diagnosed with ongoing anemia attributable to normal iron deficiency. No diagnostic tests were ordered.

During follow-up visits over the next 2 months, the patient complained of increasing fatigue, shortness of breath, tremors, and loss of appetite. She was given a diagnosis of depression and a prescription for Zoloft. When she continued to deteriorate, she was given an additional diagnosis of possible bronchitis or pneumonia.

Early one evening 3 months after her initial visit, on a day when she had been seen at the practice, the patient collapsed at home. She was taken by ambulance to a hospital, where she died of cardiopulmonary arrest. An autopsy revealed bacterial endocarditis of the mitral valve.

**plaintiff's claim**
The patient had a classic presentation of endocarditis; a proper workup would have led to diagnosis and treatment.

**the defense**
No information about the nature of the defense is available.

** verdict**
$1.2 million Virginia settlement.

**comment**
Although horses are common, when a patient fails to improve, we need to think of zebras, too. In this case, the combination of fever, constitutional symptoms, and a heart murmur should have at least raised the suspicion of bacterial endocarditis.

---

**fast track**
The patient's health care team never suspected bacterial endocarditis, despite her fever, constitutional symptoms, and heart murmur.

**malignant mole never made it to pathology**

A woman noticed a new mole on her left foot, which didn’t concern her until 4 years later, when it began to grow, itch, and turn red. She went to her family physician, who decided to remove the mole. After doing so, the doctor told the patient that he’d send it for pathologic inspection and handed it to the assisting nurse, expecting her to prepare it properly for the laboratory.

When the time came to remove the stitches, the patient asked her family physician if a doctor in the medical practice where she worked could take them out. The family physician agreed. The patient didn’t return to her family physician afterward; she transferred to a primary care physician in the office where she worked.

The mole then returned to the patient’s foot, and she requested transfer of her records to the new physician. When the records arrived, they didn’t include a pathology report; it appeared that the mole hadn’t been sent to the pathology lab.

The patient’s physician sent her to a podiatrist, who removed the recurrent mole a little over a year after she first consulted her family physician. The pathology report indicated it was a malignant melanoma.

**plaintiff's claim**
It was negligent to fail to send the mole to a pathology lab and to fail to notice that a pathology report had not come back. The delay in diagnosis and treatment of the cancer increased the risk of recurrence and other complications.

**the defense**
The family physician blamed the procedures of the group, and the group blamed the family doctor.

** verdict**
$3.25 million Kentucky verdict.

**comment**
I recently participated as an expert witness (for the defense) in a similar malpractice case in which the defendant did send the mole to pathology. In today’s litigious society, how can we not send every “mole” for pathologic examination?