Help patients control their asthma

For most asthma patients, long-term symptom control requires the frequent monitoring and aggressive medication management that family physicians are well positioned to provide.

CASE  ▶ Angela D, a 34-year-old patient, has asthma with recurrent exacerbations. She uses a low-dose inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) daily and an albuterol inhaler, as needed, for shortness of breath or wheezing. She also has allergic rhinitis, for which she uses nasal fluticasone. Yet despite this regimen, Ms. D reports she still experiences wheezing, chest tightness, and shortness of breath 3 to 4 times a week and is awakened by coughing at least twice a week. In the past 6 months, she has had one emergency department (ED) visit and completed 2 courses of oral steroids.

Ms. D has gained weight since her last visit 3 months ago; her body mass index has gone from 27.5 to 29 kg/m². and, while she has always been somewhat anxious, Ms. D notes that her anxiety has gotten progressively worse, as well.

A bout 25 million Americans—approximately one in 12—suffer from asthma¹ and, despite improvements in asthma guidelines and treatment in the last 20 years,² many still struggle with uncontrolled symptoms.³ The consequences can be severe.

Suboptimal control of asthma is associated with a significant decrease in quality of life, a greater likelihood of absence from work or school, and an increased risk for life-threatening events, trips to the ED, hospital admissions, and death.¹ A multifaceted approach, including regular assessment, aggressive medication management, and attention to comorbidities, is needed to alleviate the suffering of patients with persistent asthma. This evidence-based review can help you provide such broad-based treatment.

Diagnosis and classification go hand in hand

The cornerstones of asthma management are accurate diagnosis and assessment of disease severity, based on both qual-
Treat asthma aggressively initially to help the patient achieve quick control, then gradually cut back to the fewest medications and lowest effective doses required to maintain control.

In assessing asthma severity, it is also important to ask specifically about recent events, including ED visits, hospitalizations, and intubations. This information, as well as answers to questions about smoking status, mental health problems, quality of life, and treatment compliance—and whether the patient can afford to purchase the asthma medications you’ve prescribed—can be used to assess the likelihood of poor outcomes.2

Factor in spirometry findings
History and physical examination alone cannot adequately diagnose and classify asthma severity.5,5 Spirometry, a reimbursable office test that can be administered by trained staff members, can be beneficial for any patient older than 5 years for whom a diagnosis of asthma is being considered or disease severity being determined.2 Other objective measures, such as the Mini Asthma Quality of Life questionnaire (http://erj.ersjournals.com/content/14/1/32.full.pdf+html) and peak ex-
piratory flow measurement, may be helpful, as well.\textsuperscript{2,6}

Spirometry measures forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\textsubscript{1}) and forced vital capacity (FVC) and calculates the FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC ratio. Reference spirometry values vary according to patient characteristics, such as age, height, sex, and race, as well as the positioning of the patient during the test.\textsuperscript{7} (A seated position is optimal to reduce the risk of falls as a result of the light-headedness some patients may experience.) The American Thoracic Society provides a set of criteria (available at http://www.gp-training.net/protocol/respiratory/copd/spirometry.htm) that should be considered in interpreting test results.\textsuperscript{8}

The 3 main spirometry patterns you’ll see are:

- **Normal** (FEV\textsubscript{1} $>$ 80% predicted; FVC $>$ 80% predicted; FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC $>$ 70%)
- **Obstructive** (FEV\textsubscript{1} $<$ 80% predicted; FVC normal or mildly reduced; FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC $<$ 70%)
- **Restrictive** (FEV\textsubscript{1} normal or mildly reduced; FVC $<$ 80% predicted; FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC $>$ 70%).

Because asthma is a chronic disease with fluctuating symptomatology and severity, spirometry testing should be repeated and results compared on several occasions as a guide to treatment.\textsuperscript{9} When an obstructive pattern is found, the patient should receive a bronchodilator treatment, then undergo spirometry 15 to 20 minutes later to determine reversibility. A reversible obstructive pattern, defined as an increase in FEV\textsubscript{1} by 12% ($\geq$ 200 mL), is consistent with an asthma diagnosis. If spirometry results are consistently normal but a high clinical suspicion for obstructive disease remains, the patient should be evaluated with a methacholine or histamine challenge test to definitively rule out asthma.\textsuperscript{10}

**Rule out asthma mimics.** Many medical conditions can mimic symptoms of asthma and result in misdiagnosis or incorrect severity classification and unnecessary treatment. Patients should be evaluated for alternate or coexisting pulmonary conditions, including restrictive lung disease, vocal cord dysfunction, cough-variant asthma, malignancy, and allergies. For a patient whose asthma diagnosis is in doubt or who has a restrictive pattern on spirometry, additional evaluation based on signs and symptoms may require comprehensive pulmonary function testing, chest x-ray, bronchoscopy, laryngoscopy, computed tomography, and/or allergy testing.\textsuperscript{2}

**Peak expiratory flow (PEF).** While measuring PEF should not replace spirometry or formal pulmonary function testing, it can be helpful for evaluating disease severity and monitoring treatment. Patients should use their own peak flow meters, and results compared with their personal best measurements. An improvement of 60 L/min or $\geq$ 20% after treatment with a bronchodilator is suggestive of asthma.\textsuperscript{9} There are a number of free or low-cost apps that patients can use to track their PEF measurements and response to treatment, such as Asthma MD, Huff and Puff (for children), and the Peak Flow Calculator.\textsuperscript{11-13}

**An evidence-based approach to asthma treatment**

The first step in treating newly diagnosed asthma is to advise the patient to avoid known triggers, such as allergens, stressors, and particular odors or activities, to the extent possible, and, most importantly, to avoid exposure to smoke. If the patient smokes—cigarettes, marijuana, hookah, or pipe—stress the importance of quitting and living in a home that is smoke free. The link between asthma exacerbations and cockroaches is also well documented, particularly affecting those in urban areas. Avoidance of cockroaches and their droppings is critical, and may require the use of pest control services.\textsuperscript{14,15}

A general principle of asthma management is to treat it aggressively initially to help the patient achieve quick control, then gradually cut back to the fewest medications and lowest effective doses required to maintain control.\textsuperscript{2} The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)’s 2007 Expert Panel Report: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma (FIGURE)\textsuperscript{2} call for a stepwise approach.

Short-acting beta-agonists (SABAs) and ICS—first-line asthma therapy—have minimal risks or adverse effects. SABAs help reverse acute shortness of breath and wheez-
When inquiring about compliance with asthma therapy, ask whether the patient can afford the medications that have been prescribed.

**When inquiring about compliance with asthma therapy, ask whether the patient can afford the medications that have been prescribed.**

**Second-line therapy is less clear-cut**

There are several options for patients whose symptoms are not well controlled with first-line treatment: (1) Add a long-acting beta-agonist (LABA); (2) add a leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA); or (3) increase the ICS dose, the most straightforward approach.

A dose increase avoids both the additional risk of adverse drug reactions and the added cost associated with another medication. But the easiest solution is not necessarily the best. Consider the evidence detailed below, which includes findings from studies published after the NHLBI’s guidelines.

**The research on LABAs**

LABAs have been widely used as adjunctive therapy for adults with asthma. However, a 2006 study raised safety concerns.16 The Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial (SMART) compared the safety of the LABA salmeterol with a placebo added to usual asthma care over a 28-week treatment period. Overall, the primary composite end point—the number of respiratory-related deaths or life-threatening episodes—were significantly more likely in the salmeterol group compared with the placebo group. In subgroup analysis, African American patients were found to be at greatest risk.16

It is hard to draw general conclusions from these data because the study was terminated early and poor outcomes were limited to a particular study year. Nonetheless, many physicians remain wary of LABAs as adjunctive therapy because of these findings and the media publicity they generated.

A 2010 Cochrane review provided additional data on the safety and efficacy of the combination of a LABA and ICS compared with a higher dose of ICS.17 The review, which included 48 randomized controlled trials, found that combination therapy had a lower risk of exacerbations for which oral corticosteroids were required than a higher dose of ICS (RR=0.88; 95% CI, 0.78-0.98; P=.02). The median number needed to treat (NNT) was 73. No significant difference in the risk of overall adverse events (RR=0.99; 95% CI, 0.95-1.03) was found, but there was an increase in the risk of tremor (RR=1.84; 95% CI, 1.20-2.82) and a decrease in risk for oral thrush (RR=0.58; 95% CI, 0.40-0.86) in the combination therapy group.

While the Cochrane review did not show a combination of LABA and ICS to be less safe overall than higher doses of ICS alone, the findings were less favorable for children and patients with higher baseline lung function, in
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**TABLE**

**Classifying asthma severity**2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Mild intermittent</th>
<th>Mild persistent</th>
<th>Moderate persistent</th>
<th>Severe persistent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency</strong></td>
<td>≤2/wk</td>
<td>&gt;2/wk, but &lt;1/d</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exacerbations</strong></td>
<td>Rare</td>
<td>&lt;2/wk</td>
<td>≥2/wk</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activity level</strong></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>May decrease with exacerbation</td>
<td>Frequently limited</td>
<td>Significantly limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nighttime symptoms</strong></td>
<td>≤2/mo</td>
<td>&gt;2/mo</td>
<td>&gt;1/wk</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEV₁ (or PEF) predicted</strong></td>
<td>&gt;80%</td>
<td>&gt;80%</td>
<td>&gt;60% to &lt;80%</td>
<td>≤60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEF variability</strong></td>
<td>&lt;20%</td>
<td>20%-30%</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; PEF, peak expiratory flow.
circumstances in which the combination therapy was taken for a longer duration, and when the LABA being studied was formoterol.17

Overall, it is when a LABA is delivered via separate inhaler that adverse outcomes have been reported. Findings have been positive when the LABA is combined with ICS, and this combination is recommended as maintenance therapy for moderate to severe asthma.

Two new studies, published in March 2013, reported successful use of a LABA-ICS combination not only for maintenance via scheduled dosing, but also for early phases of exacerbation via extra dosing—an approach called Single inhaler Maintenance and Reliever Therapy (SMART).18,19 In both studies, SMART resulted in less excessive use of SABAs and less need for oral steroids, fewer hospitalizations for asthma, and fewer cases of progression to a full-blown exacerbation.

The takeaway: LABAs should be reserved for use as an adjunct to ICS in adults with poor baseline pulmonary function tests or severe asthma, and delivered as a combination product with ICS, not as a separate inhaled medication. SMART is a safe and effective means of administering LABA-ICS therapy for some patients at risk for frequent severe exacerbations.

When to consider LTRAs
LTRAs can be valuable medications in asthma management and there are extensive data on their use, particularly in the treatment of children with asthma. A Cochrane review published in 2012, however, supported current guideline recommendations, finding that as monotherapy, ICS are superior to LTRAs.20

When LTRAs as an adjunctive therapy to ICS were compared with ICS monotherapy, researchers found a modest improvement in PEF (weighted mean difference [WMD] =7.7 L/min; 95% CI, 3.6-11.1) in the group receiving combination therapy and a decrease in the need for a SABA as rescue therapy (WMD=1 puff/week; 95% CI, 0.5-2.0).21 There was no significant reduction in the risk of exacerbations requiring systemic steroids (RR=0.64; 95% CI, 0.38-1.07).

LABAs and LTRAs go head to head. A 2010 Cochrane review compared the efficacy and safety of a daily LABA vs a LTRA as add-on therapy for patients whose asthma was not well controlled with ICS monotherapy.22 The LABA/ICS combination was significantly better at reducing the risk of exacerbations requiring systemic corticosteroids than monotherapy with either a LTRA or ICS, reducing the risk from 11% to 9% (RR=0.83; 95% CI, 0.71 - 0.97). The NNT to prevent one exacerbation over 48 weeks was 38 (95% CI, 22-244).22

The safety of LABAs continues to be a concern, however, as serious adverse events were more common in the LABA group. The number needed to harm (NNH) with LABA therapy vs LTRA over 48 weeks was 78; 95% CI, 33 to infinity.22 (The width of the CI indicates that while harm is possible in as few as 33 patients, it is also possible that an infinite number of patients would need to be treated for one individual to incur harm.) Overall, the evidence suggests that LABAs are superior add-on therapy to ICS for the treatment of uncontrolled asthma compared with LTRAs, but their use nonetheless requires caution and close monitoring in African American and pediatric patients.17

Is there a role for a long-acting anticholinergic inhaler?
Long-acting anticholinergic medication (LAAM)—tiotropium is the only drug in this class on the market, but there are others in clinical trials—is the mainstay of therapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. This drug class was not widely available or studied as an asthma treatment when the NHLBI guidelines were drafted.

A 2010 study of tiotropium challenged the notion that there is no place for LAAMs in asthma therapy. Using a 3-way crossover design, the study compared the addition of tiotropium to ICS with a double dose of ICS or a LABA/ICS combination.23 The results suggest that LAAMs could be useful in treating uncontrolled asthma. Compared with the double dose of ICS, the tiotropium/ICS combination increased PEF by a mean difference of 25.8 L/min (P<.001) and resulted in a statistically significant improvement in the proportion of asthma control days, FEV1, and daily symptom scores.23 As an
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Adjunctive treatment to ICS, tiotropium was not inferior to a LABA.

CASE

After a detailed history, physical exam, and diagnostic testing, Ms. D was given a diagnosis of moderate persistent asthma. We recognized the need to step up her treatment. Prior to making any changes in her medication regimen, however, our team, which included a clinical pharmacist, observed her use of inhaled medications and verified that she was using the inhaler properly. We then initiated combination therapy, pairing a LABA and ICS.

Comorbidities complicate asthma management

Asthma management is often complicated by other uncontrolled coexisting medical problems. Common comorbidities that can affect asthma severity include allergic rhinitis, chronic sinusitis, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), obesity, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), mental health disorders, tobacco use, and hormonal disturbances.

- **Allergic rhinitis.** Allergic rhinitis has been associated with worse asthma control and a negative impact on quality of life, and the upper airway inflammation associated with it should be treated.

  Antihistamines and nasal steroids are the most effective medical management. Some patients with allergic rhinitis benefit from blood or skin allergy testing for confirmation or to aid in avoidance. Referral to an allergist may be necessary if symptoms are recalcitrant, a food allergy is in question, or the diagnosis is unclear.

- **GERD.** Compared with the general population, patients with asthma have a much higher risk of GERD, although it is not always symptomatic. While results are inconsistent and difficult to predict, treating symptomatic GERD with acid-blocking medications can result in better asthma control for some patients. However, proton pump inhibitors
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**FIGURE**

Stepwise approach to asthma management for patients ≥12 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intermittent asthma</th>
<th>Persistent asthma: Daily medication*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1:</strong> Preferred: SABA PRN</td>
<td><strong>Step 2:</strong> Preferred: Low-dose ICS Alternative: Cromolyn, LTRA, nedocromil, or theophylline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3:</strong> Preferred: Low-dose ICS + LABA or medium-dose ICS Alternative: Low-dose ICS + LTRA, theophylline, or zileuton</td>
<td><strong>Step 4:</strong> Preferred: Medium-dose ICS + LABA Alternative: Medium-dose ICS + LTRA, theophylline, or zileuton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 5:</strong> Preferred: High-dose ICS + LABA AND consider omalizumab for patients with allergies</td>
<td><strong>Step 6:</strong> Preferred: High-dose ICS + LABA + oral corticosteroid AND consider omalizumab for patients with allergies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quick-relief medication for all patients

- SABA for symptoms: up to 3 treatments at 20-min intervals as needed. A short course of oral corticosteroids may be needed.
- Use of SABA >2 days a week for symptom relief generally indicates inadequate control and the need to step up treatment.

*Consult with an asthma specialist if Step 4 care or higher is required; consider consultation at Step 3.

Consider subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy for patients with allergic asthma.

ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long-acting beta-agonist; LTRA, leukotriene receptor agonist; SABA, short-acting beta-agonist.


Patients can use free or low-cost apps to track their peak expiratory flow measurements and response to treatment on their smart phones or tablets.
should not be used to treat asthma symptoms in patients with asymptomatic GERD.\textsuperscript{25,26}

\textbf{Obesity and OSA.} Weight loss can significantly improve asthma control, decrease medication use, and improve quality of life.\textsuperscript{27,28} Obese patients are less likely to respond to treatment with ICS.\textsuperscript{2} Weight loss also benefits those who suffer from OSA, which may contribute to airway hyperresponsiveness.\textsuperscript{29}

\textbf{Mental health disorders.} Compared with the general population, patients with asthma are more likely to have depression, anxiety, and panic disorders.\textsuperscript{30} Diagnosis and treatment of these comorbid conditions can lead to better asthma management, increased medication adherence, decreased healthcare utilization—including fewer ED visits and hospitalizations—and a better quality of life.\textsuperscript{30}
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