ERRATA
The author listing for the Clinical Inquiry, “What is the best imaging method for patients with a presumed acute stroke?” (J Fam Pract. 2014;63:36-37) incorrectly listed Leilani St. Anna, MLIS, AHIP as one of the authors. It should have read: Roya Sadeghi, MD, and Jon Neher, MD (Valley Family Medicine Residency, Renton, Wash), and Sarah Safranek, MLIS (University of Washington Health Sciences Library, Seattle).

The article “4 EKG abnormalities: What are the lifesaving diagnoses?” (J Fam Pract. 2014;63:368-375) incorrectly stated that ventricular fibrillation was one of 4 arrhythmias associated with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome that should be treated with synchronized cardioversion. In fact, an unstable patient with ventricular fibrillation should receive defibrillation—not synchronized cardioversion. The passage, which appeared on page 373, has been corrected in the online edition of the article.

Are these CAD study findings too good to be true?
I read with interest “A way to reverse CAD?” by Esselstyn et al (J Fam Pract. 2014;63:356-364,364a,364b) on the effects of a plant-based nutrition program on the incidence of cardiac events in patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD). If found to be effective in subsequent studies, this intervention could have tremendous clinical implications for patients. However, the article left me with many questions and concerns.

One of my concerns is that the article was written in a promotional, not scientific, tone. Although no potential conflicts of interest were reported, the lead author has published books on the topic from which he could profit. Even if one were to disregard these concerns, several methodological issues remain.

Specifically, Esselstyn et al report that over a mean 3.7 years of follow-up, 89% of patients were compliant to the program, defined as avoidance of all meat, fish, dairy, and added oils. Frankly, this statistic isn’t believable because the “compliant” patients undoubtedly consumed these products on occasion during this period. More likely, compliance was assessed by a simple Yes or No response over the phone; expectation bias would strongly influence patient reporting in this situation.

In addition, there’s no comparison of disease severity, prior interventions, weight loss, assessment of optimized medical management, or follow-up duration between the 2 groups. The differences in events reported in this study may be explained by unreported confounders.

The authors should be congratulated for presenting this work, but overall, the reporting is inadequate to form any scientific conclusions. The data lead to more questions than answers.

Larry E. Miller, PhD
Asheville, NC

Esselstyn et al report an extraordinary recurrent event rate of 0.6% among 177 patients with established cardiovascular disease who adhered to a plant-based diet for approximately 44 months. These results are so remarkable that several questions come to mind. Why didn’t the editors of The Journal of Family Practice offer any commentary on a revolutionary intervention that appears to cure cardiovascular disease? Why aren’t these results being reported and commented upon in the lay media? Why didn’t the journal note Dr. Esselstyn’s potential conflict of interest as an author who profits from the sale of books that advocate a plant-based diet?

I am glad to see studies that look at nutritional interventions getting equal billing with those funded by pharmaceutical companies, but publishing this report without comment certainly leads a reader to believe that the ed-
Nursing home litigation: A vicious cycle

Nursing home neglect/abuse is growing fast, and so is related litigation. Cases typically involve wrongful death, decubitus ulcers, dehydration, malnutrition, sepsis, and falls.1 The financial burden nursing homes face in defending numerous lawsuits diverts funds that could be used to improve the quality of care.2

The families of victims of nursing home abuse/neglect often pursue lawsuits to get nursing homes to provide better quality of care to their residents. This can be difficult for nursing homes to achieve when they have to pour their financial resources into defending lawsuits. Historically, nursing home abuse/neglect has been addressed by governmental regulation.3 Although victims and their families should not be deprived of their Seventh Amendment right, perhaps stricter government regulation is a more efficient means of addressing this problem.4
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