High ankle sprains: Easy to miss, so follow these tips

Misdiagnosis can result in increased loss of play time and chronic ankle dysfunction. Here are the physical exam maneuvers and imaging options to consider.

CASE

A 19-year-old college football player presents to your outpatient family practice clinic after suffering a right ankle injury during a football game over the weekend. He reports having his right ankle planted on the turf with his foot externally rotated when an opponent fell onto his posterior right lower extremity. He reports having felt immediate pain in the area of the right ankle and requiring assistance off of the field, as he had difficulty walking. The patient was taken to the emergency department where x-rays of the right foot and ankle did not show any signs of acute fracture or dislocation. The patient was diagnosed with a lateral ankle sprain, placed in a pneumatic ankle walking brace, and given crutches.

A high ankle sprain, or distal tibiofibular syndesmotic injury, can be an elusive diagnosis and is often mistaken for the more common lateral ankle sprain. Syndesmotic injuries have been documented to occur in approximately 1% to 10% of all ankle sprains.1-3 The highest number of these injuries occurs between the ages of 18 and 34 years, and they are more frequently seen in athletes than in nonathletes, particularly those who play collision sports, such as football, ice hockey, rugby, wrestling, and lacrosse.1-9 In one study by Hunt et al,10 syndesmotic injuries accounted for 24.6% of all ankle injuries in National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) football players. Incidence continues to grow as recognition of high ankle sprains increases among medical professionals.1,5 Identification of syndesmotic injury is critical, as lack of detection can lead to extensive time missed from athletic participation and chronic ankle dysfunction, including pain and instability.2,4,6,11

Back to basics:
A brief anatomy review
Stability in the distal tibiofibular joint is maintained by the syndesmotic ligaments, which include the anterior inferior...
tibiofibular ligament (AITFL), the posterior inferior tibiofibular ligament (PITFL), the transverse ligament, and the interosseous ligament.3-6,8 This complex of ligaments stabilizes the fibula within the incisura of the tibia and maintains a stable ankle mortise.1,4,5,11 The deep portion of the deltoid ligament also adds stability to the syndesmosis and may be disrupted by a syndesmotic injury.2,5,7,11

Mechanisms of injury:
From most common to less likely
The distal tibiofibular syndesmosis is disrupted when an injury forces apart the distal tibiofibular joint. The most commonly reported means of injury is external rotation with hyper-dorsiflexion of the ankle.1,3,5,8,11 With excessive external rotation of the forefoot, the talus is forced against the medial aspect of the fibula, resulting in separation of the distal tibia and fibula and injury to the syndesmotic ligaments.2,3,5,6 Injuries associated with external rotation are commonly seen in sports that immobilize the ankle within a rigid boot, such as skiing and ice hockey.1,2,5 Some authors have suggested that a planovalgus foot alignment may place athletes at inherent risk for an external rotation ankle injury.5,6

Syndesmotic injury may also occur with hyper-dorsiflexion, as the anterior, widest portion of the talus rotates into the ankle mortise, wedging the tibia and fibula apart.2,3,5 There have also been reports of syndesmotic injuries associated with internal rotation, plantar flexion, inversion, and eversion.3,5,11 Therefore, physicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for injury to the distal tibiofibular joint, regardless of the mechanism of injury.

Presentation and evaluation
Observation of the patient and visualization of the affected ankle can provide many clues. Many patients will have difficulty walking after suffering a syndesmotic injury and may require the use of an assistive device.5 The inability to bear weight after an ankle injury points to a more severe diagnosis, such as an ankle fracture or syndesmotic injury, as opposed to a simple lateral ankle sprain. Patients may report anterior ankle pain, a sensation of instability with weight bearing on the affected ankle, or have persistent symptoms despite a course of conservative treatment. Also, they can have a variable amount of edema and ecchymosis associated with their injury; a minimal extent of swelling or ecchymosis does not exclude syndesmotic injury.3

A large percentage of patients will present with a concomitant sprain of the lateral ligaments associated with lateral swelling and bruising. One study found that 91% of syndesmotic injuries involved at least 1 of the lateral collateral ligaments (anterolateral talofibular ligament [ATFL], calcaneofibular ligament [CFL], or posterior talofibular ligament [PTFL]).12 Patients may have pain or a sensation of instability when pushing off with the toes5 and patients with syndesmotic injuries often have tenderness to palpation over the distal anterolateral ankle or syndesmotic ligaments.7

A thorough examination of the ankle, including palpation of common fracture sites, is important. Employ the Ottawa Ankle Rules (see http://www.theottawarules.ca/ankle_rules) to investigate for: tenderness to palpation over the posterior 6 cm of the posterior aspects of the distal medial and lateral malleoli; tenderness over the navicular; tenderness over the base of the fifth metatarsal; and/or the inability to bear weight on the affected lower extremity immediately after injury or upon evaluation in the physician’s office. Any of these findings should raise concern for a possible fracture (see “Adult foot fractures: A guide,” at http://bit.ly/2Tm4Siv) and require an x-ray(s) for further evaluation.13

Perform range-of-motion and strength testing with regard to ankle dorsiflexion, plantar flexion, abduction, adduction, inversion, and eversion. Palpate the ATFL, CFL, and PTFL for tenderness, as these structures may be involved to varying degrees in lateral ankle sprains. An anterior drawer test (see https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vAcBEYZKcto) may be positive with injury to the ATFL. This test is performed by stabilizing the distal tibia with one hand and using the other hand to grasp the posterior aspect of the calcaneus and apply an anterior force. The test is positive if the talus translates forward, which correlates with laxity or rup-
ture of the ATFL. The examiner should also palpate the Achilles tendon, peroneal tendons just posterior to the lateral malleolus, and the tibialis posterior tendon just posterior to the medial malleolus to inspect for tenderness or defects that may be signs of injury to these tendons.

**An associated Weber B or C fracture?** Trauma causing ankle syndesmosis injuries may be associated with Weber B or Weber C distal fibula fractures. Weber B fractures occur in the distal fibula at the level of the ankle joint (see [FIGURE 1](#)). These types of fractures are typically associated with external rotation injuries and are usually not associated with disruption of the interosseous membrane.

Weber C fractures are distal fibular fractures occurring above the level of the ankle joint. These fractures are also typically associated with external ankle rotation injuries and include disruption of the syndesmosis and deltoid ligament.

Also pay special attention to the proximal fibula, as syndesmotic injuries are commonly associated with a Maisonneuve fracture, which is a proximal fibula fracture associated with external rotation forces of the ankle (see [FIGURE 1](#)). Further workup should occur in any patient with the possibility of a Weber- or Maisonneuve-type fracture.

**Multiple tests** are available to investigate the possibility of a syndesmotic injury and to assess return-to-sport readiness, including the External Rotation Test, the Squeeze Test, the Crossed-Leg Test, the Dorsiflexion Compression Test, the Cotton Test, the Stabilization Test, the Fibular Translation Test, and the Single Leg Hop Test (see [TABLE 1](#)). The External Rotation Test is noted by some authors to have the highest interobserver reliability, and is our preferred test. The Squeeze Test also has moderate interobserver reliability. There is a significant degree of variation among the sensitivity and specificity of these diagnostic tests, and no single test is sufficiently reliable or accurate to diagnose a syndesmotic ankle injury. Therefore, it is recommended to use multiple physical exam maneuvers, the history and mechanism of injury, and findings on imaging studies in conjunction to make the diagnosis of a syndesmotic injury.

**Imaging:**

**Which modes and when?** The initial workup should include ankle x-rays when evaluating for the possibility of a distal tibiofibular syndesmosis injury. While the Ottawa Ankle Rules are helpful in providing guidance with regard to x-rays, suspicion of a syndesmotic injury mandates...
x-rays to determine the stability of the joint and rule out fracture. The European Society of Sports Traumatology, Knee Surgery and Arthroscopy–European Foot and Ankle Associates (ESSKA-AFAS) recommend, at a minimum, obtaining anteroposterior (AP)- and mortise-view ankle x-rays to investigate the tibiofibular clear space, medial clear space, and tibiofibular overlap. 7 Most physicians also include a lateral ankle x-ray. If possible, images should be performed while the patient is bearing weight to further evaluate stability. Radiographic findings that support the diagnosis of syndesmotic injury include a tibiofibular clear space > 6 mm on AP view, medial clear space > 4 mm on mortise view, or tibiofibular overlap < 6 mm on AP view or < 1 mm on mortise

### TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External Rotation</td>
<td>Examiner stabilizes the leg midway up the tibial shaft with the knee in 90° of flexion over the edge of the exam table. The examiner then externally rotates the midfoot. The test is positive if pain is produced in the area of the syndesmosis. 1,3-5</td>
<td>66.7% 16</td>
<td>71% 16</td>
<td>63% 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squeeze</td>
<td>Compression of the fibula and tibia is applied midway up the tibial shaft. A positive test produces pain near the syndesmosis. 1,3-5</td>
<td>60.9% 16</td>
<td>26% 16</td>
<td>88% 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossed-Leg</td>
<td>The patient places the mid-calf of the affected leg on the opposite knee. The examiner applies downward pressure over the medial tibia causing compression of the tibia and fibula. The test is positive if pain is reproduced at the distal tibiofibular joint. 1,5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsiflexion Compression</td>
<td>The patient actively dorsiflexes the affected ankle while weight-bearing. The test is positive if pain decreases when the examiner compresses the distal tibiofibular joint. 2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>With ankle in neutral position, the examiner uses one hand to stabilize the mid-tibia and uses the other hand to translate the talus medially and laterally. A positive test involves either increased motion or significant pain. 2,6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stabilization</td>
<td>Athletic tape is circumferentially applied around the distal tibia and fibula, providing stability. The test is positive if symptoms of pain or instability improve when performing activities such as standing, walking, or jumping after taping compared to without tape. 1,3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibular Translation</td>
<td>This test is performed by stabilizing the tibia with one hand and applying anterior and posterior force to the fibula. Any pain or translation with this maneuver, compared to the contralateral side, is considered a positive test. 1,7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Leg Hop</td>
<td>Inability to hop on the affected lower extremity may suggest syndesmotic injury. 16</td>
<td>55.2% 16</td>
<td>89% 16</td>
<td>29% 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A, not available.
The External Rotation Test is noted by some authors to have the highest interobserver reliability. Additionally, if you suspect a proximal fibular fracture, obtain an x-ray series of the proximal tibia and fibula to investigate the possibility of a Maisonneuve injury.1,2,4,11

If you continue to suspect a syndesmotic injury despite normal x-rays, obtain stress x-rays, in addition to the AP and mortise views, to ensure stability. These x-rays include AP and mortise ankle views with manual external rotation of the ankle joint, which may demonstrate abnormalities not seen on standard x-rays. Bilateral imaging can also be useful to further assess when mild abnormalities vs symmetric anatomic variants are in question.1,7

If there is concern for an unstable injury, refer the patient to a foot and ankle surgeon, who may pursue magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or standing computed tomography (CT).1,2,5,7 MRI is the recommended choice for further evaluation of a syndesmotic injury, as it is proven to be accurate in evaluating the integrity of the syndesmotic ligaments (see FIGURES 4 AND 5).18 MRI has demonstrated 100% sensitivity for detecting AITFL and PITFL injuries, as well as 93% and 100% specificity for AITFL and PITFL tears, respectively.9 A weight-bearing CT scan, particularly axial views, can also be a useful adjunct, as it is more sensitive than standard x-rays for assessing for mild diastasis. Although CT can provide an assessment of bony structures, it is not able to evaluate soft tissue structures, limiting its utility in evaluation of syndesmotic injuries.1,7

Although not the standard of care, ultrasonography (US) is gaining traction as a means of investigating the integrity of the syndesmotic ligaments. US is inexpensive, readily available in many clinics, allows for dynamic testing, and avoids radiation exposure. However, US requires a skilled sonographer with experience in the ankle joint for an accurate diagnosis. If the workup with advanced imaging is inconclusive, but a high degree of suspicion remains for an unstable syndesmotic injury, consider arthroscopy to directly visualize and assess the syndesmotic structures.1,2,5,7,8

Grading the severity of the injury and pursuing appropriate Tx

Typically, the severity of a syndesmotic injury is classified as fitting into 1 of 3 categories:
No single test is sufficiently reliable or accurate to diagnose a syndesmotic ankle injury.

Grade I and II injuries are the most common, each accounting for 40% of syndesmotic injuries, while 20% of high ankle sprains are classified as Grade III.12

- **A Grade I injury** consists of a stable syndesmotic joint without abnormal radiographic findings. There may be associated tenderness to palpation over the distal fibular joint, and provocative testing may be subtle or normal. These injuries are often minor and able to be treated conservatively.

- **A Grade II injury** is associated with a partial syndesmotic disruption, typically with partial tearing of the AITFL and interosseous ligament. These injuries may be stable or accompanied by mild instability, and provocative testing is usually positive. X-rays are typically normal with Grade II injuries, but may display subtle radiographic findings suggestive of a syndesmotic injury. Treatment of Grade II injuries is somewhat controversial and should be an individualized decision based upon the patient’s age, activity level, clinical exam, and imaging findings. Therefore, treatment of Grade II syndesmotic injuries may include a trial of conservative management or surgical intervention.

- **A Grade III injury** represents inherent instability of the distal fibular joint with complete disruption of all syndesmotic ligaments, with or without involvement of the deltoid ligament. X-rays will be positive in Grade III syndesmotic injuries because of the complete disruption of syndesmotic ligaments. All Grade III injuries require surgical intervention with a syndesmotic screw or other stabilization procedure.1,6-4,15

**A 3-stage rehabilitation protocol**

When conservative management is deemed appropriate for a stable syndesmotic sprain, a 3-stage rehabilitation protocol is typically utilized.
The acute phase focuses on protection, pain control, and decreasing inflammation. The patient’s ankle is often immobilized in a cast or controlled ankle movement (CAM) boot. The patient is typically allowed to bear weight in the immobilizer during this phase as long as he/she is pain-free. If pain is present with weight bearing despite immobilization, non-weight bearing is recommended. The patient is instructed to elevate the lower extremity, take anti-inflammatory medication, and ice the affected ankle. Additionally, physical therapy modalities may be utilized to help with edema and pain. Joint immobilization is typically employed for 1 to 3 weeks post-injury. In the acute phase, the patient may also work with a physical therapist or athletic trainer on passive range of motion (ROM), progressing to active ROM as tolerated.1,3,7,19

The patient can transition from the CAM boot to a lace-up ankle brace when he/she is able to bear full weight and can navigate stairs without pain, which typically occurs around 3 to 6 weeks post-injury.1,3,7 A pneumatic walking brace may also be used as a transition device to provide added stabilization.

In the sub-acute phase, rehabilitation may progress to increase ankle mobility, strengthening, neuromuscular control, and to allow the patient to perform activities of daily living.5,7

The advanced training phase includes continued neuromuscular control, increased strengthening, plyometrics, agility, and sports-specific drills.5 Athletes are allowed to return to full participation when they have regained full ROM, are able to perform sport-specific agility drills without pain or instability, and have near-normal strength.5,7 Some authors also advocate that a Single Leg Hop Test should be included in the physical exam, and that it should be pain free prior to allowing an athlete to return to competition.20 Both progression in physical rehabilitation and return to sport should be individualized based upon injury severity, patient functionality, and physical exam findings.

Outcomes forecast: Variable
The resolution of symptoms and return to competition after a syndesmotic injury is variable. In one cohort study of cadets (N = 614) at the United States Military Academy, the average time lost from a syndesmotic ankle sprain was 9.82 days (range 3-21 days).9 In a retrospective review of National Hockey League players, average time to return to competition after a syndesmotic ankle injury sprain (n = 14) was 45 days (range 6-137 days) vs 1.4 days (range 0-6 days) for lateral ankle sprains (n = 5).21 In another study, National Football League players with syndesmotic sprains (n = 36) had a mean time loss from play of 15.4 days (± 11.1 days) vs 6.5 days (± 6.5 days) of time loss from play in those with lateral ankle sprains (n = 53).22 Although there is a fair amount of variability among studies, most authors agree that the average athlete can expect to return to sport 4 to 8 weeks post-injury with conservative management.28 At least 1 study suggests that the average time to return to sport in patients with Grade III syndesmotic injuries who undergo surgical treatment with a syndesmotic screw is 41 days (range 32-48).23 The differences in return to sport may be related to severity of injury and/or type of activity.

Persistent symptoms are relatively common after conservative management of syndesmotic injuries. One case series found that 36% of patients treated conservatively had complaints of persistent mild-to-moderate ankle stiffness, 23% had mild-to-moderate pain, and 18% had mild-to-moderate ankle swelling.24 Despite these symptoms, 86% of the patients rated their ankle function as good after conservative treatment.24 In patients with persistent symptoms, other possible etiologies should be considered including neurologic injury, complex regional pain syndrome, osteochondral defect, loose body, or other sources that may be contributing to pain, swelling, or delayed recovery.

At least 1 randomized controlled trial (RCT) investigated the utility of platelet rich plasma (PRP) injections around the injured ATITFL in the setting of an acute syndesmotic injury. The study showed promising results, including quicker return to play, restabilization of the syndesmotic joint, and less residual pain;25 however, the study population was relatively small (N = 16), and the authors be-
Although not the standard of care, ultrasonography is gaining traction as a means of investigating the integrity of the syndesmotic ligaments.

**CASE**

Ten days after injuring himself, the patient returns for a follow-up exam. Despite using the walking brace and crutches, he is still having significant difficulty bearing weight. He reports a sensation of instability in the right ankle. On exam, you note visible edema of the right ankle and ecchymosis over the lateral ankle, as well as moderate tenderness to palpation over the area of the ATFL and deltoid ligament. Tenderness over the medial malleolus, lateral malleolus, fifth metatarsal, and navicular is absent. Pain is reproducible with external rotation, and a Squeeze Test is positive. There is no tenderness over the proximal tibia or fibula. The patient is neurovascularly intact.

You order stress x-rays, which show widening of the medial clear space. The patient is placed in a CAM boot, instructed to continue non-weight-bearing on the ankle, and referred to a local foot and ankle surgeon for consideration of surgical fixation.
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