Caring for international patients

NOVEMBER 2016

TO THE EDITOR: We read with great interest the article by Drs. Cawcutt and Wilson on caring for international patients.1 They provide an overview of the challenges of delivering medical care for these patients (eg, cultural differences) and the likely benefits from such interactions (eg, gaining cultural knowledge). Having practiced medicine in 3 different continents and experienced working in various medical centers caring for international patients, we would like to offer a slightly different viewpoint.

First, gaining cultural knowledge should be regarded as a prerequisite for healthcare workers involved in the care of international patients, rather than the expected benefit and consequence of such encounters. Healthcare workers with some knowledge of an international patient’s culture are best able to serve that patient.2 Indeed, unless knowledge of cultural differences is obtained before such interactions, there is a significant risk of stereotyping by amplifying the sense of “otherness,” which is unfortunately too often mistaken for cultural sensitivity. The perception of the stereotypes and prejudices during the second stage of cultural adaptation (ie, irritation, hostility) often stems from the host’s lack of cultural knowledge. Table 1 of their article clearly reflects such risk: the authors have tried to exemplify the concepts they discussed through a number of real-life scenarios. But indeed some of those cases (eg, the man from Saudi Arabia) could be interpreted more as examples of stereotyping than cultural sensitivity.

Second, the authors do not mention requests by family members of international patients for nondisclosure of serious medical diagnoses, one we have frequently received from family members from different cultural backgrounds. These requests represent another challenge of caring for these patients as they counter our obligation for full disclosure and the patients’ right to know in order to be able to make informed decisions regarding their medical care.1
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IN REPLY: We appreciate the comments, and we fully agree about the dangers of blurring sensitivity and stereotyping in medicine. We also recognize that health providers working around the world have distinct backgrounds and unique perspectives, which serve to enrich the discussion.

We agree that gaining cultural knowledge should be a prerequisite for healthcare workers. However, healthcare providers may not uniformly have the opportunity, time, or resources for this training. Additionally, providers working in large group practices including referral and academic medical centers often do not have control over scheduling of patient appointments. Therefore, rather than prohibiting the evaluations of international patients, we advocate for the utilization of a few guiding and common principles to optimize a mutually beneficial patient care experience. Despite inherent inadequacies and potential prejudices, healthcare providers do learn through patient encounters. Within this learning environment, mistakes will be
made, but there are also opportunities for further self-improvement.

We agree there is a fine line between sensitivity and stereotyping, along with common misunderstandings regarding patient labeling. Identifying the geographic homeland of a patient could be misconstrued as intent to stereotype patients. However, numerous infectious diseases and many noncommunicable syndromes are disproportionately represented within select countries. Thus, we feel the identification of a patient’s homeland along with ethnicity, age, gender, and pertinent socioeconomic details can be done respectfully and remain an important collective part of the active medical history and serve to optimize care for each patient. Within medical education, we often find ourselves generalizing patient presentations and symptom profiles.

Yet we must recognize that the generalized concepts cannot apply to everyone. Medicine remains a profession of humility—both in our willingness to consider additional diagnoses and in our openness to care for patients of different backgrounds. With this humility, we hope to avoid the pitfalls of patient stereotyping, misjudgments, and misunderstandings.

Finally, the nondisclosure of serious medical diagnoses at the request of family members can be a tricky issue. It can be most difficult to balance unique wishes of a family with the ethics of accurate patient communication and compliance with legal statutes and medical center policies. We advocate a team approach with family members of international patients as a way to avoid breaches in medical ethics or breaks in mutual family trust.
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Acid-base disturbances

JANUARY 2017

TO THE EDITOR: In their article “A patient with altered mental status and an acid-base disturbance,”1 Drs. Shylaja Mani and Gregory W. Rutecki state that 5-oxoproline or pyroglutamic acidosis is associated with an elevated osmol gap. This is not the case. The cited reference by Tan et al2 describes a patient who most likely had ketoacidosis, perhaps complicated by isopropyl alcohol ingestion.

Those disorders can certainly generate an osmol gap. Although pyroglutamic acidosis was mentioned in the differential diagnosis of that case, that condition was never documented. The accumulation of 5-oxoproline or pyroglutamic acid should not elevate the serum osmolality or generate an osmol gap.
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IN REPLY: We thank Dr. Emmett for his insightful comment. He is correct that in the case reported by Tan et al the elevated osmol gap was not a direct result of the patient’s presumed acetaminophen ingestion but more likely another unidentified toxic ingestion. The online version of our article has been modified accordingly (also see page 214 of this issue).
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