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The implementation of a 5-step reminder process and pharmacist consultation/visit improved medication adherence and reduced operative delays.

Efficiencies in the operating room (OR) can occur before, during, and between cases and lead to multiple problems, including delays in the delivery of patient care. They also have a negative financial impact for the institution and cause frustration for surgeons, anesthesiologists, and other OR staff. Ultimately, delays lead to dissatisfaction among patients and health care providers. Operating room efficiency increasingly is becoming a marker of the quality of surgical care.

The Institute of Medicine (IOM) identified timeliness and efficiency as 2 of 6 areas for improvement for U.S. hospitals. Organizations such as the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, IOM, Institute for Healthcare Improvement, The Joint Commission, Leapfrog Group, and National Quality Forum are beginning to monitor patient care workflow in order to improve quality while reducing costs.

About 187 million Americans take at least 1 prescription drug. An estimated 20% to 50% of patients do not take their medications as prescribed and are said to be nonadherent with therapy. Nonadherence to medication also has been shown to result in increased health risks and costs of up to $290 billion. Patients who receive pharmacist services achieve better clinical outcomes for chronic diseases than national standards.

Among patients with a chronic disease, poor adherence tends to result in poor outcomes and increased medical costs. Yet these are the patients who face the most risks in surgery and require the most preoperative care. Several studies have evaluated the frequency of medication nonadherence prior to surgery and its effect on surgery cancellations. These studies have examined a variety of factors related to patient preoperative education, medications, food intake, bowel prep, etc.

In a VA Puget Sound Health Care System study, 23% of patients undergoing ambulatory surgery were nonadherent to preoperative medication instructions. Studies have found that up to 7% of cancellations were impacted by medication nonadherence and preoperative education. Furthermore, studies using large-scale databases have found medically treatable conditions as a significant source of surgical delay. Had these conditions been treated a priori, delay in surgery would not have occurred. Unfortunately, it is not clear whether the delays were the result of missed preoperative checks or medication nonadherence.

Ensuring patient safety, including reducing medical errors and adverse events (AEs), is imperative in the surgical workflow. In 1999, the IOM estimated that medical error was a leading cause of death in the U.S. and resulted in up to 100,000 deaths annually.

In a retrospective study of 15,000 cases, Gawande and colleagues found that 66% of all AEs were surgical and 54% of these were preventable. In addition to improving reporting systems, creating a culture of safety with all members of the health care team and building a partnership with patients during preoperative visits can ensure increased adherence and reduced medication AEs. In a neurosurgical cohort of patients, Bernstein and colleagues found that 85% of patients...
were subjected to at least 1 error; 10% of the errors were major, and 65% were deemed preventable.\textsuperscript{17}

The purpose of this study is to evaluate whether redundancy built into the patient care protocols prior to surgery helps catch errors as demonstrated in time-out analyses.\textsuperscript{18} Decreasing these errors would lead to fewer surgical cancellations and medical workup delays. The authors hypothesize that a structured preoperative pharmacologic workup would result in decreased preoperative delay in the surgical workflow.

**METHODS**

The study protocol was reviewed and determined to be a quality improvement/quality assurance initiative, which exempted it from institutional review board or other oversight committee review, at the Minneapolis VA Health Care System. The VA OR Efficiency Task Force identified medication adherence as a possible source of delay. A study therefore was undertaken to determine the adherence rate and how it impacted operative delays.

Data were extracted from this study to test the stated hypothesis and compare with historic data.

Fifty consecutive patients undergoing neurosurgical procedures from May 2010 through July 2010 were retrospectively reviewed and evaluated. All patients had a preoperative consultation with a pharmacist and the neurosurgery coordinator who reviewed all medications with the patient and gave specific instructions on which medications should be continued or discontinued prior to the surgery date. This information was documented on the OR Medication Compliance Worksheet and included in the patient’s preoperative chart by the neurosurgery coordinator. On the day of surgery, all active medications on this chart were reviewed with the patient by the anesthesiologist and documented on the OR Medication Compliance Worksheet. The worksheet was then sent to the neurosurgery coordinator for secondary review and analysis.

To evaluate delays, the authors reviewed the patient anesthesiology records. Delays were defined as either cancellations of the case due to medication nonadherence, which would make it unsafe to proceed with surgery, or minor delays due to medication nonadherence, which required further preoperative assessment and workup before proceeding with surgery. Cancelled cases were defined as cases on the final copy of the published OR schedule that did not occur.

**Medication Adherence Program**

In order to ensure medication adherence prior to surgery there were 5 points of contact with a patient from the time the patient was scheduled for surgery and the date of the surgery (Figure 1):

1. Coordinator contacts patient to schedule pre-op appts, surgery date and reviews medication
2. Write orders for med pre-op and neurosurgery pre-op appts/send letter with med instructions
3 & 4 Med pre-op and neurosurgery pre-op/one-on-one pre-op teaching and consent
4. Preoperative medicine clearance
5. Preoperative neurosurgery appointment
6. Call from pharmacist
7. Scheduled surgery arrival date

---

**Figure 1. Patient Interactions and Preoperative Instruction Map**

- **Patient seen in clinic for consult**
- Is patient a candidate for surgery?
- **No - treatment plan given. Patient discharged**
- **Yes - Surgery form completed/to be scheduled file**
- Coordinator contacts patient to schedule pre-op appts, surgery date and reviews medication
- Write orders for med pre-op and neurosurgery pre-op appts/send letter with med instructions
- Med pre-op and neurosurgery pre-op/one-on-one pre-op teaching and consent
- Cleared for surgery
- Scheduled surgery arrival date
- Call from pharmacist
RESULTS
The authors reviewed 10 months of the neurosurgical service prior to initiation of the protocol. Of 317 analyzed cases, 30 were delayed/cancelled. Among these, 5 cases with the possibility of a 6th were cancelled due to medication issues. Following the initialization of the study, 50 patients underwent preoperative counseling with the pharmacist and the neurosurgery coordinator and had an OR Medication Compliance Worksheet created.

Review of the OR Medication Compliance Worksheet demonstrated that 2 patients were nonadherent with their medications. The first patient did not use a prescribed inhaler, and the second patient did not take preoperative pain medication. Review of the anesthesiology records did not document a delay or cancellation in any of the 50 cases. The first patient received a nebulizer treatment prior to surgery, but this did not delay the case. All patients with anticoagulation or antiplatelet prescriptions had discontinued these medications prior to surgery (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION
The OR is one of the most expensive areas in an acute care hospital. Cancellations or delays can have significant negative financial implications (about $1,500 per hour of lost revenue). In order to improve OR efficiency and reduce preoperative delays, the causes of preoperative delays must be determined.

Some delays and cancellations result from either preoperative or perioperative issues. Prolonged wait time and postponement may cause preoperative delays. Perioperative delays include delays in getting into the OR once the patient has arrived in the hospital as well as delays during the operation. These delays can be due to both human error and system deficiencies.

One Toronto, Canada study looked at the different etiologies for delays in cranial and spinal procedures and found that equipment failure followed by physical transit into the OR were the top reasons for delays. These researchers also found that first cases each day sometimes had a higher incidence of delays than did subsequent cases because several ORs prepare to start simultaneously, which causes an increased demand on hospital support services (eg, registration desk, imaging department, nurses in the patient holding area, or transportation). The number of these support staff remains constant throughout the day, whereas the first-case patients all arrive at about the same time, causing a bottleneck in the early morning. The authors looked at 1 facet of the delay problem as an ongoing analysis for hospital efficiency improvement.

With the implementation of a simple 5-step process, medication adherence was > 90% and the impact of nonadherence on surgical procedure delays was eliminated during the trial period. In this sample, nonadherence did not impact surgery, which resulted in fewer delays and cancellations. The process emphasized repetition and communication, involving 5 reminders between the date of OR scheduling and the date of the actual surgery. The authors found that in this quality improvement study, redundancy in the workflow actually improved the efficiency of the patient’s hospital course.

Within the OR, there are many perspectives to consider for improving OR efficiency. For instance, Archer and colleagues present several distinct perspectives: that of the health care institution, the individual practitioner, the patient, and evidenced-based medicine. OR inefficiency is the sum of under- and overutilized time and efficiency is highest when OR inefficiency is minimized. An OR is considered underutilized when it is staffed at regular wages but not used for surgery, setup, or cleanup. An OR is considered overutilized when the OR staff receives overtime wages, multiplied by the relative cost of overtime compared with straight time. Delayed or cancelled surgeries can result in idle operating room staff, while repeat or correlative studies (ie, electrocardiogram, drug levels) may overutilize support services.

Limitations
This study has obvious limitations due to its small scale. Because the protocol implementation resulted in few delays, a very large cohort would have been necessary to attain statistical power.
CONCLUSION

By improving OR efficiency and reducing preoperative delays, surgical capacity can be increased.

In this study, the authors demonstrate that with little addition of cost, medication nonadherence can be reduced or eliminated as an issue for surgical delays. With the implementation of the 5-step reminder process as well as the addition of a pharmacist consultation/visit, medication adherence was > 90% among preoperative patients in this small study. With the number of patients with complex medication regimens, increasing medication adherence in the preoperative period is not only important in reducing operative delays, but also an opportunity to ensure the patient is safe and optimally treated.
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