Early Delivery Improves Mortality Among Twins

**ARTICLES BY TIMOTHY F. KIRK**

Sacramento Bureau

RENO, NEV. — Obstetricians are delivering more sets of twins early—a trend that is improving neonatal mortality, Candie V. Ananth, Ph.D., said at the annual meeting of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine.

Black twins, however, are not benefitting equally by this more aggressive practice. According to federal statistics, 44% of white and 53% of black twin births occurred before 37 weeks’ gestation in 1989. In 2000, those percentages rose to 57% for whites and 61% for blacks.

These increases largely reflected obstetricians’ decisions to deliver twin infants before 37 weeks among whites, said Dr. Ananth of the department of obstetrics and gynecology at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

Medically indicated preterm delivery among white twins rose 51% for the 11-year period, and 33% among black twins.

Among the whites, this medically indicated early delivery significantly affected perinatal mortality, defined as stillbirth after 22 weeks’ gestation or neonatal mortality within 28 days of birth. Perinatal mortality decreased by 41% during the period overall. It fell by 31% among the medically indicated deliveries, and, because of the large increase in medically indicated preterm births among whites, that 37% reduction accounted for 10% of the overall decline.

Among the black twins, perinatal mortality declined 37% overall and 34% among medically indicated preterm births. However, largely because the increase in medically indicated preterm deliveries was less in blacks, that decline accounted for only 5% of the overall drop.

A reduction in mortality tied to birth following premature rupture of membranes was more important among blacks. The study also found that preterm birth following spontaneous onset of labor rose 3% among white twins and fell 1% among black twins.

Preterm birth following premature rupture of membranes fell 3% among whites and 7% among blacks.

**SUMMARY: PERINATAL MORTALITY**

**Women who had a prior C-section had the same rate of VBAC failures and no higher rate of maternal complications.**

**Twin VBAC Not Associated With More Dystocia Than Forceps**

Reno, Nev. — Attempting vaginal birth after cesarean section in twin deliveries may be no more risky than attempting VBAC in singleton pregnancies, according to a review of almost 25,000 deliveries.

The review found that women with twins who had a prior C-section were less likely to attempt a vaginal birth but that they had the same rate of VBAC failures and no higher rate of maternal complications, Alison Cahill, M.D., and her associates wrote in a poster presentation at the annual meeting of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine.

Women with twins should not be discouraged from making a VBAC attempt if that is their desire, according to data reported by Dr. Cahill of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, and her colleagues.

The study’s subjects were patients from 17 different tertiary and community hospitals who were delivered between 1996 and 2000, and who were identified by coding in their pregnancy records as having had a previous cesarean section. Of the 24,842 deliveries identified, 535 were twin pregnancies.

A total of 33% of the mothers with twins chose to attempt VBAC, compared with 55% of the women with singleton pregnancies.

The VBAC failed in 24% of the attempts of both groups. Uterine rupture occurred in 2% of the twin pregnancies (1% of those attempted VBAC), and 125 of the singleton pregnancies (also 1%).

In addition, 3% of the women with twins who attempted VBAC had either a uterine rupture, uterine artery laceration, bladder injury, and/or bowel injury.

That compared with 2% of the women with singletons, the researchers reported in the poster.

**Vacuum Associated With More Dystocia Than Forceps**

Reno, Nev. — Forceps delivery is associated with more perineal tears than is vacuum delivery, but the vacuum is associated with more complications for the infant, including shoulder dystocia, Aaron B. Caughey, M.D., said at the annual meeting of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine.

Dr. Caughey presented results of a review of 4,120 consecutive, operatively, vacuum deliveries of singleton, term neonates at a University of California, San Francisco, hospital, and those results surprised him, said he had discussed it during an interview.

His hypothesis at the start of the study was that he would see more shoulder dystocia in the neonates delivered with forceps, because doctors would choose the forceps for bigger babies. What he found, however, is consistent with another recent study, which looked at deliveries at many different institutions (Obstet. Gynecol. Surv. 2005;60:86-7).

In the study Dr. Caughey and his colleagues at the university, shoulder dystocia occurred in 4% of the forceps deliveries, compared with 4% of the vacuum deliveries.

Dr. Caughey wrote in a poster presentation.

On the maternal side, there was a difference in third- and fourth-degree perineal and cervical tears (17% for the forceps deliveries, versus 27% for the vacuum deliveries).

The study found no significant difference in more serious birth trauma, which included skull and clavicle fracture, intracranial hemorrhage, facial nerve palsy, and Erb’s palsy (1.7% for forceps and 2.1% for vacuum).

But the children delivered with the vacuum were more likely to have a 5-minute Apgar score that was less than 7 (% vs. 3%) and to have neonatal jaundice (13% vs. 10%).

In the interview, Dr. Caughey said his study adds to what the previous study reported because that study used a database of births nationwide—data in which coping and practices could differ.

His data, culled from a single institution, likely reflect more consistent practice, he said.

Of the study’s 4,120 deliveries, 2,045 were forceps deliveries and 2,075 were vacuum-assisted deliveries.

The differences in outcome overall remained consistent even when the investigators took into account factors such as birth weight, station at delivery, length of the first and second stages of labor, and parity.

The study results indicate that the trade-off in choosing which device to use is that one puts the mother at risk for tears, while the other entails risk for the neonate, Dr. Caughey noted.

In most of those situations, therefore, he is going to choose putting the mother at risk, he said.

Certainly with multiparous women, the forceps make more sense because they have less likelihood of tearing, he added.