Addiction Figures ‘Misleading’
Opioids from page 1

The only other systematic review of long-term opioid use for chronic noncancer pain was a 2008 study by the same investigators that used somewhat different methodology. All of the patients had been taking opioids for at least 6 months after failing previous treatments. The study reported pain relief of at least 3 months duration, mainly chronic back pain, severe osteoarthritis, or pain related to nerve damage. Solid estimates are lacking for the number of people with chronic noncancer pain who are taking opioids long-term and what they are taking. Two U.S. studies suggest that 0.65% of people with medical insurance use opioids chronically and that 10% of people who claimed insurance coverage for opioids had at least a 3-month supply. The Cochrane Collaboration is an international nonprofit, independent organization focused on systematic reviews of health care interventions. However, three pain experts said in interviews that they fear clinicians might read too much into the review’s limited findings.

The report is “very encouraging, but it’s far from the whole story,” Dr. Perry Fine said. A literature review does not necessarily reflect concerns in real-life practice. Because there are no good substitutes for opioids on the horizon, physicians need to find ways of making long-term opioid use more effective and safe, he said.

Dr. Fine, who is president-elect of the American Academy of Pain Medicine (AAPM) and professor of anesthesiology at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, compared current use of long-term opioids for noncancer pain with the use of surgical anesthesia 20-30 years ago when it was associated with significant morbidity and mortality.

“That didn’t stop us from doing surgical procedures when necessary,” he said. “But it did motivate research and improvements in patient selection, monitoring, and dosing that led to the very low rates of morbidity and mortality with anesthesia today, he said.

Dr. Adnan Bartoli, a pain specialist practicing in San Francisco, said he was disappointed that the authors of the review implied that patients who have a prior problem with addiction should be excluded from opioid therapy for chronic noncancer pain. “There’s nothing in this analysis that would suggest that. That was their opinion,” he said. He also noted that the review muddled concepts of pain and addiction, referring to addiction in terms of tolerance and dependence, which are very different concepts.

“I got the sense that they felt that patients who were addicted with addiction by taking a medicine like a narcotic,” Dr. Bartoli said. “It’s a genetically predisposed condition.”

On the other hand, he worried that the report of a very low rate of addiction may lead primary care physicians, in particular, to put patients with chronic noncancer pain on long-term opioids without sufficiently considering other remedies or medications.

“The pharmaceutical industry over the past 10 years has been incredibly strong in trying to move these narcotics onto the market and to put the primary care physicians at ease that they are not prescribing something that has a risk of addiction or abuse,” he said. “This review probably is going to reinforce that. Ultimately, there are pros and cons to that occurring.”

Primary care internist Roger Chou agreed, saying that the 0.3% rate of addiction reported in a “little misleading, because it’s based on pretty crude data.” The review’s findings on addiction, pain relief, and adverse events apply to very select groups of patients, not the more complicated cases that raise concerns for physicians considering long-term opioids.

Mainly, the review shows how little is known about prescribing long-term opioids, suggested Dr. Chou, of Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, and lead author of clinical guidelines on chronic opioids for noncancer pain by the American Pain Society and the AAPM. “We really don’t have good quality, long-term data on this, which is scary because we’re prescribing these medications so much,” Dr. Chou said. Over the past 2 decades, “we’re prescribing more, but we’re also prescribing higher doses and more Schedule II drugs,” which have a higher potential for abuse.

Disclosures: None of the commentators is associated with the Cochrane review. Dr. Bartoli and Dr. Chou reported no potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Fine has been a speaker forWyeth and an adviser and consultant for many pharmaceutical companies that manufacture opioids.

IL-6-Blocker Approved as Second-Line RA Treatment

The monoclonal antibody tocilizumab has received approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of moderate to severe active rheumatoid arthritis in adult patients who have failed one or more tumor necrosis factor blockers, according to an announcement made last month by the drug’s manufacturer, Roche Holding AG.

Tocilizumab (Actemra) is the first interleukin-6 (IL-6) receptor inhibitor to be approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, and it can be used alone or in combination with methotrexate or other disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), according to the statement. The drug was co-developed by Chugai Pharmaceuticals and its parent company Roche.

The approval comes on the heels of an extensive clinical development program that included five phase III trials as well as a re- submission of documents, including a proposal for a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy.

The pivotal clinical trials include RA DIATE (Research on Actemra Determining Efficacy After Anti-TNF Failures), OPTION (Tocilizumab Pivotal Trial in Methotrexate Inadequate Responders), TOWARD (Tocilizumab in Combination With Traditional DMARD Therapy), AMBITION (Actemra Versus Methotrexate Double-Blind Investigative Trial in Monotherapy), and LITHE (Tocilizumab Safety and the Prevention of Structural Joint Damage).

In the RA DIATE trial, 30% of patients who received tocilizumab in combination with methotrexate achieved disease remission compared with 1.6% of patients receiving methotrexate alone. Lead investigator Dr. Paul Emery, professor of rheumatology at the University of Leeds, England, and colleagues wrote that the findings were especially promising for that subset of rheumatoid arthritis patients who failed to achieve adequate symptom relief with anti-tumor necrosis factor agents (Ann. Rheum. Dis. 2008;67:1516-23).

The results from the OP TION trial showed that 59% of the patients with rheumatoid arthritis who had incomplete responses to methotrexate achieved an ACR20 response following treatment with tocilizumab 8 mg/kg compared with 66% of patients taking tocilizumab 4 mg/kg plus methotrexate and 85% of those taking tocilizumab 8 mg/kg and methotrexate compared with 66% of patients taking methotrexate alone.

In one trial, 30% of patients who received tocilizumab in combination with methotrexate achieved disease remission, compared with 1.6% of patients receiving methotrexate alone.

In another, 41% of patients treated with placebo, and 27% of the patients on tocilizumab achieved remission compared with 0.8% in the placebo group (Lancet 2008;371:987-97).

Similarly, in the TOWARD trial, 61% of patients who received tocilizumab 8 mg/kg achieved an ACR20 response compared with 25% of patients treated with placebo plus DMARDs, and approximately 38% of tocilizumab-treated patients met ACR50 criteria for symptom improvement, compared with 9% of patients receiving placebo (Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58:2968-80).

The AMBITION study, in which 70% of patients who received 8 mg/kg achieved an ACR20 response at 24 weeks, was the first to show that treatment with a single biologic agent was superior to methotrexate alone for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis at 6 months, according to a press release issued by Roche when the phase III results were released in 2008 at the annual Congress of the European League Against Rheumatism.

Findings from LITHE, presented by lead investigator Dr. Roy M. Fleischmann of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas at the 2009 annual meeting of the American College of Rheumatology showed that, over a 2-year period, there was no radiographic progression or joint damage in 75% of patients treated with tocilizumab 4 mg/kg plus methotrexate and 85% of those taking tocilizumab 8 mg/kg and methotrexate compared with 66% of patients taking methotrexate alone.

Among the arthritis tocilizum ab-related adverse events that have been reported in the clinical trials are infections that lead to hospitalization or death, including tuberculosis, and bacte rial meningitis, peritonitis, and other infections; gastrointestinal perforations; hypersensitivity reactions; and cellulitis, according to the press release.

In March 2009, Roche’s Chugai Pharmaceuticals reported that, among nearly 5,000 rheumatoid arthritis patients in Japan who had been treated with tocilizumab between April 2008 and February 2009, 15 deaths occurred and the possibility of a link to the drug could not be denied, although the exact causes of the deaths were unknown, according to a press release from the company.