**Monitoring Cut Labor Induction Rate by a Third**

**BY MARY ANN MOON**

A large maternity hospital markedly decreased its excessive rate of labor inductions simply by strictly enforcing American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists’ recommendations for averting inappropriate inductions.

By requiring physicians to justify or discontinue inductions that might be deemed inappropriate, the hospital cut the overall rate of inductions by one-third, decreased the rate of inductions performed before 39 weeks by 64%, and reduced the rate of cesarean delivery among nulliparas undergoing induction by 60%, reported Dr. John M. Fisch and his associates at Magee-Womens Hospital (Obstet. Gynecol. 2009;113:797-803).

ACOG 2004 guidelines permit elective inductions only after 38 weeks, advise that the procedure be done in nulliparas only if the Bishop score is 8 or more (and in multiparas only if the Bishop score is 6 or more), and do not allow the use of a cervical ripening. These guidelines were immediately implemented at Magee-Womens Hospital, a tertiary care center with 36 ob.gyn. residents and more than 100 practicing physicians caring for both clinic and private patients.

However, an audit of actual practice there between 2004 and 2005 showed little adherence to the guidelines, and multiparas and nulliparas who had inductions only if the Bishop score was 8 or more were reviewed by a multidisciplinary team each month and discussed with the attending physician. Peer review was performed, and letters sent from the vice president of medical affairs were included in the physicians’ permanent credentialing files.

With this enforcement, the overall induction rate decreased from 26% to 8% and the rate of cesarean deliveries among nulliparas who had been induced dropped from 35% to 14%.

"Initial reaction to the guidelines ranged from skeptical to hostile, as physicians objected to oversight of their medical decision making," Dr. Fisch and his colleagues noted.

The hospital sidestepped much of this resistance by presenting this program not as an effort to reduce inductions but as an effort to improve maternal and fetal outcomes by adhering to ACOG standards.

Also, “due to the sensitive nature of altering physician practice patterns within such a large group of practitioners,” the task force that implemented the program was carefully chosen and included stakeholders from several disciplines.

Quality of care was monitored, and the hospital calculated that their program had likely paid for itself in reduced cesarean births per month.

"This accounts for 284 more hours in the hospital and a cost of $29,535 more per month," which “translates into a total cost savings of 3,408 hours and $350,820 per year,” they said.

The investigators added that in the future, "inducements to reduce induction rates may be monitored and evaluated, especially if the induction rates and subsequent cesarean birth rates are excessive."

---

**Program Decreased Elective Deliveries Before 39 Weeks**

**BY SHELLY BOSCHERT**

Many physicians think it’s no big deal to schedule elective deliveries before 39 weeks gestation contrary to guidelines—but their minds and practices can be changed with concerted effort, according to a study at nine hospitals. In a 5-year program, reeducation of physicians and nurses on the hazards of early-term elective delivery, combined with policing of their practices, reduced the rate of early elective deliveries from 28% of all elective deliveries in 1999-2000 to less than 10% within 6 months of program initiation. After 6 years with the program in place, the near-term elective delivery rate remained less than 3%, Dr. Bryan T. Osburo and his associates reported (Obstet. Gynecol. 2009;113:804-11).

Those improvements did not come easily. It wasn’t enough to remind physicians of American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists guidelines recommending that elective deliveries be not performed before 39 weeks gestation. Nor were their minds changed by national data showing greater perinatal morbidity in infants delivered before 39 weeks, including 8 to 2.5-fold higher incidences of severe respiratory distress syndrome with deliveries at 38 or 37 weeks, respectively.

The medical staff argued that theirlocal patients were healthier than those reported in the literature. The physicians wanted to maintain autonomy in managing the timing of delivery. Nursing staff did not want to be responsible for enforcing a policy against early elective deliveries, which would put them in adversarial relationships with the doctors. “It was not until internal or local neonatal morbidity data were presented that significant initial buy-in by the medical staff was seen,” reported Dr. Osburo of Loma Linda (Calif.) University.

The team who developed and administered the program within the Intermountain Healthcare network of hospitals in Utah and Southeast Idaho collected and presented data showing that their rate of neonatal ICU admissions for normal pregnancies increased from 2.5% for deliveries at 39 weeks to 4.5% for elective deliveries at 38 weeks and 8.9% for deliveries at 37 weeks. The rate of ventilator use for delivery without complications increased from 0.5% for deliveries at 39 weeks to 0.5% for deliveries at 38 weeks and 1.4% for deliveries at 37 weeks. The in-hospital data were key to obtaining staff buy-in.

Concerns that delaying elective deliveries might increase morbidity were allayed by follow-up data showing that the rates of postpartum anemia, meconium aspiration, Apgar scores less than 5 at 1 minute, and cesarean deliveries due to fetal distress in infants delivered at 39-41 weeks gestation in the period after the program was started, compared with the pre-program era. The rate of preeclampsia increased slightly, the study found.

Intermountain Healthcare is a vertically integrated health care system with 21 hospitals. The nine hospitals in the delivery network used an electronic system that allows identification and tracking of elective deliveries.

To overcome strong initial opposition to the program, the program managers conducted extensive education of the staff at each hospital. Physicians who wanted to schedule an early-term elective delivery were required to obtain permission from their hospital’s ob.gyn. department chair or the attending perinatologist so that nursing staff would not have to be the ones enforcing the new policy. A new brochure helped explain the policy on early-term elective deliveries to patients.

Clinical program leaders monitor performance systemwide, at each facility, and for each practitioner, and regularly discuss the results with each hospital and sometimes with individual physicians. Hospital administrators were motivated to help the program succeed because part of their compensation depended on meeting the goal of decreasing early-term elective deliveries.

“They’ve done a really nice job showing that if you do bring attention to it, you can improve your rates” of elective delivery at appropriate gestational ages,Dr. Catherine Spong of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development commented in an interview.

Requiring physicians to get permission for early elective deliveries “would make it more difficult for someone to just go ahead and deliver early,” she added.

The proportion of U.S. deliveries of live infants that occur between 37 and 38 weeks’ gestation has increased to nearly 18% in the past decade. Separate data have shown that approximately one-third of elective repeat cesarean deliveries are performed before 39 weeks.

The rate of late preterm deliveries (between 34 and 37 weeks’ gestation) and the indications for those deliveries have changed. Dr. Spong said All of these “probably should be more closely evaluated.”

The majority of obstetric providers in the Intermountain Healthcare system are community physicians, most of whom could choose to deliver babies at nearby competing hospitals. “Thus we feel that this program could work in other hospitals and in other areas of the country,” the investigators concluded.
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