Perioperative Drug Errors More Likely to Cause Harm

BY ELIZABETH MECHCATIE Senior Writer

ROCKVILLE, MD. — More than 11,000 perioperative medication errors were reported to a federal database between 1998 and 2005. Of these, 3% resulted in harm, according to a report issued by the United States Pharmacopeia.

The database, known as MEDMARX, is operated by the USP and is the largest national database of hospital medication errors in the United States, receiving about 15,000 new reports every month.

The 11,219 perioperative medication errors reported by more than 500 hospitals in 7 years were divided into four settings: outpatient surgery (30% of the total reports), the preoperative holding area (7%), the operating room (34%), and the postanesthesia care unit (29%). The proportion reported in the preoperative holding area was lower because this category was added to the database in 2003.

The 3% rate of harmful errors is about threefold higher than the proportion of medication errors resulting in harm in all other areas of the hospital combined. Harmful errors occurred in all four perioperative areas but were most common in the operating room. The proportion of perioperative medication errors resulting in harm was higher among patients under age 17 than it was among older patients.

Among the medication errors that resulted in harm, there were four deaths, including one pediatric patient, according to Diane D. Cousins, a registered pharmacist and vice president of the Center for the Advancement of Patient Safety at the USP.

A total of 739 drug products were involved in errors, the most common of which were the antibiotics cefazolin and vancomycin; the analgesics morphine, fentanyl, and meperidine; the sedative midazolam; and heparin. Ms. Cousins said there were 165 drugs (22%) involved in harmful errors; most common among them were morphine, fentanyl, and cefazolin.

Errors included administering the wrong medication or the wrong amount of medication, administering medication at the wrong time, omitting a medication or a dose, or administering medication incorrectly.

In the operating room, omission and wrong drug administration were the most common mistakes, she said. For example, a surgeon called in an order for a dose of ampicillin to be given during surgery that was scheduled a week later, but the order was never recorded. As a result, the patient (a child) never received the drug.

In the postanesthesia care unit setting, the most typical errors involved prescribing and administering incorrect amounts of drugs, she said. After an elderly patient was discharged from the postanesthesia care unit to an inpatient unit, it was discovered that the patient was receiving an excessive amount of heparin because of a programming error made in the postanesthesia care unit.

The results were announced at a press briefing sponsored by the USP, which released the report to support with the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), the Association for PeriOperative Registered Nurses (AORN), and the American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses (ASPAN).

Published by the USP Center for the Advancement of Patient Safety, the report is their first national analysis of perioperative medication error related to surgery, Ms. Cousins said at the briefing.

The findings were also provided in a briefing to 11 national organizations and agencies, with the intention of calling them to action and to "mobilize not only the organizations but their membership to develop risk prevention strategies that will make their patient care safer," Ms. Cousins said.

The 47 recommendations issued in the report include implementing strategies that improve communication among all perioperative team members, designating a pharmacist to coordinate medication safety, and working to ensure that medications are administered on time.

The report is available (for purchase) at www.usp.org/products/medMarx.

P4P Hospital Demonstration Reports Nearly 12% Quality Gain

BY MARY ELLEN SCHNEIDER New York Bureau

Hospitals are reporting consistent quality improvements across five clinical areas as part of a Medicare pay-for-performance demonstration, officials at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services have announced.

In the second year of the demonstration project, the average improvement across the more than 250 participating hospitals was 6.7%, according to the CMS. Agency officials also reported a total gain of 11.8% over the 2-year period. "The results today provide more solid evidence that pay for performance is working to improve the quality of health care at our nation's hospitals," Herb Kuhn, CMS acting deputy administrator, said during a teleconference to announce the second-year results of the Premier Hospital Quality Improvement Demonstration Program.

The program was launched in October 2003 by the CMS and the Premier Inc. health care alliance to test whether paying hospitals to improve quality of care would help to speed quality gains. Under the demonstration, the CMS provides financial incentives to the top 20% of high-performing hospitals in each of five clinical areas—acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, coronary artery bypass graft, pneumonia, and hip and knee replacement. Performance in the five clinical areas is measured by more than 30 nationally recognized quality standards.

Hospitals in the top 10% receive a 2% incentive payment, while hospitals in the next 10% receive a 1% payment. Any hospitals that rank in the bottom 10% in each clinical area is recognized on the CMS Web site. In the third year of the program—from October 2006 to September 2007—hospitals that fail to improve over baseline could face penalties.

In the second year, the CMS paid more than $8.6 million to 115 high-performing hospitals. The highest incentive payment went to Hackensack (N.J.) University Medical Center, which received $744,000 as a high-performer in all five clinical areas.

Data from the second year of the demonstration program showed significant improvements to test whether paying hospitals to improve quality would help to speed quality gains. Under the demonstration, the CMS provides financial incentives to the top 20% of high-performing hospitals in each of five clinical areas—acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, coronary artery bypass graft, pneumonia, and hip and knee replacement. Performance in the five clinical areas is measured by more than 30 nationally recognized quality standards.

Hospitals in the top 10% receive a 2% incentive payment, while hospitals in the next 10% receive a 1% payment. Any hospitals that rank in the bottom 10% in each clinical area is recognized on the CMS Web site. In the third year of the program—from October 2006 to September 2007—hospitals that fail to improve over baseline could face penalties.

In the second year, the CMS paid more than $8.6 million to 115 high-performing hospitals. The highest incentive payment went to Hackensack (N.J.) University Medical Center, which received $744,000 as a high-performer in all five clinical areas.

Data from the second year of the demonstration program showed significant improvements to test whether paying hospitals to improve quality would help to speed quality gains.

For more information on the demonstration program, visit www.cms.gov/HospitalQualityinit. www.obgynnews.com

Enrollment Expected to Rise 17% in U.S. Medical Schools

BY JANE ANDERSON Contributing Writer

First-year enrollment in U.S. medical schools is projected to increase 17% over the next 5 years to nearly 19,300 students, helping to ameliorate the real need for new physicians, according to an annual survey of medical-school expansion plans released by the Association of American Medical Colleges.

The estimated expansion would move U.S. medical schools past the halfway point of a 30% enrollment increase recommended by the AAMC in 2006.

The survey of 121 out of 125 U.S. medical schools dean’s took place last fall, and the information gathered was compared with that of the baseline academic year of 2002-2003, when first-year enrollment totaled 16,488 students.

Survey results indicated that total first-year enrollment in existing, U.S. medical schools is projected to increase by 2,538 students (15.7%) by 2012.

Three-quarters of existing medical schools anticipate an increase in enrollment, compared with their 2002 enrollment levels.

However, the report notes that many of these increased plans depend on state support or other outside funding sources. Projected enrollment for new medical schools accounts for an additional 1.5% of the total 17% expansion.

According to the survey, existing U.S. medical schools that are planning to expand will do so through a variety of mechanisms, including new clinical affiliations, expansion of existing campuses, and new regional or branch campuses.

Survey respondents also listed several barriers to enrollment increases, including the cost of such expansion, limited scholarship availability, tight classroom space, and too few ambulatory preceptors. A smaller number of schools reported a lack of basic science faculty, low numbers or variety of patients, problems with regulatory or accreditation requirements, and poor quality applicants as major barriers.