Pump+Sensor Beats Daily Shots to Trim HbA₁c

Gains in glucose control must be weighed against demands the high-tech pumps place on patients.
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ORLANDO — Sensor-augmented insulin pump therapy resulted in significant improvements in hemoglobin A₁c levels without increasing hypoglycemia, compared with multiple daily injection therapy, in a 1-year randomized, controlled trial of 485 patients with type 1 diabetes.

Participants in the prospective, multicenter Sensor-Augmented Pump Therapy for A₁c Reduction (STAR)—3 study were seen at 30 sites in the United States and Canada. All patients (aged 7-70 years) had type 1 diabetes treated with multiple daily injections that included a long-acting analogue insulin during the previous 3 months and had an HbA₁c value between 7.4% and 9.5% (mean 8.3% in both groups), Dr. Richard M. Bergenstal, executive director of the International Diabetes Center in Minneapolis, reported.

In the STAR study, which included more than 26,000 women, the patients were randomized to receive sensor-augmented pump therapy or multiple daily injections therapy. The pump group received stand-alone pumps, and participants were randomized to wear the pump 81% of the time or 61% of the time. In both groups, participants were also randomized to receive expert training in the use of the pump.

The adult group had achieved a 7.3% value within 3 months and had remained consistent over the entire year; the children and adolescents achieved a mean of 7.5% at 3 months, but the value then drifted upward. However, in the pediatric group the 1-year difference from baseline was still statistically significant, noted Dr. Bergenstal, the ADA’s president for medicine and science.

A linear relationship was seen between sensor use and HbA₁c, with reductions of just 0.19 percentage points among those who wore the sensor 21%-40% of the time, compared with a reduction of 1.21 percentage points in those who wore it 81% of the time or more. Results were statistically significant in those who wore the sensor 41% of the time or more, he said.

The proportion of patients who achieved an HbA₁c value of 7% or less at 1 year was 27% with sensor-augmented pump, compared with 10% with MDI, a highly significant difference. In adults, the difference was 34% vs. 12%. In the children and adolescents, 38% of participants achieving the ADA recommendations of less than 7.5% for those aged 13-19 years and less than 8% for 6- to 12-year-olds were 44% with sensor-augmented pump and 20% with MDI.

Diabetic ketoacidosis was rare in both groups and did not differ between the groups. The amount of time spent in hypoglycemia also did not differ between the two groups. Severe hypoglycemia occurred in 13.31 patients per 100 person-years in the sensor-augmented pump group, compared with 13.48 patients in the MDI group. Among the adults, weight gain was greater with SAP, at 2.4 kg, compared with 1.8 kg with MDI, Dr. Bergenstal said.

In an editorial that accompanied the study report, Dr. Howard Wolpert of the Joslin Diabetes Center in Boston, noted that “continuous glucose monitoring can be viewed as a compass that tells patients where their glucose is heading. However, to reach that goal, patients need to be skilled in diabetes self-management. The expert training and guidance that today’s clinical trials cannot be readily duplicated in a busy clinical practice,” he said (N Engl J Med. 2010 June 29; doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1002853).

The benefits of improved glucose control may also be weighed against the demands that the technology places on the patient, he wrote. “The use of continuous glucose monitoring by patients will almost certainly grow as the next generation of smaller, simpler devices with increased reliability and accuracy becomes available. The development of an infrastructure to support training and follow-up care will also be essential,” Dr. Wolpert concluded.

Gestational Diabetes Flags Elevated Risk for Hypertension
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SEATTLE — Women who have had gestational diabetes may be at elevated risk for hypertension even after established risk factors are taken into account, a nested cohort study indicates.

Using data from the Nurses’ Health Study II, researchers followed 26,000 women from an index pregnancy for up to 14 years. Those with gestational diabetes during that pregnancy were 41% more likely to develop hypertension even after adjustment for potential confounders such as body mass index, diet, and family history of hypertension.

“Those women may represent a target group for intervention to prevent or delay the onset of hypertension, which is a public health concern in the United States,” lead investigator Deirdre K. Tobias concluded. “The mechanism by which gestational diabetes mellitus could lead to an increased risk of hypertension is not yet established,” said Ms. Tobias, who is a doctoral student at the Harvard School of Public Health, Boston.

One possibility is that these conditions have shared risk factors, she noted. Another is that gestational diabetes itself increases the risk of hypertension, for example, by causing vascular damage that manifests later in time. The Nurses’ Health Study II is a prospective cohort study of women aged 25-44 years at baseline who began their pregnancy between 1991 (the first year in which dietary data were collected) and 2005, Dr. Tobias and her colleagues included in their sample the 26,384 women who reported having at least one singleton pregnancy between 1991 (the first year in which dietary data were collected) and 2005, Dr. Tobias and her colleagues included in their sample the 26,384 women who reported having at least one singleton pregnancy between 1991 (the first year in which dietary data were collected) and 2005, including those who had gestational diabetes. Among those who had gestational diabetes, the mean age of 32 years at baseline, and 7% in each group were current smokers.

However, those with gestational diabetes had a higher body mass index (25 vs. 23 kg/m²), and were more likely to have a family history of hypertension (51% vs. 46%) and diabetes (21% vs. 11%), and a personal history of toxemia, preeclampsia, or hypertension during pregnancy (16% vs. 8%).

Overall, 9% of the women developed hypertension during the follow-up period, and the cumulative incidence was higher among those who had had gestational diabetes.

After adjustment for potential confounders, women who experienced gestational diabetes still had a 41% higher risk of developing hypertension. Moreover, compared with women who experienced neither gestational diabetes nor type 2 diabetes, women who had gestational diabetes during their index pregnancy had with and without gestational diabetes had a mean age of 32 years at baseline, and 7% in each group were current smokers.

It is possible that there was residual or unmeasured confounding,” acknowledged Ms. Tobias. “For example, in our cohort, we were unable to capture pregnancy-related characteristics, such as weight gain or severity of gestational diabetes.”

The generalizability of the findings may be limited, given the women’s higher age at baseline and the fact that most were white, she noted.

Major Finding: Women who had gestational diabetes were 41% more likely to develop hypertension during a 14-year follow-up, compared with those who had not had the condition.

Data Source: A nested prospective cohort study of 26,384 women initially aged 25-44 years who had at least one singleton pregnancy.
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