Feds: ‘Price-Tagging’ Key to Consumer-Driven Care

BY ERIK GOLDMAN  Contributing Writer

WASHINGTON — Price transparency for physician and hospital services is a key element in the Bush administration’s vision of “consumer-driven” health care, and the administration is prepared to push for mandatory price-tagging if doctors and hospital administrators won’t voluntarily provide the information.

Speaking at a health care congress sponsored by the Wall Street Journal and CNBC, Al Hubbard, assistant to President George W. Bush for Economic Policy, issued a kind of ultimatum to the clinical community: “Make pricing information available without being forced. We have allies in Congress who are very much inclined to be prescriptive with legislation to impose pricing and quality standards on the health care community.”

Comprehensive and accurate pricing for health care services are essential for the efficacy of health savings accounts (HSAs) and other market-driven solutions to health care spending, said Mr. Hubbard, who is also director of the National Economic Council, at the meeting.

He cited LASIK (laser in situ keratomileusis) surgery as a prime example. Fifteen years ago, LASIK cost about $2,500 per eye. Because the service is an out-of-pocket expense, now the cost is under $1,000 per eye. That’s what would happen in the rest of health care if people were price-sensitive consumers, he said.

“You cannot be a wise consumer if you don’t know the prices or the quality of the goods. Right now, providers do not make that information available, and a lot of hospital executives don’t believe pricing information should be available.”

Mr. Hubbard’s remarks followed a video address by President Bush, in which the President underscored his commitment to HSAs as a key instrument for change. He estimated that more than 3 million Americans have HSAs as a key instrument for change. He underscored his commitment to the health care community.

“What is the lesson from 401(k) plans?”

Health care right now is in a situation somewhat analogous to that facing the employer benefits, pension, and investment world nearly 3 decades ago, when the 401(k) concept was first developed, the Vanguard Group’s Mr. Brennan said. Twenty-five years ago, the 401(k) industry was very fragmented. It was facing the employee benefits, pension, and investment world nearly 3 decades ago, when the 401(k) concept was first developed, the Vanguard Group’s Mr. Brennan said. Twenty-five years ago, the 401(k) industry was very fragmented. It was high cost and poorly understood by MBAs writing explanations for working people. It all needs to be very simple and straightforward.”

The benefits promised by early advocates of 401(k) investment (greater personal control over investment choices, tailored investment planning, facilitated transactions, and strong returns) were delivered via a vast powerhouse of new interactive technology. Choice, said Mr. Brennan, is the watchword of the 401(k) industry. Previously, people had few retirement investment options. They got the plans their employers gave them, end of story. They didn’t care. It was easy for Mr. Hubbard to talk about investment choices, tailored investment planning, facilitated transactions, and strong returns. Without clear and scientific-based quality standards, pricing information would have little value because patients would not be able to determine what they would be getting for their money. Further, shopping for health care based on price could encourage substandard care and suboptimal clinical outcomes.

He also pointed out that a higher-priced physician practice or hospital may be incurring those higher costs because they are treating a sicker population. Likewise a practice or hospital with lower outcomes scores may be handling sicker patients. Price tags and raw outcomes data alone would not reflect this, unless accurate risk-stratification measures were also incorporated.

Mr. Hubbard acknowledged that there’s much work to be done in developing meaningful outcomes standards and risk assessment tools so that consumers can “compare apples to apples.” At the same time, the administration seems unwilling to wait around indefinitely while practitioners and hospitals figure out how to prove their worth.

Several in the audience pointed out that the “shop-around” approach is likely to break down around episodes of emergency care, critical care, and sudden onset of disease. An individual having a myocardial infarction isn’t likely to consult the Internet to find out which hospital offers the best dollar value.

Mr. Hubbard agreed that emergency situations are an exception to the consumer-driven rule, but he insisted that “there’s no reason we should not be able to have bundled pricing from our physicians and hospitals on all nonemergency care. We want to treat everyone equally, and we don’t want the marketplace to artificially control the way you want to be treated when you consume a product or service.”

Whether a mandate for pricing transparency is truly in the offing remains to be seen. What is clear is that the Bush administration views HSAs and other strategies for shifting greater cost and greater health care responsibility onto consumers as the only viable strategy for the nation’s health care financing woes.

During a separate session at the meeting, Jack Brennan, CEO of the Vanguard Group, the nation’s second largest mutual fund company, and Jim Guest, president of Consumers Union (publisher of Consumer Reports), reviewed the potential strengths and weaknesses of consumer-driven health care plans.

Mr. Brennan, who said that he believes the health care world has a lot to learn by studying the evolution of the 401(k) business, said that Vanguard offers its 12,000 employees a consumer-driven health plan option, and has for several years. However, no more than 10% of the company’s employees have chosen it. “I’d say there’s a bit of a reluctance, but it is a start, and I’d like to see more,” he said.

Consumer Reports’ Mr. Guest said his organization supports the general idea of “consumer-informed health care,” but said it is far too early to tell whether strategies like those advocated by the Bush administration will really deliver on their stated promises.

What’s the Lesson From 401(k) Plans?

Health care right now is in a situation somewhat analogous to that facing the employer benefits, pension, and investment world nearly 3 decades ago, when the 401(k) concept was first developed, the Vanguard Group’s Mr. Brennan said. Twenty-five years ago, the 401(k) industry was very fragmented. It was high cost and poorly understood by MBAs writing explanations for working people. It all needs to be very simple and straightforward.”

The benefits promised by early advocates of 401(k) investment (greater personal control over investment choices, tailored investment planning, facilitated transactions, and strong returns) were delivered via a vast powerhouse of new interactive technology. Choice, said Mr. Brennan, is the watchword of the 401(k) industry. Previously, people had few retirement investment options. They got the plans their employers gave them, end of story. They didn’t see where their money was going, and for the most part, they didn’t care.

The 401(k) put a new range of investment options within reach of ordinary working people, and more important, the industry taught people how to think about investment choices in a way that really spoke to their concerns and needs.
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