Physicians can screen for problems in a child’s school by asking parents a simple question, Jeffrey P Brosco, M.D., said at the annual meeting of the Society for Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics.

Asking parents explicitly, “Do you have any concerns about how your child is learning in school?” was a more sensitive screener than the Pediatric Symptom Checklist (PSC), a new study showed. The question was drawn from Parents’ Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS), a standardized, validated, and copyrighted screening test (www.peds-stet.com), and a questionnaire that included 15 screening questions about school academic problems.

Primary care medical providers typically rely on informal caregiver reports to screen for school academic problems. Many doctors ask parents, “How is your child doing in school?” only to get the response, “Fine,” said Dr. Brosco of the Mailman Center for Child Development at the University of Miami.

“We’re particularly interested because we often see, as I’m sure many of you do, the 12- or the 13-year-old who has inattentive [attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder] or a reading disorder, and he or she has made it along for years barely getting by because they don’t act out or create a lot of problems in school,” Dr. Brosco said.

A total of 51 parent-child pairs, recruited from a university general pediatrics practice and a county hospital general pediatrics clinic in urban Miami, completed the PSC and a questionnaire that included 15 screening questions about school and general health. School information was obtained directly from the school.

The children’s mean age was 9 years, 41% were male, and the majority of children were identified by their parents as African American or Hispanic. The primary languages at home were English, Spanish, and French or French Creole.

The question was drawn from Parents’ Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS), a standardized, validated, and copyrighted screening test (www.peds-stet.com).

Fifty-six percent of parents answered “yes,” that they had concerns about how their child was learning at school. The sensitivity was 72.4% and specificity 52.2%. Academic problems actually occurred in 21 children, defined as a cumulative grade point average in core subjects below 2.5.

Two other questions had similar sensitivity; asking parents whether they had concerns about a child’s behavior in school (sensitivity 69%, specificity 56.5%), and asking parents whether a teacher had concerns about their child (sensitivity 74.1%, specificity 69.6%). A total PSC score of 26 or greater was not a sensitive measure of school academic problems (sensitivity 31%, specificity 86%).

There was no difference in responses by language spoken in the home.

The children completed the youth-PSC, 25% of 72 children evaluated in a New York City practice had positive screens for general psychosocial dysfunction. But when the children completed the youth-PSC, 35% had positive screens. This suggests that parents and children have very different perceptions of a child’s level of distress. Deborah Steinbaum, M.D., said at the annual meeting of the Society for Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics.

The sensitivity for detecting children with likely posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) was 58% for the youth-PSC and 33% for the parent-PSC. When the cutoff for a positive youth-PSC screen was lowered from 30 to 28—as has been done in some studies—the sensitivity of the screen rose to 83%, Dr. Steinbaum said. Specificity was about 70%.

“The parent-PSC didn’t do so well because it identified fewer of the likely PTSD children than would have been identified by a coin toss,” said Dr. Steinbaum of Mount Sinai Medical Center, New York. “A lot of the research [on the
PSC has been based on the parent report, so to me this is an important thing to keep in our minds.”

The ongoing study is following 72 children, aged 8-10 years, seen at the Pediatric Associates Practice at Mount Sinai. The mean age was 9.1 years, 57% were female, and 52% had ongoing medical problems such as asthma (30%) or allergies (11%).

One-fourth of caregivers said their child had an ongoing emotional or behavioral problem, and 28% said their child had seen a mental health professional—defined broadly as a counselor, social worker, psychologist, or psychiatrist—in the previous year. Most of the caregivers (60%) defined themselves as Latino or Hispanic.

Screens were considered positive if they had scores of at least 30 on the youth-PSC and at least 28 on the parent-PSC. A positive score on the 35-item PSC checklist is thought to have a sensitivity of 95% and a specificity of 68%.

A score of at least 38 on the 45-item University of California, Los Angeles, Posttraumatic Stress Reaction Index (PT-SRI) indicates likely PTSD and is thought to be 93% sensitive and 87% specific.

The PT-SRI identified 16% of children in the study group as positive, which means they probably had PTSD, Dr. Steinbaum said. The rate of PTSD in the general pediatric population is unknown. However, the results are from an inner-city population and can’t be generalized to the community at large. Overall, Dr. Steinbaum said the study population had high rates of psychosocial dysfunction, possibly higher than other studied groups, and exhibited high rates of trauma exposure and subsequent distress.

Almost all of the children in the study reported experiencing one or more major traumatic events, such as bullying, domestic violence, sexual abuse, or witnessing a shooting. Despite these experiences, the children did not commonly identify very violent or frightening events as their primary trauma on the PT-SRI, but instead listed events such as a grandmother’s death. However, bullying did affect a significant number of children with higher PT-SRI scores.

The parent-PSC didn’t do so well because it identified fewer of the likely PTSD children than would have been identified by a coin toss.”

"The parent-PSC didn’t do so well because it identified fewer of the likely PTSD children than would have been identified by a coin toss."