Helmets for Positional Skull Deformities: A Good Idea or Not?

Probably not. Helmets appear to be no more effective than waiting for natural skull growth to correct the shape of an infant’s head.
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PRACTICE CHANGER
Do not recommend helmet therapy for positional skull deformity in infants and children. Wearing a helmet causes adverse effects but does not alter the natural course of head growth.1

STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION
B: Based on a single-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT).1

ILLUSTRATIVE CASE
The parents of a 6-month-old girl with moderate plagiocephaly bring their daughter in for a well-child visit. Previously, you had recommended that the parents increase “tummy time” when the baby is awake, change her position in bed, and monitor the progression of the condition. They do not feel these interventions have made a difference in the shape of their daughter’s skull and ask about using a helmet to help correct the deformity. How would you counsel them?

Approximately 45% of infants ages 7 to 12 weeks are estimated to have positional skull deformity (PSD), although three-quarters of them have mild cases.2 The incidence of PSD began to increase in 1992 after the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) introduced its “Back to Sleep” campaign, which encouraged parents to place their infants on their back at bedtime to reduce sudden infant death syndrome.3

There are two common forms of PSD: plagiocephaly and brachycephaly.4 Plagiocephaly is unilateral occipital flattening, which may be accompanied by ipsilateral forehead prominence and asymmetrical ears. Brachycephaly is symmetric flattening of the back of the head, which can lead to prominence of the temporal areas, making the head appear wide. The cranial sutures remain open in both kinds of PSD.

Evaluating infants for PSD is part of the routine physical exam, and when the condition is noted, the exam should also differentiate PSD from other causes of skull deformity (eg, craniosynostosis). Infants and preschool-aged children with PSD may score lower on developmental testing than children without skull deformity.4 However, these differences are small and inconsistent (2-3 points on a 100-point scale).5 Skull deformity persists into adolescence in only 1% to 2% of patients.5

Neither the AAP nor the American Academy of Family Physicians has a guideline or consensus statement on PSD. Helmets are intended to correct PSD by fitting closely to an infant’s head but allowing room for the skull to grow at the flattened area.1 A 2011 clinical report by Laughlin et al6 recommended against use of helmets for infants with mild to moderate deformities but stated that there was little evidence of harm. Earlier studies have suggested that physical therapy might be effective for plagiocephaly identified early (ie, when the child is 7 to 8 weeks of age).7,8 Biggs9 suggested considering helmet therapy for infants whose cranial sutures remain open and who do not respond to four to eight weeks of physical therapy for PSD. van Wijk et al1 conducted an RCT to explore the risks and benefits of helmet therapy for children with PSD.

STUDY SUMMARY

Helmets: No help, some harm
In this single-blind RCT of 84 infants (ages 5 or 6 months) with moderate or severe PSD, helmet therapy (n = 42) was compared to no intervention (allowing natural growth; n = 42). Infants were excluded if they had very severe PSD or skull deformity from another cause, such as torticollis or craniosynostosis.

Infants in the helmet therapy group received a custom-made helmet that they wore 23 hours a day until age 1 year, with regular evaluation by an orthotist and modification of the helmet as necessary to allow skull growth.
The control group received usual care and no helmet.

The primary outcome was improvement in skull shape at age 24 months, as measured by the oblique diameter difference index (ODDI; a unitless measurement of plagiocephaly calculated as the ratio of measures of two dimensions of cranial diameter) and the cranioprosthetic index (CPI; a similar measurement of brachycephaly). Infants were considered fully recovered if they achieved an ODDI score < 104% and a CPI score < 90%. These scores indicate a normal head shape; higher scores indicate worse PSD.

At study’s end, the reduction in ODDI and CPI scores was almost the same in both groups. Ten children in the helmet group (26%) and nine in the control group (23%) experienced complete resolution of their PSD.

Both groups were similar in secondary outcomes of infant motor development, infant quality of life, and parental satisfaction. Parental anxiety was assessed using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (scores range from 20 to 80; a higher score indicates greater anxiety). There was less parental anxiety in the helmet therapy group (~3.9).

All parents of infants in the helmet therapy group reported at least one adverse effect from the intervention. These effects included skin irritation (96%), bad helmet odor (76%), pain associated with the helmet (33%), and feeling hindered from cuddling their child (77%).

**WHAT’S NEW**

**Stronger evidence that helmets are not effective**

Previously, the evidence on helmets for PSD had been obtained mainly from observational or poorly designed studies with significant flaws. This study by van Wijk et al included objective measurement of skull deformity, along with clinically meaningful outcomes of parental satisfaction, motor development, and parental anxiety.

It also found that helmet therapy was significantly more expensive than care that focused on waiting for PSD to resolve on its own ($1,935 vs $196, respectively).

**CAVEATS**

**Results may not apply to all infants with skull deformity**

These findings do not apply to infants with very severe PSD or those with skull deformity due to secondary causes. In addition, this is the only RCT to date that has assessed helmet use in PSD, so it is possible that future studies will find helmets are effective.

**CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION**

**Parents may find this evidence hard to accept**

To appropriately implement this recommendation, a clinician must be comfortable making the assessment of mild, moderate, severe, or very severe PSD. Referral to physical therapy might be appropriate for infants with very severe PSD.

If another clinician or physical therapist recommends helmet therapy—or if a parent requests it—explaining the findings of this study may be challenging. We believe that the reduction in parental anxiety in the helmet group likely occurred because the parents believed that the helmet would accelerate the normal reshaping of the skull shape that occurs spontaneously in almost all infants with PSD. Since this study shows that helmets don’t help correct skull deformities, parents can be assured that a helmet is unnecessary and costly and causes adverse effects.
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