Evaluating brain function in patients with disorders of consciousness

■ ABSTRACT

Evaluating brain function in patients with disorders of consciousness may offer important clues to their state of awareness and help to predict prognosis. Disorders of consciousness mainly comprise the comatose state, the vegetative state, and the minimally conscious state. These disorders typically stem from acute brain insults caused by hypoxic-ischemic neural injury or traumatic brain injury, and the type of brain injury frequently determines the neuropathology. Current knowledge, including results from our laboratory, supports a model of extended brain tissue damage from the midbrain to the cortex in anoxia patients and a model of focal or multifocal cortical lesions in trauma patients. These differing models may help to explain differences in prognosis and outcomes in these excruciating life situations. Although the neural basis of consciousness remains puzzling, findings from normal volunteers and pathologies of consciousness show that widely distributed networks such as thalamo-frontal and parieto-frontal systems may be critical.

■ WHAT IS CONSCIOUSNESS?

Although consciousness is difficult to describe, it can be defined as a combination of wakefulness and awareness. As for the brain systems supporting these two aspects of consciousness, it has been suggested that the brainstem ascending reticular formation system and its thalamic projections support alertness and the sleep-wake cycle, and that conscious awareness relies on a functional thalamocortical and cortico-cortical system.

■ DISORDERS OF CONSCIOUSNESS: A VARIETY OF STATES

Disorders of consciousness (Figure 1) mainly comprise three states: the comatose state, the vegetative state,2 and the minimally conscious state.1

Coma: Near-complete unresponsiveness
Coma is a condition of almost complete unresponsiveness in which the patient lies with eyes closed, very limited reflexes, no cyclical wakefulness, and, above all, no signs of awareness. Coma is normally attained after an acute brain insult and may last about 2 weeks, although chronic coma cases have been described, and is usually caused by either temporary or permanent damage to the reticular system.

Vegetative state: Wakefulness without awareness
Following a coma, some patients may enter a vegetative state, which involves a complete absence of consciousness of one’s environment but with preserved sleep-wake cycles and autonomic functions. The vegetative state is easily differentiated from brain death, in which the electroencephalogram shows no brain wave or activity.4 Brain death is the irreversible end of all brain activity and should not be confused with a persistent vegetative state.

The vegetative state is a condition of wakefulness without awareness in which the patient exhibits a partially preserved sleep-wake cycle and a variable portfolio of reflexes and spontaneous nonvolitional behav-
A patient who has been in a vegetative state for more than 1 month with no improvement is often said to be in a persistent vegetative state. The term permanent vegetative state, implying no chance of recovery, is sometimes used when the vegetative state persists for 3 months after a nontraumatic insult, such as cardiac arrest, or for 1 year after a traumatic brain injury.

Minimally conscious state: Conscious awareness is evident despite impairment
Some patients in a vegetative state may start to recover by entering a minimally conscious state, in which conscious awareness is evident despite profound physical and cognitive impairment. Although communication capabilities are absent, cognitively mediated (or voluntary) behavior occurs in the minimally conscious state, which may be inconsistent but is reproducible enough to be differentiated from reflexive behavior. For example, patients may occasionally be able to smile when asked to do so or follow an object with their eyes. In the minimally conscious state, patients show those basic behaviors seen in the vegetative state along with islands of presumably conscious processing such as inconsistent responses to simple commands and sustained visual pursuit. Patients in a minimally conscious state have a better prognosis than those in a persistent or permanent vegetative state.6

Locked-in syndrome: Not a true disorder of consciousness
Another pathology that is often confused with vegetative or minimally conscious states is the locked-in syndrome, which is characterized by complete paralysis of voluntary muscles in all parts of the body except those controlling eye movements. Individuals with locked-in syndrome are conscious and can think and reason, but they are unable to speak or move. The disorder confines the patient to paralysis and a mute state. Communication may be possible with blinking eye movements.

WHAT CAUSES DISORDERS OF CONSCIOUSNESS?
Disorders of consciousness mostly stem from acute brain insults, which may be caused by hypoxic-ischemic neural injury or traumatic brain injury. Although traumatic brain injury is currently the most common cause of vegetative and minimally conscious states, nontraumatic causes are becoming more frequent as a result of scientific and technological developments in resuscitation. Nontraumatic causes of disorders of consciousness include stroke, cardiopulmonary arrest, and meningoencephalitis; additionally, patients in the final stage of certain neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson, Alzheimer, and Huntington diseases, may lapse into a minimally conscious or vegetative state.6

NEUROLOGIC FINDINGS IN COMATOSE SURVIVORS OF CARDIAC ARREST
Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of patients in a vegetative state following cardiac arrest often reveals abnormalities. Most frequently there is a white matter signal in the cerebellum, the thalamus, the frontal and parietal cortices, and the hippocampus. Widespread abnormalities may indicate little to no prospect for recovery. Pupillary light response, corneal reflexes, motor responses to pain, myoclonus status epilepticus, serum neuron-specific enolase, and somatosensory evoked potential studies can assist in predicting efficiently and accurately a poor outcome in comatose patients after cardiopulmonary resuscitation for cardiac arrest.7

DEFINITION PROBLEMS AND MISDIAGNOSIS
The diagnosis of vegetative state emerges from a negative finding—namely, the lack of behaviors that would signal conscious capabilities. Using the nonoccurrence of events as a criterion to establish a fact is inherently problematic, since the causes of a nonoccurrence are theoretically infinite. More specifically, the reasons behind the lack of evidence of voluntary movement in presumably unconscious patients can be classified in terms of malfunctioning of either sensori-perceptual, output/motor, or central processing.
Deficits in sensoriperceptual processing

A patient might have deafness that may lead to a deficit in speech comprehension, or perhaps the auditory pathway and first cortical pathways are spared but the patient is aphasic and cannot process additive events such as speech. In a cohort of 42 patients, we found 17 who lacked the fourth or fifth components of the brain auditory evoked potentials to clicks presented binaurally, signaling severe damage to the auditory pathway. It is useless to ask such patients to follow commands, since the sensory input is damaged and the movement (or lack of movement) has no validity for the diagnosis. A similar argument can apply for patients who may show some fixation but exhibit delayed or absent visual evoked potentials when presented with written commands.

Deficits in motor processing

The second type of lesions that may contribute to misdiagnosis in these patients are those found in the effector systems. If the motor voluntary pathways are damaged—either in the motor cortex or in the corticospinal or corticobulbar pathways—then movement might be impaired enough to prevent responses by the patient. Patients of this type are sometimes diagnosed as being in a vegetative state although they might actually have locked-in syndrome, with preserved cognition but an inability to initiate voluntary responses as a result of a lesion in the pontine peduncle.

Although the effector systems are difficult to test in unresponsive subjects, some strategies may be tried. Before testing for volition, it is necessary to assess all possible hand, leg, and face reflexes in order to map reflexive behavior. Commands should then specifically target those muscles that showed total or partial preservation of reflexes. To test the output pathways from the cortex to the medulla, a more specialized assessment is needed; the Impaired Consciousness Research Group at the University of Cambridge has developed a simple protocol to assess the ability of the motor cortex to elicit muscle twitches by measuring the motor evoked potentials to simple pulses of transcranial magnetic stimulation. The minimal pulse intensity is determined by electromyographic recordings when transcranial magnetic stimulation pulses are applied to the left or right motor cortices for the hands and feet. The results have shown 2 out of 34 patients to have no detectable motor evoked potentials and 5 patients to have severe delay at maximum pulse intensity [unpublished data]. These results confirm the need for a full neurologic and neurophysiologic assessment in subjects who are unresponsive or show low levels of response, both acutely and more chronically, to minimize the risk of misdiagnosis.

Deficits in central processing

The key element in the assessment of cognitive processing in patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state is determining deficits in their capacity to process external stimuli in a conscious manner (central processing). This is by far the most difficult characteristic to be determined since the only accepted criteria for awareness are verbal report or voluntary movement, both of which are absent in the vegetative state and are inconsistent and difficult to determine behaviorally in the minimally conscious state.

CLUES TO BRAIN FUNCTION
IN DISORDERS OF CONSCIOUSNESS

It is important to differentiate a patient in a persistent vegetative state from a patient in a minimally conscious state, as the latter patient has a much higher chance of a favorable outcome. Evaluation of cerebral metabolism and imaging studies can both provide clues to brain function.

Cerebral metabolism

Cerebral metabolism in the vegetative state is similar to that in a patient under general anesthesia, which is about 40% of the cerebral metabolism in a healthy person in a resting state (Figure 2). In contrast, a person with brain death has no detectable cerebral metabolism.
Neuroimaging studies

In the past few years, studies have found that some patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state can activate cortical networks in response to auditory, visual, and tactile stimuli. A challenge in neuroscience is to devise a reliable, objective test to assess awareness without relying on explicit voluntary movements or verbal responses. Such a test would have important theoretical and practical implications. Recent evidence from functional neuroimaging and neurophysiology suggests that some patients with disorders of consciousness exhibit partially preserved conscious processing despite having no clinical or verbal output.

During a positron emission tomography study, Menon et al showed photographs to a 26-year-old woman who was in a vegetative state 4 months after becoming comatose from an acute febrile illness. They found significant activation in the right fusiform gyrus and extrastriate visual association areas when the woman was shown photos of people familiar to her as compared with repixelated versions of the same photos with the faces made unrecognizable. The activation pattern she exhibited was similar to that of healthy volunteers. Interestingly, a few months after this study, the patient became increasingly responsive.

Our group conducted the first evaluation of emotion in the minimally conscious state using functional MRI (fMRI) in a 17-year-old male following a traumatic brain injury. The patient was able to localize noxious stimuli, exhibited spontaneous eye opening, and occasionally smiled appropriately and followed people with his eyes. Imaging was performed while he listened to two recordings—one of his mother reading a story about his life, and one of a matched control voice reading the same story. Digital subtraction imaging disclosed strong activation of two areas related to emotion, the amygdala and the bilateral insula, while the recording of the patient’s mother was played. Activation was also evident in the auditory cortex in the superior temporal lobe. The patient recovered 6 months following this study.

Classical conditioning

Classical conditioning represents an alternate approach to MRI for assessing brain function in patients with disordered consciousness. Trace conditioning of the eyeblink response is considered to be an objective test of awareness. This test involves highly specific learning, requiring an anticipatory electromyographic response to a paired stimulus (eg, a tone followed by an aversive stimulus such as an air puff to the eyes) but not to an unpaired stimulus (eg, a white noise that is not followed by an aversive stimulus). This effect increases in amplitude as the aversive stimulus approaches. Our laboratory is applying this method to study learning and memory in patients with disordered consciousness.

Determining awareness without report

The proposed neural correlates of consciousness do not usually take into account the levels of consciousness. In order to build the framework for a cognitive neuroscience of consciousness, we must consider the content of the consciousness experience in fully awake subjects and patients as well as the cognitive processes occurring in unconscious and conscious subjects.

Two main approaches can be used to assess conscious processing in unresponsive patients. The first is to look for neural correlates in direct intentional actions or imagined actions, and the second is to look for physiologic correlates of the cognitive processes required during the conscious processing of stimuli.

Searching for neural correlates of intended actions

The first approach can have enormous impact in the diagnostic arena (as well as in the legal and ethical arenas), such as in the case reported by Owen et al in which a patient showed brain activity related to imagining actions as prompted by spoken instructions during fMRI evaluation. Unfortunately, cases such as these are scarce. Moreover, imagining of actions relies not only on a spared comprehension capacity and preserved memory but also on the subject’s willingness to perform the task. It would seem that only a minority of patients in a vegetative state seem to have the cognitive abilities preserved to accomplish these types of tasks.

Searching for physiologic correlates of cognitive processes

The second approach would tend to work with memory and switching attention capabilities in unresponsive patients, assuming that conscious processing does not exist without these cognitive processes. The evidence for this approach comes from electrophysiology. Cognitive evoked potentials are commonly applied to assess basic auditory or visual cortical processing, automatic attention, and focus attention. Both the mismatch negativity wave (a correlate of automatic attention) and the p300 (a correlate of focus attention) are sometimes present, specifically in patients in vegetative or minimally conscious states, and they are a good predictor of awakening in stroke, hemorrhage, and traumatic brain injury.

In day-to-day practice in a neurology clinic or
emergency room, it is more feasible to assess cognitive capabilities using event-related potentials than fMRI since they are more widely distributed, more easily validated, shorter, and statistically more powerful in single-subject analysis, and because they do not frequently rely on speech comprehension.

**NEUROPATHOLOGY AND fMRI**

The cause of the brain injury leading to a vegetative or minimally conscious state frequently determines the neuropathology. It has also been demonstrated that severely disabled patients (such as those emerging from a minimally conscious state) differ from vegetative state patients in terms of lesions and severity.

The most frequent nontraumatic causes of vegetative and minimally conscious states are cardiorespiratory arrest as a consequence of disease or medical accidents (often under anesthesia), but other causes can be found, such as intracranial hemorrhage, infection, and hypoglycemia. Interestingly, a subgroup of these patients with vegetative state from a nontraumatic cause exhibit lesions not in the cerebral cortex but in the thalamus and subcortical white matter, as illustrated in Figure 3, which shows anatomic differences between the vegetative state in patients with and without traumatic brain injury. The figure’s left panel shows severe subcortical lesions as a consequence of an anoxic event; in contrast, the right panel, from a patient who suffered traumatic brain injury, shows only some cortical lesions and much less atrophy. Moreover, the activation during a simple auditory fMRI task was much more widespread in the temporal lobe in the patient with traumatic brain injury (right panel) compared with the patient with the anoxic event (left panel). These results illustrate and support, in a neurophysiologic manner, a common finding in severely disabled and vegetative state patients—that stroke is often more disruptive (physiologically and cognitively) than traumatic brain injury.

Although residual activity as seen on functional neuroimaging may be unequivocal in some cases, it may represent only fragmentary cognitive processing; it is important not to assume that normal awareness is present. Much still needs to be learned, but results from neuroimaging studies demonstrate that a small proportion of patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state who have relatively preserved brains and cognitive processing. The more we study patients who are unresponsive or show low levels of response, the more complex cognitive processes we find in subpopulations of these patients. Language-related cortical activation is now the most common finding.

**CONCLUSIONS**

It is highly improbable to find patients with preserved cortical connectivity, since structural and functional studies have demonstrated only a small proportion of patients in a vegetative or minimally conscious state who have relatively preserved brains and cognitive processing. The more we study patients who are unresponsive or show low levels of response, the more complex cognitive processes we find in subpopulations of these patients. Language-related cortical activation is now the most common finding. Recently, a few researchers working with severely damaged patients have started to test paradigms with the aim of uncovering conscious processes that have no need of verbal or movement responses.

The time has come for clinicians in acute care centers to immediately follow their administration of coma scales in unresponsive patients with the use of more sophisticated methodology to assess not only reflexive and intentional behaviors but also these patients’ physiologic and cognitive characteristics. In the field of neurodegenerative disease, it took several years to reach the level of analysis that helped determine the presence of preserved cognitive processes.
years for clinicians to start using more sensitive cognitive tools than just the mini-mental state examination and computed tomography or three-dimensional T1-weighted structural MRI, but nowadays volumetric MRI and detailed cognitive assessments are widely used to diagnose and characterize patients with neurodegenerative disorders. The same path should be taken for patients with severe brain damage. The information yielded by such an approach may one day help to determine a diagnosis or prognosis, guide treatment, or facilitate communication in patients with pathologies of consciousness.
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