Resistant Hypertension? Time to Consider This Fourth-line Drug

For most adults with resistant hypertension, spironolactone is superior to doxazosin and bisoprolol as an adjunct to triple therapy.
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PRACTICE CHANGER
When a triple regimen (ACE inhibitor or ARB, calcium channel blocker, and thiazide diuretic) fails to achieve the target blood pressure, try adding spironolactone.

STRENGTH OF RECOMMENDATION
C: Based on a high-quality disease-oriented randomized controlled trial.1

Willie S, a 56-year-old man with chronic essential hypertension, has been on an optimally dosed three-drug regimen of an ACE inhibitor, a calcium channel blocker, and a thiazide diuretic for more than three months, but his blood pressure is still not at goal. What is the best antihypertensive agent to add to his regimen?

About 5% to 30% of those being treated for hypertension have resistant hypertension, defined as inadequate blood pressure (BP) control despite a triple regimen of an ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), calcium channel blocker (CCB), and thiazide diuretic.1,2 Guidelines from the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC-8) on the management of high BP rec-

ommend β-blockers, α-blockers, or aldosterone antagonists (AAs) as equivalent choices for a fourth-line agent. The recommendation is based on expert opinion.3

Earlier hypertension guidelines from the UK’s National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommend an AA if BP targets have not been met with the triple regimen. But this recommendation is based on lower-quality evidence, without comparison to β-blockers, α-blockers, or other drug classes.4

MORE EVIDENCE SINCE GUIDELINE’S RELEASE
A 2015 meta-analysis of 15 studies and a total of more than 1,200 participants (three randomized controlled trials [RCTs], one non-randomized placebo-controlled comparative trial, and 11 single-arm observational studies) demonstrated the effectiveness of the AAs spironolactone and eplerenone on resistant hypertension.5 In the four comparative studies, AAs decreased office systolic blood pressure (SBP) by 24.3 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) by 7.8 mm Hg more than placebo. In the 11 single-arm studies, AAs reduced SBP by 22.74 mm Hg and DBP by 10.49 mm Hg.

Another RCT examined the effect of low-dose (25-mg) spironolactone, compared with placebo, in 161 patients with resistant hypertension.6 At eight weeks, 73% of those receiving spironolactone reached a goal SBP < 140 mm Hg versus 41% of patients on placebo. The same proportion (73%) achieved a goal DBP < 90 mm Hg in the spironolactone group, compared with 63% of those in the placebo group. Ambulatory BP was also found to be significantly lower in the spironolactone group compared with placebo.

TABLE
Home SBP After 12 Weeks of Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean SBP, mm Hg (95% CI)</th>
<th>Change from baseline (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>133.5 (132.3 to 134.8)</td>
<td>−14.4 (−15.6 to −13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxazosin</td>
<td>138.8 (137.6 to 140.1)</td>
<td>−9.1 (−10.3 to −7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisoprolol</td>
<td>139.5 (138.2 to 140.8)</td>
<td>−8.4 (−9.7 to −7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>143.7 (142.5 to 145)</td>
<td>−4.2 (−5.4 to −2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Source: Williams et al. Lancet. 2015.1
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improved among those receiving spironolactone versus placebo, with a decrease in SBP of 9.8 mm Hg and in DSP of 3.2 mm Hg.\(^6\)

**STUDY SUMMARY**  
**Spironolactone vs other drugs**  
The placebo-controlled crossover RCT conducted in the UK by Williams et al was the first to directly compare spironolactone with other medications for the treatment of resistant hypertension in adults already taking triple therapy.\(^1\) The trial randomized 335 individuals with a mean age of 61.4 (range, 18 to 79), 69% of whom were male; 314 were included in the intention-to-treat analysis.\(^1\)

Enrollment criteria for resistant hypertension specified a clinic-recorded SBP of \(\geq 140\) mm Hg (or \(\geq 135\) mm Hg in those with diabetes) and home SBP (in 18 readings over four days) of \(\geq 130\) mm Hg.\(^1\) To ensure fidelity to treatment, the investigators directly observed therapy, took tablet counts, measured serum ACE activity, and assessed BP measurement technique, with all participants adhering to a minimum of three months on a maximally dosed triple regimen.

Among subjects, 14% had diabetes and 7.8% reported tobacco use. Average weight was 93.5 kg (205.7 lbs).\(^1\) Because of the expected inverse relationship between plasma renin and response to AAs, plasma renin was measured at baseline to test whether resistant hypertension was primarily due to sodium retention.\(^1\)

**Four 12-week rotations**  
All participants began the trial with four weeks of placebo, followed by randomization to 12-week rotations of once-daily oral treatment with (1) spironolactone 25 to 50 mg, (2) doxazosin modified release 4 to 8 mg, (3) bisoprolol 5 to 10 mg, and (4) placebo.\(^2\) Six weeks after initiation of each study medication, participants were titrated to the higher dose. There was no washout period between cycles.

The primary outcome was mean SBP measured at home on four consecutive days prior to the study visits in weeks 6 and 12. Participants were required to have at least six BP measurements per each six-week period in order to establish a valid average. Primary endpoints included the difference in home SBP between spironolactone and placebo, the difference in home SBP between spironolactone and the mean of the other two drugs, and the difference in home SBP between spironolactone and each of the other two drugs.

**The results.** Spironolactone lowered SBP more than placebo, doxazosin, and bisoprolol (see the Table on page 22).\(^1\) Clinic measurements were consistent with home BP readings.

Overall, 58% of participants achieved goal SBP < 135 mm Hg on spironolactone, compared with 42% on doxazosin, 44% on bisoprolol, and 24% on placebo.\(^1\) The effectiveness of spironolactone on SBP reduction was shown to exhibit an inverse relationship to plasma renin levels, a finding that was not apparent with the other two study drugs. However, spironolactone had a superior BP-lowering effect throughout nearly the entire renin distribution of the cohort.

The mean difference between spironolactone and placebo was −10.2 mm Hg; compared with the other drugs, spironolactone lowered SBP, on average, by 5.64 mm Hg more than bisoprolol and doxazosin; 5.3 mm Hg more than doxazosin alone; and 5.98 mm Hg more than bisoprolol alone.

Only 1% of trial participants had to discontinue spironolactone due to adverse events—the same proportion of withdrawals as that for bisoprolol and placebo and three times less than for doxazosin.\(^1\)

**WHAT’S NEW**  
**Evidence of superiority**  
This is the first RCT to compare spironolactone with two other commonly used fourth-line antihypertensives—bisoprolol and doxazosin—in patients with resistant hypertension. The study demonstrated clear superiority of spironolactone in achieving carefully measured ambulatory and clinic-recorded BP targets versus a β-blocker or an α-blocker.

**CAVEATS**  
**Findings not universal**  
Spironolactone is contraindicated in patients with severe renal impairment. Although multiple drug trials have demonstrated the medication’s safety and effectiveness, especially in patients with resistant hypertension, we should factor in the need for monitoring electrolytes and renal function within weeks of treatment initiation and periodically thereafter.\(^2,8\) In this study, spironolactone increased potassium levels, on average, by 0.45 mmol/L. No gynecomastia (typically seen in about 6% of men) was found in those taking spironolactone for a 12-week cycle.\(^1\)

This single trial enrolled mostly Caucasian men with a mean age of 61. Although smaller observational studies that included African-American patients have shown promising results for spironolactone, the question of ex-
ternal validity or applicability to a diverse population has yet to be decisively answered.9

CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION

Potential for adverse reactions

The evidence supporting this change in practice has been accumulating for the past few years. However, clinicians who treat patients with resistant hypertension may have concerns about hyperkalemia, gynecomastia, and effects on renal function. More patient-oriented evidence is likewise needed to assist with the revision of guidelines and wider adoption of AAs by primary care providers.
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