The esophagus has a diverse blood supply. The upper esophagus is supplied by the inferior thyroid arteries, the mid-esophagus by the bronchial, proper esophageal, and intercostal arteries, and the distal esophagus by the left gastric and left inferior phrenic arteries.¹

■ KEY FEATURES AND DIAGNOSTIC CLUES

The necrotic changes are prominent in the distal esophagus, which is more susceptible to ischemia and mucosal injury. The characteristic endoscopic finding is a diffuse black esophagus with a sharp transition to normal mucosa at the gastroesophageal junction.

The differential diagnosis includes melanosis, pseudomelanosis, malignant melanoma, acanthosis nigricans, coal dust deposition, caustic ingestion, radiation esophagitis, and infectious esophagitis caused by cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus, Candida albicans, or Klebsiella pneumoniae.²⁴

■ TREATMENT AND OUTCOME

Avoidance of oral intake and gastric acid suppression with intravenous proton pump inhibitors are recommended to prevent additional injury of the esophageal mucosa.

The condition generally resolves with restored blood flow and treatment of any coexisting illness. However, it may be complicated by perforation (6.8%), mediastinitis (5.7%), or subsequent development of esophageal stricture (10.2%).³ Patients with esophageal stricture require endoscopic dilation after mucosal recovery.

The overall risk of death in acute necrotizing esophagitis is high (31.8%) and most often due to the underlying disease, such as sepsis, malignancy, cardiogenic shock, or hypovolemic shock.⁵ The mortality rate directly attributed to complications of acute necrotizing esophagitis is much lower (5.7%).⁵
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In the article by Chaitoff et al (Men’s health 2018: BPH, prostate cancer, erectile dysfunction, supplements. Cleve Clin J Med 2018; 85(11):871–880, doi:10.3949/ccjm.85a.18011), the prostate-specific antigen level of a 60-year-old man was given as 5.1 mg/dL. The unit of measure should have been 5.1 ng/mL. This has been corrected online.