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Thrombocytopenia is a lesser known but significant complication of heparin therapy. Heparin-dependent, antibody-mediated thrombocytopenia may be accompanied by hemorrhagic or thrombotic sequelae. Thrombosis is more frequent and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Diagnostic laboratory findings include a variable reduction in the platelet count during heparin administration and the presence of heparin-dependent platelet-associated antibodies that can induce in vitro platelet aggregation. Discontinuing heparin is the primary treatment, although other therapies may be used. This review discusses clinical features, pathophysiology, laboratory findings, and treatment alternatives.

INDEX TERMS: HEPARIN; THROMBOCYTOPENIA

HEPARIN is one of the most efficient and widely used anticoagulants for both prophylactic and therapeutic purposes, especially when immediate anticoagulation is indicated. It is, however, frequently associated with subclinical and clinically significant bleeding. This important drug is also associated with two other complications that are less well-known but of equal clinical significance: thrombocytopenia (heparin-induced thrombocytopenia [HIT]) and, paradoxically, thrombotic disease (heparin-induced thrombosis-thrombocytopenia syndrome [HITTS]). Clinical features, pathophysiology, laboratory findings, treatment alternatives, and the relationship between these two entities are detailed in this review.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

The ability of heparin to induce thrombocytopenia in animals and humans has been known for many years.1-3 Two distinct forms of this phenomenon are now recognized, based on time of onset, duration, severity, and the mechanism by which the thrombocytopenia is induced.

One form is characterized by a transient slight decline in the platelet count immediately following intravenous heparin administration. It is of such short duration that it is rarely detected and of no clinical significance. It is caused by a nonimmune mechanism whereby heparin directly induces reversible agglutination/aggregation, trapping, or sequestration of platelets in vivo.1-4

The other type, which is associated with HIT and HITTS, is immune-mediated and has a delayed onset. It develops 5-10 days after initiation of heparin therapy and usually persists until the drug is discontinued. This form causes mild to severe thrombocytopenia, which may be associated with hemorrhagic and thrombotic sequelae.5-10

HIT and HITTS (the latter also known as the “white clot syndrome”)11-12 are frequently reported in the medi-
TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS OF HEPARIN-INDUCED THROMBOCYTOPENIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>(&lt;5%) of patients exposed to heparin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predisposing risk factors</td>
<td>History of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No other definitive risk factors known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Asymptomatic—majority of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemorrhagic manifestations of thrombocytopenia—petechiae, ecchymosis, epistaxis, or bleeding from venipuncture sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrombotic manifestations—arterial occlusions (especially distal aorta and lower extremities), pulmonary emboli, or extension of a venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence of hemorrhagic and thrombotic sequelae is unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathophysiology</td>
<td>Heparin-dependent—antibody-mediated platelet activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia and thrombotic complications secondary to irreversible in vivo platelet aggregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory findings</td>
<td>Platelet count declines to (&lt;150 \times 10^9/L) or reduction of (&gt;50 \times 10^9/L) from baseline while on heparin therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrombocytopenia develops between day 2–22 of therapy (median 8–10 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nadir of thrombocytopenia within 1–5 days from onset of decline (usually (&lt;75 \times 10^9/L))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovery interval after cessation of heparin ranges 1–25 days (median 4–6 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous recovery while on heparin may be seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic laboratory studies</td>
<td>Daily or every other day platelet counts while on heparin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In vitro platelet aggregation studies—highly specific (acute and convalescent studies may be required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platelet-associated immunoglobulin (PAIg) assay—sensitive but not specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment alternatives</td>
<td>Discontinue heparin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use alternate anticoagulants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antiplatelet or platelet inhibitory agents if heparin must be used</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This group of patients has been examined by other investigators\(^8,10,21,23,34\) and if included could result in a significantly increased overall incidence.

**Symptoms**

The vast majority of patients reported in prospective studies are asymptomatic regardless of the severity of the thrombocytopenia. Those who do have hemorrhagic or thrombotic complications usually have severely depressed platelet counts \(<50 \times 10^9/L\), but thrombotic complications have been reported with slightly decreased or normal platelet counts.\(^9,12,25–27\)

Hemorrhagic complications may be difficult to differentiate from those caused by anticoagulation alone, and include those frequently associated with thrombocytopenia: ecchymosis, petechiae, epistaxis, hematuria, hemoptysis, bleeding from venipuncture sites, and, rarely, intracerebral hemorrhage.\(^7,9,28,29\)

Thrombotic complications apparently are more common than hemorrhagic sequelae, having a reported incidence as high as 50%.\(^30,31\) The development of thrombosis invariably coincides with a declining platelet count and may be preceded by a transient increase in heparin requirements (heparin resistance).\(^28,30\) This may be
manifest as either de novo or recurrent arterial or pulmonary emboli (frequently multiple), or as an extension of a pre-existing venous thrombosis.\(^7,9\)

Arterial occlusions are more common, frequently involving the distal aorta and lower extremities,\(^7\) as well as causing ischemic strokes.\(^2\) Because they are associated with significant morbidity and mortality, early recognition is crucial. HIT should be suspected whenever a thromboembolic event occurs in a patient on heparin.

**Laboratory findings and related observations**

The diagnostic hallmark of this disease is of course thrombocytopenia that develops during heparin therapy. How thrombocytopenia is defined, however, has significant diagnostic and prognostic implications. Most studies have defined HIT as the development of thrombocytopenia with a platelet count of <100 or <150 \(\times\) \(10^9\)/L. The latter criterion appears most reasonable. However, since several series have documented HIT in patients with declines in platelet counts to a final value of \(>100 \times 10^9\)/L, an additional or alternative criterion should be a decrease of \(50 \times 10^9\)/L or more from the baseline platelet count.

The platelet decline usually occurs 2–22 days after initiation of heparin therapy, with a median of 8–10 days. The nadir is reached within 1–5 days from onset of the platelet decline and has a wide range, 2–312 \(\times\) \(10^9\)/L, with the majority <75 \(\times\) \(10^9\)/L. The recovery of the platelet count to >100 or >150 \(\times\) \(10^9\)/L after discontinuing the heparin is progressive, usually ranging from 1 to 25 days with a median of 4–6 days.\(^2,9,12-14,17,18,20,22,24,27-30,33\) Severe thrombocytopenia may develop suddenly, within a few minutes or up to three days, after heparin is restarted in patients who have received heparin within the last two months. Such patients are at high risk for developing thrombosis.\(^26,27,29,31,34,35\) Spontaneous recovery of the platelet count to pre-heparin levels, despite continued heparin therapy, has been reported in several studies but is usually associated with mild thrombocytopenia.\(^9,13,17-19,21\)

A history of HIT is the only recognized predisposing risk factor. Unfortunately, the outcome of the HIT syndrome is not possible to predict, although a recent study found that patients receiving therapeutic doses of heparin were at higher risk for developing complications.\(^10\)

**Mechanisms**

The mechanism primarily responsible for delayed-onset HIT is immune-mediated in vivo platelet aggregation. This has been established by a number of studies that have demonstrated, directly or indirectly, the presence of platelet-associated immunoglobulin, which is usually IgG but may also be IgA or IgM.\(^23,28,35-41\) The antigen responsible for eliciting the immune response is thought to be composed of both heparin and a platelet surface protein that initially forms a heparin-platelet complex.\(^43\) This antigenic complex stimulates production of an antibody having specificity for the heparin molecule, the platelet protein, and/or the heparin-platelet complex. This mechanism supports observations in which IgG from the plasma of HIT patients displayed direct reactivity with heparin\(^23\) and platelets,\(^39\) and caused direct platelet aggregation in vitro in the absence of heparin.\(^41\) Recently, Cines et al\(^44\) reported data supporting the role of IgG-heparin immune complexes mediating platelet and endothelial cell injury. The latter resulted in the elaboration of a procoagulant that could be an etiologic factor in the development of thrombotic complications.

The primary interaction between platelet and heparin molecule may be explained by the presence of platelet-associated surface proteins/receptors that directly bind heparin, such as glycoprotein Ib, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa, glycoprotein V, G4, G17, G25, factor VIII-related antigen, fibronectin, fibrinogen, platelet factor 4, and thrombospordin.\(^39,44-47\) In fact, Chong and Castaldi\(^45\) have found glycoprotein IIb/IIIa to be required for this interaction. This heparin-platelet-protein interaction may in part be related to molecular charge since heparin is a polycationic molecule that is highly negatively charged. Thus, after binding to platelet membrane proteins, new antigenic sites may be exposed or existing sites may become more accessible or recognizable to the immune system.\(^48\) This was demonstrated by Lynch and Howe,\(^39\) who found that immune binding of platelet-directed antibody was greatly enhanced in the presence of heparin. Furthermore, the plasma or sera from HIT patients will not induce aggregation of all donor platelets in the presence of heparin,\(^39,50\) suggesting a lack of antibody specificity and/or variable expression of platelet antigens. Pfueller and David\(^46\) in 1986 concluded that the antibodies were directed against platelet antigens that were differently expressed in different individuals, possibly due to an alteration of the antigen by heparin and/or other aggregating agents (i.e. epinephrine), and that Fc receptor binding was not involved in the process. It is well known that heparin alone can directly induce aggregation\(^43,51,52\) and can enhance or potentiate other activating agents (i.e. ADP and epinephrine).\(^42,53,54\) Therefore, these substances may induce
platelet membrane alterations that facilitate or enhance antibody binding.

Thrombocytopenia that results from the interaction of heparin with platelets is presumably secondary to in vivo platelet aggregation and platelet injury. Antibody-induced platelet aggregation is ADP-dependent and mediated by the arachidonic acid pathway with synthesis of thromboxane A₂, as evidenced by inhibition with aspirin, dipirydamole, and apyrase (an ADP antagonist). Some patients also demonstrate IgG-mediated complement activation with immune platelet injury. Whether activation of the complement system adversely affects the severity of the thrombocytopenia and/or predisposes the patients to thrombotic events via enhanced platelet aggregation or endothelial cell injury is unclear.

Mode of administration
The mode of heparin administration appears to be unrelated to the development or incidence of HIT, since it has been associated with all forms of administration: subcutaneous, continuous infusion, bolus injection, and even heparin flushes of intravenous catheters. This last form of administration, however, deserves special attention for two reasons. First, the development and severity of HIT is not dose dependent, although dose-dependent aggregation has been observed during in vitro testing. Second, HIT should not be discounted as an etiologic mechanism for thrombocytopenia occurring in a patient receiving only small amounts of heparin.

Duration of therapy
Although the mode of administration is not an important etiologic factor, the duration of therapy could be an important determinant of whether an immunologic response will be elicited. Therefore, the longer the therapy, the greater the likelihood of HIT in a "predisposed" individual. For this reason some advocate limiting heparin therapy to five days.

Type of heparin
Numerous studies have evaluated the effect of different heparin preparations on the incidence and course of HIT. Eika first noted considerable difference among several heparin preparations in their ability to induce in vitro platelet aggregation. The cause of this variation has been postulated to be the heparin moiety, protein contaminants, and preservatives. But it is now known that the heterogeneity of the mucopolysaccharide component of the heparin molecule predominantly determines its platelet-aggregating activity. Bovine-lung heparin has a greater anionic charge density due to a higher degree of sulfation and contains proportionately more high-molecular-weight (HMW) species than porcine mucosal heparin. Salzman et al have shown that HMW heparin fractions are more effective mediators of platelet aggregation. In this regard, several prospective in vivo studies have found that bovine-lung heparin is associated with a higher incidence of HIT. Presumably this is related to an increased ability of the heparin to bind to the platelet surface and increased antigenicity attributable to differences in its molecular structure relative to porcine-mucosal heparin. In addition, Stead et al have reported significant differences in the ability of different lots of beef-lung heparin to induce platelet activation in vitro. Again, this was attributed to differences in the mucopolysaccharide content of the preparations.

The low-molecular-weight (LMW) heparin fraction is the predominant molecular moiety in porcine-mucosal heparin and is available as a purified product in Europe. It appears to be less reactive with platelets in vitro and less antigenic than bovine heparin and therefore a more desirable therapeutic agent. However, in a recent prospective comparative study, Bailey et al found no significant difference in the incidence of HIT when comparing a purified bovine-lung heparin preparation with porcine-mucosal heparin.

Monitoring heparin therapy
Monitoring the platelet count only when heparin treatment lasts five days or longer has been recommended in some reviews because severe thrombocytopenia secondary to an immune mechanism is more likely to develop after this period of primary exposure. This approach is probably acceptable for many patients; however, as previously noted, significant and persistent thrombocytopenia with complications can develop early.
in the course of therapy,\textsuperscript{16} especially in patients who have been recently exposed to heparin (a part of the medical history that can be easily overlooked). Therefore, in view of the insidious nature and life-threatening potential of this disorder, a vigilant approach is recommended. A baseline platelet count should be obtained before initiating therapy and should be repeated either daily or every other day thereafter until the heparin is discontinued. A decline in the platelets on two consecutive days should alert the clinician to the possibility that this process is evolving.

\section*{Diagnosis of HIT}

A variety of laboratory tests have been developed and used to confirm the diagnosis of HIT; however, many are not applicable in a routine clinical laboratory or are insensitive and nonspecific. The most frequently used methods determine the presence of heparin-dependent platelet-associated immunoglobulin using an ELISA technique,\textsuperscript{63} radioimmunoassay,\textsuperscript{64} or platelet aggregometry.\textsuperscript{65,64} The latter method will be discussed in detail since it is widely used, highly specific,\textsuperscript{64} and can provide a reliable result within a reasonably short period of time.

Platelet aggregometry is a method that can qualitatively detect a proaggregating factor (i.e., antibody) in the plasma and/or on the platelet surface by inducing platelet aggregation when heparin is added. Briefly, this procedure utilizes a platelet aggregometer and either platelet-rich plasma (PRP) (if the whole blood platelet count is \(>100 \times 10^9/L\)) or platelet-poor plasma (PPP) (if the whole blood platelet count is \(<100 \times 10^9/L\)) prepared from citrated whole blood. When patient PPP is used, target platelets are obtained from PRP from a normal donor of the same ABO blood type. Heparin is then added to the patient PRP or patient PPP plus donor PRP to reach a final concentration in the cuvette of 0.3–0.5 U/mL (the normal therapeutic range in vivo). Controls are also prepared to exclude spontaneous platelet aggregation, nonspecific aggregation of donor platelets by heparin, and the aggregation of donor platelets by patient plasma alone. The controls and patient mixtures are monitored in the aggregometer for 15 minutes. A positive test is denoted by an aggregatory response of \(\geq 20\%\) (increase in light transmittance from the baseline). Finally, to assure platelet aggregability ADP is added to all mixtures that did not aggregate during the 15-min period. Heparin from the same source (bovine or porcine) and lot number as that administered to the patient therapeutically should be used for the in vitro testing because of observed differences in the ability of the two preparations to induce aggregation.\textsuperscript{64} This procedure is summarized in Table 2.

The use of patient PRP in the platelet aggregometry test has been reported to be associated with better re-
sponses\(^9\) and the ability to demonstrate the presence of the antibody longer.\(^{21}\) The optimal time to perform platelet aggregometry testing is debatable since a positive response depends upon the concentration of antibody. Reports on this aspect of testing conflict\(^{10,23,26,41}\); it may be necessary to test patients after stopping the heparin for 24 hours.\(^7\) This test appears to be uniformly positive during the recovery phase, after the heparin has been discontinued, when the platelet count is returning or has returned to normal. Heparin-dependent platelet aggregation may be detectable for up to three months after cessation of therapy.\(^9\)

Patients who have negative aggregometry testing while thrombocytopenic but have clinical features consistent with HIT should be considered to have the disorder and treated appropriately. This discrepancy is probably most often due to a low level of antibody, but Chong et al\(^{66}\) have also described patients in whom a qualitative platelet defect precludes the platelets' ability to aggregate.

**TREATMENT**

The most efficacious treatment for HIT is simply to discontinue heparin therapy. Although sound in principle, this approach may not be medically advisable in the face of recent thromboembolic disease. Therefore, alternate therapeutic approaches have been tried with variable success. These may be divided into two categories: replacement of heparin with a substitute anticoagulant or use of anti-platelet or platelet-inhibitory agents in conjunction with heparin.

**Substitute anticoagulants**

Coumadin is obviously an acceptable substitute anticoagulant. Its only drawback is the inherent time delay in effecting anticoagulation. This may or may not be an important factor in patients with HIT, depending on the severity of the thrombocytopenia and whether it is associated with thrombotic complications. Certainly the risk of developing complications while continuing heparin during the induction of coumadin anticoagulation must be weighed carefully. Unfortunately, there are no guidelines to assist in making this decision, but it is probably advisable to begin coumadin as soon as a presumptive diagnosis of HIT has been made.

An alternative approach is to replace the offending heparin with a different heparin, such as LMW heparin, or porcine for bovine and vice versa.\(^{20}\) However, cross-sensitivity does exist to bovine and porcine heparins\(^67\) so substitution may be ineffective and contraindicated.\(^{41}\) LMW heparin, although unavailable in the United States, has been effective in some HIT patients\(^9,28,68\) but cross-sensitivity with standard heparin preparations has also been observed.\(^{69}\) In vitro platelet aggregation studies are valuable in predicting in vivo response to an alternate heparin but exceptions have been reported.\(^{70}\)

Another product, also unavailable in the United States, that appears promising as a substitute agent is Org 10172 (Organon International, Oss, The Netherlands), a heparinoid. This agent has been used successfully in one patient with HIT in West Germany and was recently found not to induce platelet aggregation in vitro using the plasma from 13 HIT patients who demonstrated cross-sensitivity to porcine, bovine, and LMW heparins.\(^{27,71}\)

**Anti-platelet therapy**

Anti-platelet agents, such as aspirin and dipyridamole, have been shown to block heparin-induced platelet aggregation in vitro.\(^{27,45,71}\) They have been used successfully in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass for open-heart surgery,\(^{72-75}\) but in other reports their efficacy has been questioned.\(^{7,31}\)

The major drawback to these agents is that hemostatic function is permanently impaired in the circulating platelets and that normal platelet activity is restored only after seven to 10 days, or after platelet transfusions, assuming the drug is no longer present in the circulation. Therefore, this form of therapy must be carefully considered since primary hemostasis may be impaired for several days.

An investigational drug, Iloprost (Berlex Laboratories, Inc., Cedar Knolls, New Jersey), has been used without complication in several patients with HIT who have undergone open-heart surgery.\(^{76,77}\) This is a stable prostacyclin analogue (PGI\(_2\)) given intravenously and having a half-life of approximately 15–30 minutes. It will immediately inhibit platelet aggregability, but when discontinued, normal platelet function is quickly restored. Its major side effect is hypotension (dose-dependent), which limits its clinical utility.

Other therapeutic modalities tried with apparent success include: plasmapheresis,\(^{78}\) fibrinolytic therapy using streptokinase,\(^{79}\) and LMW dextran, either alone or in combination with anti-platelet agents.\(^{9,28,67}\) Platelet transfusions are ineffective and contraindicated with continued heparin administration since they may contribute to the extension or formation of thrombi.\(^{34,37}\)
HIT is a clinically significant entity having a poorly defined incidence of approximately 3%–5%. The thrombocytopenia is heparin-dependent and antibody-mediated but unrelated to the heparin dose or route of administration. The severity of platelet reduction is variable, ranging from a level still within the normal range to severe thrombocytopenia.

Most patients with HIT are asymptomatic regardless of the severity of the thrombocytopenia, but some will develop hemorrhagic or thrombotic complications, especially arterial occlusions. The latter appear to be more common and are associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Therefore, early recognition is of utmost importance.

Platelet counts should be obtained prior to initiating heparin therapy and daily or every other day thereafter until the heparin is discontinued. If HIT is suspected, a test to detect the heparin-dependent platelet antibody should be performed. Platelet aggregometry is frequently used and can be easily and rapidly performed in any laboratory having a standard platelet aggregometer.

The treatment of HIT primarily consists of immediate cessation of heparin administration, but if continued anticoagulation is required, substitute anticoagulants or anti-platelet or platelet-inhibitory agents may be tried.
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**SUMMARY**

Platelet counts should be obtained prior to initiating heparin therapy and daily or every other day thereafter until the heparin is discontinued. If HIT is suspected, a test to detect the heparin-dependent platelet antibody should be performed. Platelet aggregometry is frequently used and can be easily and rapidly performed in any laboratory having a standard platelet aggregometer.

The treatment of HIT primarily consists of immediate cessation of heparin administration, but if continued anticoagulation is required, substitute anticoagulants or anti-platelet or platelet-inhibitory agents may be tried.


