Managing solitary pulmonary nodules

ABSTRACT

A solitary pulmonary nodule (SPN) on a chest radiograph represents a major diagnostic dilemma. The goals of management are to resect malignant tumors without delay and to avoid unnecessary thoracotomy if the nodule is benign. But because of the difficulty distinguishing benign from malignant nodules, even with advances in imaging techniques, these goals cannot be met in all cases.

KEY POINTS

Preoperative computed tomography of the chest is very helpful in distinguishing between benign and malignant SPNs.

Common primary tumors that metastasize to the lungs and manifest as SPNs include cancers of the head and neck, adenocarcinomas of the breast, kidney, and colon, and sarcomas of soft tissue.

Granulomatous diseases such as tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, and coccidioidomycosis constitute nearly 80% of all benign SPNs.

No study has looked at the association between smoking and the risk of malignant SPNs, but a history of smoking greatly increases the likelihood that an SPN is due to lung cancer.

BACKGROUND

SPNs are single, discrete pulmonary lesions surrounded by aerated lung and not associated with mediastinal lymphadenopathy, atelectasis, or pneumonitis on the chest radiograph.1,2 Although some authorities include lesions up to 6 cm in size in the definition of SPNs, most regard a pulmonary radiographic opacity larger than 3 cm as a lung mass, not an SPN.

SPNs are common. Screening studies in adults revealed 1 to 2 SPNs per 1,000 chest radiographs.3,4 In a 1987 estimate, 133,900 SPNs were detected on chest radiographs in the United States.5 In most instances, SPNs are an incidental radiographic finding and are not associated with any symptoms.
SOLITARY PULMONARY NODULES
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TABLE 1
Primary care management of SPNs

Detection of solitary pulmonary nodule
Obtain all prior chest roentgenograms and chest CT scans if available
Comprehensive history and physical examination
Identify important comorbid conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ischemic heart disease, etc
Obtain baseline laboratory tests
Obtain spirometry whenever appropriate
Search for extrathoracic malignancy
Optimize medical condition
Initiate smoking cessation program
Patient education
Referral to pulmonary specialist
Follow-up care in consultation with pulmonary specialist

THE CHALLENGE

After finding a nodule in an asymptomatic patient, the main issue is to determine whether it is benign or malignant. The primary goals of management are to expeditiously resect potentially curable lung cancers that present as SPNs and to minimize the use of thoracotomy for benign SPNs. Although thoracotomy is still the gold standard for distinguishing between benign and malignant SPNs, major advances in imaging technology have improved our ability to achieve this goal without subjecting patients to the risks of major surgery.

COMMON CAUSES

A variety of benign and malignant lesions can present as an SPN on a chest radiograph. Common causes of SPNs are listed in TABLE 2.

The percentage of SPNs that are due to malignant diseases varies considerably among studies, depending on how patients are selected. For instance, in earlier community-based chest radiograph screening programs, as few as 3% to 5% of SPNs were due to malignant diseases. In contrast, malignancy was detected in 35% to 40% of patients who underwent surgical resection for SPNs. Recent studies that included computerized tomography (CT) as a standard preoperative test have reported malignancy in 60% to 90% of resected SPNs.

These striking results are due to the superior ability of preoperative chest CT scans to distinguish between benign and malignant SPNs. As a result, these studies show a strong selection bias, since patients had a high likelihood of malignancy before they were referred for thoracotomy.

Bronchogenic carcinoma

Bronchogenic carcinoma is the most common cause of malignant SPNs. Although all histologic cell types of lung cancer can present as an SPN, adenocarcinoma and large cell lung cancer are far more likely to present as an SPN than either squamous cell or small cell lung cancer. Metastatic tumors account for 10% to 30% of all resected malignant SPNs. Common primary tumors that metastasize to the lungs and manifest as an SPN include head and neck cancers, adenocarcinoma of the breast, kidney, and colon, and soft tissue sarcomas.

Granulomatous disease

Granulomatous diseases such as tuberculosis, histoplasmosis, and coccidioidomycosis constitute nearly 80% of all benign SPNs. About 10% of benign nodules are caused by hamartomas, benign tumors believed to arise from non-organized embryonic tissue ("rests"). The remaining 10% of benign SPNs are due to various other reasons as listed in TABLE 2.

SPN: BENIGN OR MALIGNANT?

Many epidemiological, clinical, and radiographic features help to differentiate between benign and malignant SPNs. These features include the patient's age, smoking history, and a prior history of malignancy. The presence and the pattern of calcification and the growth rate of the tumor (estimated by reviewing serial chest radiographs) are the two most useful features.

Unfortunately, clinical assessment can establish the nature of an SPN with a reasonable degree of certainty in only a minority of cases. Frequently, SPNs are classified as "indeterminate" after the initial assessment. Further diagnostic workup is needed for appropriate management of these patients.
HISTORY AND PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

Even though most patients with SPNs are asymptomatic at presentation, the initial assessment should start with a comprehensive history and a thorough physical examination to identify risk factors.

When taking the history, record the following:
- Patient's age.
- Tobacco use.
- History of prior malignancy.
- Occupational exposure to carcinogens such as asbestos.
- Previous history of tuberculosis or pulmonary mycosis.
- History of residing or traveling to areas endemic for certain granulomatous infections.

The history and physical examination performed by the primary care physician may uncover coexisting medical problems, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or coronary artery disease, which may influence the overall diagnostic and therapeutic strategy.

Age
Many studies have shown that the chance that an SPN is malignant increases with age. For instance, in men over age 50, 50% to 65% of SPNs are malignant. In contrast, the risk of a malignant SPN among nonsmokers under age 35 is sufficiently low to justify observation alone with serial chest radiography in many cases.

Nevertheless, age alone is not infallible evidence against malignancy. Indeed, studies have shown that up to one third of SPNs in patients under age 50 ultimately prove to be malignant.

Tobacco use
Smoking is the most important predisposing cause of lung cancer. The risk of lung cancer is directly correlated with the duration and intensity of tobacco use. Interestingly, no study has specifically looked at the association between smoking and the risk of a malignant SPN. Nevertheless, it is widely accepted that a history of significant smoking greatly increases the likelihood that the SPN in question is due to lung cancer.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common causes of solitary pulmonary nodules</th>
<th>BENIGN CAUSES</th>
<th>MALIGNANT CAUSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granulomatous infections</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bronchogenic carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccidioidomycosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Large cell lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other infections</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Head and neck tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinococcus cyst</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascariasis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirofilariai</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumocystis carinii</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sarcomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical mycobacterial infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary carcinoid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign tumors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamartoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noninfectious granuloma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoioidsis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegener's granulomatosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiolitis obliterans with organizing pneumonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary arteriovenous malformation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchogenic cyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid nodule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloidoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary infarction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extrathoracic malignancy
The probability that a nodule is malignant is very high in patients with concurrent or previous history of extrathoracic malignancy. In one study, biopsy of the SPN showed evidence of malignancy in 80% of patients known to have concomitant or previous extrathoracic malignancy. Similar results were reported previously by Good and Wilson, who found pulmonary metastasis as the cause of the SPN in 86 of 103 patients in whom an SPN was accompanied by a known extrapulmonary neoplasm.

Yet despite these impressive statistics, proceeding with biopsy is essential in these patients, as it is not safe to assume that an SPN is metastatic in origin even when there is a history of extrathoracic malignancy. Patients with proven malignancy at one site
Patients with an SPN tend to have a normal physical exam

may be at a higher risk of a second primary malignancy. In one study, the authors concluded that an SPN in a patient with breast cancer should not be assumed to be metastatic in origin, and that further studies to exclude primary lung cancer are warranted.

Rheumatoid arthritis
Patients with rheumatoid arthritis may present with solitary or multiple pulmonary nodules. Seropositive men with subcutaneous nodules are at higher risk of developing nodular opacities on chest radiography. However, SPNs in these patients should always be viewed with suspicion, especially when there is a history of significant tobacco use.

Other risk factors to consider
A detailed history of residence, travel, and all prior occupations should be carefully recorded. Tuberculosis remains an important cause of SPNs in highly endemic areas. Histoplasmosis is the most likely cause of SPNs in patients from the Ohio River valley presenting with a solitary calcified shadow on chest roentgenogram. Similarly, coccidioidomycosis was the cause of an SPN in more than 25% of patients living in an area where coccidioidomycosis is endemic.

The physical examination
Most patients with an SPN have a normal physical examination. Occasionally, physical examination may detect an unsuspected extrapulmonary malignancy, raising the likelihood of pulmonary metastasis as the cause of the SPN. In addition, dermal and mucosal telangiectasia may suggest pulmonary arteriovenous malformation as the cause of the SPN.

**DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY TESTS**

A standard diagnostic laboratory workup in a patient with an SPN includes:
- Complete blood count.
- Serum electrolytes.
- Blood urea nitrogen.
- Serum creatinine.
- Liver function tests.
- Purified protein derivative, if tuberculosis is suspected.

There is no reason to obtain tumor markers to screen for malignancy. Sputum cytology analysis in patients with a suspected malignant SPN has a very low yield; perhaps no more than 10% to 20% of patients with a malignant SPN have positive findings on sputum cytology analysis. Sputum cytology analysis contributes mainly to the diagnosis of squamous cell lung cancer but is of little or no value in detecting adenocarcinoma or large cell cancer.

**PULMONARY FUNCTION TESTS**

Spirometry should be performed in all patients with an SPN who:
- Have a history of significant tobacco use.
- Have suspected chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
- Are possible candidates for surgical resection.

A more comprehensive preoperative pulmonary assessment should be performed in consultation with a pulmonary specialist whenever surgical resection is being considered in patients with borderline lung functions (ie, a postoperative predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second of less than 60% of the predicted value).

**CHEST RADIOGRAPHY: SPNs AND MIMICS**

SPNs are commonly discovered on chest radiography performed for another purpose. While SPNs as small as 5 mm may be visible, SPNs smaller than 1 cm due to bronchogenic carcinoma are usually not detected on plain films, even with optimal film technique and a thorough review of the chest radiograph.

When a nodule is detected on a chest roentgenogram, the first step is to determine whether the lesion is truly pulmonary. From 10% to 20% of subtle radiological shadows initially thought to be SPNs prove to be artifacts or SPN mimics. Common SPN mimics are listed in Table 3. In most cases, SPNs are visible on both posterior-anterior and lateral projections. From 5% to 10% of SPNs are seen only on the lateral view.

It is sound clinical practice to entertain the likelihood of a mimic whenever a nodule is visible only on the posterior-anterior film. In most instances, the problem can be resolved
with repeated chest radiographs with nipple markers, oblique projections, and, occasionally, fluoroscopy. If doubt remains, a chest CT scan can be performed to clarify the issue.

**Compare previous films**

Comparison of current chest radiographs with previous ones remains the single most critical step in the evaluation of SPNs, since differentiating between benign and malignant lesions on chest radiographs may not be possible in all cases. Therefore, every effort should be made to obtain all prior chest radiographs.

In many instances, review of a previous chest radiograph may provide sufficient information to the clinician to obviate the need for further diagnostic workup. SPNs with a benign pattern of calcification and no growth over a 2-year period are widely accepted as being benign. Although other features such as size, lobulated appearance, spiculated margins, and the presence or absence of satellite lesions provide useful clues to distinguish benign from malignant SPNs, these findings are of lesser discriminatory value.

**Calcification**

Benign SPNs are more likely than malignant SPNs to show calcification on chest radiographs. Calcification in SPNs can follow several characteristic patterns. Some patterns of calcification have a very high predictive value for benign SPN. Dense, central, “bull’s eye” calcification or laminated and diffuse calcification are characteristic of granuloma. A “popcorn” pattern of calcification on a chest roentgenogram strongly suggests hamartoma.

Only one third of patients with granulomas and only 10% to 15% of patients with hamartomas demonstrate characteristic patterns of calcification on plain chest radiographs. While the presence of a benign pattern of calcification virtually establishes benignity, the presence of calcification in other patterns is not helpful, as up to 10% of malignant SPNs may show evidence of calcification. Whenever present, calcification in a malignant SPN follows a stippled or eccentric pattern.

**Growth rate**

The growth rate of an SPN, expressed as the doubling time, may help to distinguish whether it is benign or malignant. However, this is not without pitfalls. One of the most common pitfalls in assessing SPN doubling time on serial chest radiographs is to look for doubling of the diameter of the SPN. Actually, the doubling time is the time the nodule takes to double its volume. Assuming SPN to be a sphere, the doubling time can be calculated by measuring the nodule radius on serial chest roentgenograms using the equation $\text{Volume} = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3$. Accordingly, the volume of an SPN doubles when its diameter increases by approximately 26%. The doubling time of lung cancers typically ranges from 20 to 400 days. Benign nodules grow either more rapidly or more slowly than this. A very rapid change in size raises suspicion of an infectious process, such as “round pneumonia,” pulmonary infarction, or pulmonary vasculitis. Nodules that grow very slowly are more likely to be benign tumors or granulomas.

**Other features**

Larger SPNs are more likely to be malignant. However, smaller size does not mean an SPN is benign. Up to 42% of nodules smaller than 2 cm and 15% of nodules smaller than 1 cm subsequently prove to be malignant. Certain radiological features are more likely to be seen with malignant SPNs than with benign SPNs, including spiculated appearance of nodule margins (corona radiata), lobulated edges (notch sign), and pleural retraction (tail sign). These findings, however, have low predictive value, since a considerable number of benign SPNs may also show similar characteristics.

**Limitations of chest radiography**

Apart from limitations in interpreting the noncharacteristic pattern of nodule calcification and difficulties in accurate radiological

---

### Table 3: Solitary pulmonary nodule mimics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nipple shadows</th>
<th>Soft tissue tumors, eg, neurofibroma</th>
<th>Pseudotumor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bony shadow, eg, old healed rib fracture</td>
<td>Round atelectasis</td>
<td>Electrocardiogram leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural plaque</td>
<td>Buttons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Some calcification patterns are highly indicative of a benign SPN.
measurement of doubling time, especially for small SPNs, evidence suggests that small nodules frequently escape radiological detection. It is estimated that the error rate for early detection of lung cancer is between 20% and 50%. In actual clinical practice, when prior radiographs are reviewed after detecting an SPN, radiological abnormalities dating back months to years are very common. There is evidence to suggest that even expert radiologists miss SPNs despite good film technique and careful review of radiographs.

**COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY AND SPN**

Inclusion of a chest CT scan in the workup of SPNs has allowed a more accurate characterization of SPNs as either benign or malignant. As a result, the incidence of thoracotomy for benign SPNs has declined significantly since CT scans became available.

**Advantages of chest CT scans over conventional radiography**

Chest CT has many advantages over conventional radiography in the evaluation of SPNs. Due to its axial format of data acquisition, chest CT is better at distinguishing true SPNs from chest wall lesions that mimic SPNs on chest roentgenograms. CT is better at interpreting subtle chest radiograph abnormalities in areas with high “anatomic image noise,” such as lung apices, perihilar regions, and costophrenic angles.

Greater spatial resolution. Chest CT has greater spatial resolution than chest radiography, which means SPNs as small as 3 to 4 mm are detectable on CT images. When performed in patients in whom the plain film demonstrates a solitary pulmonary metastasis, chest CT frequently reveals additional nodules not apparent on plain films. The CT evidence of multiple nodules in these patients is frequently the first clue that leads the physician to consider the possibility of pulmonary metastasis. Chest CT in this setting alters the overall patient management.

More anatomic detail. Chest CT captures the anatomical details of SPNs better than conventional radiographs do. Occasionally, typical morphological characteristics of an SPN may strongly suggest a specific diagnosis such as rounded atelectasis, aspergilloma, or pulmonary arteriovenous malformation.

**Demonstration of fat density** with CT images of an SPN strongly supports a benign etiology such as pulmonary hamartoma or lipoid pneumonia. In one study that included 47 patients with pulmonary hamartomas, CT images showed recognizable fat in 18 patients and both fat and calcium in another 10 patients. No SPN with CT evidence of fat or fat and calcium in this series proved malignant on histologic analysis or follow-up.

**Detecting calcifications.** Finally, chest CT scans are clearly more sensitive than conventional radiography for detecting calcium within SPNs. Studies have shown that thin-section chest CT may demonstrate diffuse calcification in up to 50% of SPNs in which conventional methods failed to show any evidence of calcification. The pattern of calcification in SPNs is better seen on chest CT than on standard chest radiographs (Figure 1). Because CT images without intravenous contrast are required to detect calcification within the SPN, chest CT should always be performed both with and without intravenous contrast.

**Indications for chest CT scanning**

Chest CT scanning is most valuable when a comprehensive clinical evaluation and review of all available chest roentgenograms fail to confirm that an SPN is malignant. This is especially true for indeterminate SPNs smaller than 2 cm. SPNs larger than 2 cm are less likely to be benign. Chest CT is less accurate in establishing the true nature of a benign SPN larger than 2 cm. Chest CT does not provide additional useful information when clinical and chest radiographic findings strongly support a diagnosis of a benign SPN.

Similarly, the role of chest CT remains uncertain for patients with a high likelihood of malignant SPNs. Despite uncertainties, it is common to perform chest CT with upper abdominal cuts for the purpose of staging prior to thoracotomy. Unfortunately, vigorous studies addressing the role of chest CT scanning in this setting are lacking. Available data appear to indicate that, for patients with localized bronchogenic carcinoma, chest CT seldom, if at all, changes the clinical stage or alters patient management. Nevertheless, recent
guidelines from the American Thoracic Society recommended chest CT scans for all patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer before thoracotomy.\(^{38}\)

**Conventional vs high-resolution chest CT**

High-resolution chest CT is superior to conventional CT in the evaluation of SPNs. Structural details of SPNs such as edges, internal characteristics such as the presence of fat and calcium, and the nodule's relation to the bronchus are all better defined with high-resolution CT.\(^{39}\) Recent studies have indicated that presence of air bronchogram within the SPN increases the likelihood that the SPN is malignant.\(^{40,41}\) The bronchus sign, a CT finding best appreciated on high-resolution CT images, refers to the presence of a bronchus leading directly to the SPN.\(^{42}\) The diagnostic yield of flexible bronchoscopy for peripheral lung cancers is higher when the bronchus sign is present.

**Advances in the CT imaging of SPNs**

Quantitative analysis of the density of SPNs by chest CT has generated considerable interest in the past 2 decades.

Quantitative measurement of enhancement of SPNs after intravenous injection of contrast agent has proved to be a useful method of differentiating benign from malignant SPNs. Due to their higher vascularity, malignant SPNs show greater contrast enhancement than benign SPNs.

**POSITRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY**

Tumor cells show higher metabolic activity than benign cells. Positron emission tomography with 2-[fluorine-18]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) uses this fundamental biochemical difference in glucose metabolism to distinguish benign SPNs from malignant ones.\(^{43}\) A number of studies have shown that positron emission tomography can identify malignant SPNs with a 93% to 97% sensitivity and an 80% to 100% specificity,\(^{43-47}\) but this technology is expensive and is not readily available in many medical centers. Therefore, its exact role in the management of SPNs remains unclear.

**THERAPEUTIC DECISION-MAKING**

No single ideal approach to the management of patients with an SPN has been developed. Several complex issues influence the thera-
Algorithm for the management of SPN

Solitary pulmonary nodule on chest radiograph

| History, physical examination, review past chest radiographs, perform computed tomography scan |
|management controversal|

Is cardiopulmonary reserve adequate?

- No
- Yes

Consider the likelihood of malignancy, based on age, smoking history, extrathoracic malignancy, rheumatoid arthritis

| Very low |
| Very high |

After 2 years of observation, is nodule larger, or the same size?

- Same size
- Larger

Indeterminate nodule

- <2 cm: Transthoracic needle aspiration
- >2 cm: Bronchoscopy

Nodule still nondiagnostic

- Video-assisted thoracic surgery
- Benign
- Malignant

NO FURTHER WORKUP

THORACOTOMY

FIGURE 2.

Still, in a significant proportion of patients, the initial clinical and radiological evaluation fails to confirm if the SPN is benign or malignant, despite advances in imaging technology. Studies have shown that 20% to 25% of nodules ultimately turn out to be histopathologically benign.

There is no consensus as to what constitutes the best approach to patients with indeterminate SPNs. Management options include biopsy of the SPN, prospective observation, and referral to a thoracic surgeon for definitive resection. Probability of malignancy, confounding medical illnesses, and individual risk from a major thoracic procedure should be carefully weighed before making a final recommendation. In this context, there is no substitute for active involvement of the patient in the decision-making process.

Biopsy in further evaluation

Biopsy of the SPN by either flexible bronchoscopy or a transthoracic approach is perhaps the most frequently chosen step for further evaluation of solitary nodules that remain indeterminate after initial radiographic studies. Some experts advocate biopsy of the SPN to document malignancy in all patients referred for thoracotomy. Most experts, however, agree that routine biopsy before subjecting patients to surgery is unnecessary when the likelihood of malignancy is very high.

The primary goal of performing biopsy is to identify a benign etiology of an SPN beyond a reasonable doubt, so that unnecessary thoracotomy can be avoided. However, as discussed below, preoperative biopsy of an SPN often fails to provide a specific benign diagnosis. In actual clinical practice, biopsy plays a more important role when the physician requires more definitive evidence of malignancy than clinical judgment alone before recommending thoracotomy. For instance, patients with significant cardiopulmonary disease may not accept a higher-than-normal risk of perioperative mortality and morbidity with thoracotomy unless presented with biopsy evidence of malignancy and potential for cure with the surgery.

Flexible bronchoscopy

Flexible bronchoscopy plays a limited role in the management of patients with SPNs. In
TABLE 4
Differentiating benign from malignant solitary pulmonary nodules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEATURE</th>
<th>BENIGN</th>
<th>MALIGNANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt; 35</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Nonsmokers</td>
<td>Smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous history of malignancy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of nodule</td>
<td>&lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>&gt; 2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification</td>
<td>Bull’s eye, diffuse, laminated, or popcorn pattern</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time</td>
<td>&lt; 20 or &gt; 400 days</td>
<td>20 to 400 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological stability over 2 years</td>
<td>More likely</td>
<td>Less likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiculated edges</td>
<td>Less likely</td>
<td>More likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margins</td>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>Irregular or lobulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air bronchogram sign</td>
<td>Less likely</td>
<td>More likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural tail sign</td>
<td>Less likely</td>
<td>More likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite lesions</td>
<td>More likely</td>
<td>Less likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement on CT after IV contrast</td>
<td>&lt; 20 Hounsfield units</td>
<td>&gt; 20 Hounsfield units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET with fluorodeoxyglucose</td>
<td>No uptake</td>
<td>Increased uptake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

some institutions, all patients scheduled to undergo thoracotomy for the resection of SPNs are subjected to screening bronchoscopy to exclude the presence of a synchronous and occult endobronchial tumor. Because the benefit of this practice in peripheral stage I bronchogenic carcinoma has never been proven, routine airway examination is probably not recommended for these patients.49,50 More commonly, flexible bronchoscopy for an SPN is performed to establish a specific histological diagnosis. For malignant SPNs, the diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy varies from 10% to 69%,51,52

The diagnostic yield from flexible bronchoscopy depends not only on the experience and skill of the operator, but also on the size of the SPN. The likelihood of obtaining diagnostic material varies from 10% to 33% for nodules less than 2 cm in size and from 40% to 69% for nodules 2 to 4 cm in diameter.53,54 Flexible bronchoscopy only rarely results in a specific diagnosis of benign SPN. Nonspecific findings in specimens obtained with flexible bronchoscopy should never be accepted as conclusive evidence that an SPN is benign.

A number of studies have indicated that the presence of the bronchus sign on chest CT scan increases the diagnostic yield of flexible bronchoscopy in patients with malignant SPNs. A 60% to 80% yield can be expected when the bronchus sign is present as opposed to only a 0% to 30% yield when the bronchus sign is absent.55,56

Flexible bronchoscopy is generally safe. Pneumothorax occurs in no more than 1% to 2% of patients. In most cases, this can be managed conservatively, without a need for chest tube placement.

Transthoracic needle aspiration
Recent advances in imaging technology, biopsy, and cytopathology have expanded the role of transthoracic needle aspiration in the workup of indeterminate SPNs.57 The sensitivity of fluoroscopic or CT-guided transthoracic needle aspiration for malignant SPNs varies from 75% to 97%.58,59 The false-positive rate for cancer is less than 1%.60 The agreement between transthoracic needle aspiration cytology and final histology varies from 60% to 90%.61-63

The diagnostic yield of transthoracic needle aspiration for a specific benign diagnosis such as granuloma or hamartoma is from 12% to 68%.64 While specific benign diagnosis can be accepted as such, the problem arises when nonspecific results—eg, “benign tissue,”...
Surgery is the treatment of choice for non-small cell lung cancers presenting as SPNs.

Inflammation, histiocytes, giant cells—are reported by the cytopathologist. The absence of malignant cells in the specimen obtained via transthoracic needle aspiration does not establish benignity. In fact, in some studies, 20% to 68% of nodules initially reported as nonspecific subsequently proved to be malignant.\(^{58,65,66}\) Data indicate that the negative predictive value of transthoracic needle aspiration to exclude malignancy in SPNs varies from 52% to 88%.\(^ {58,64,67}\)

Further management of patients in whom transthoracic needle aspiration fails to establish a specific diagnosis is largely a matter of clinical judgment. Surgery is indicated if the suspicion of malignancy remains high.

The main complication of transthoracic needle aspiration is pneumothorax, which occurs in 25% to 30% of patients.\(^ {57}\) Up to 50% of all patients who develop pneumothorax after transthoracic needle aspiration require chest tube placement. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with a low forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV\(_1\)) seem to be at a higher risk of developing pneumothorax after transthoracic needle aspiration.\(^ {68,69}\) Minor hemoptysis develops in 5% to 10% of patients and resolves spontaneously in a majority of patients. Fatal hemorrhage and air embolism are exceedingly rare after transthoracic needle aspiration.\(^ {70}\)

### FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATION

Whether to observe with serial chest radiographs, perform biopsy, or proceed directly to thoracotomy should be decided only after carefully considering the likelihood of malignancy and the patient’s operative risk. Close observation of an indeterminate nodule for a few months in young and otherwise healthy nonsmokers is the least invasive approach, and is acceptable to most patients and their physicians. Prospective observation may be the only viable option for those who refuse further invasive procedures.

**Watchful waiting is controversial**

A wait-and-watch approach for patients with indeterminate SPNs is controversial.\(^ {71}\) During the observation period, delays in resection of malignant nodules are inherent. Long-term survival is longer after resection of smaller, as opposed to larger, malignant SPNs.\(^ {72}\)

An increase in the size of a nodule and the potential for lymph node metastasis during the observation period that may result in a lower 5-year survival rate are the main arguments against the watchful waiting. Although this is a valid concern, the magnitude of the risk associated with waiting a few months before resection remains unknown. However, this question has never been tested in rigorous clinical studies.

The proponents of prospective observation, on the other hand, argue that by the time a malignant SPN has reached the threshold size for radiological detection, it has already undergone at least 30 doublings,\(^ {73}\) and waiting another few months is unlikely to have a major impact on ultimate outcome.

### SURGERY

Surgery is the treatment of choice for non-small cell lung cancers that present as SPNs. In the absence of lymph node metastasis, 5-year survival of up to 80% can be expected after surgery, emphasizing the need for prompt resection of all malignant SPNs.\(^ {74}\) Surgical resection also remains the most definitive method of establishing the etiology of an SPN. With recent advances in imaging technology, the incidence of thoracotomy for benign SPNs has fallen to as low as 10% to 20%.

**Morbidity and mortality**

Perioperative mortality and morbidity with thoracotomy depend not only on the extent of lung resection but also on whether the surgery is performed for a benign or malignant SPN.\(^ {75}\) When patients undergo surgery for bronchogenic carcinoma, the 30-day mortality rate after the surgery is 6.2% for pneumonectomy, 2.9% for lobectomy, and 1.4% for wedge resection. The perioperative complication rate is higher in patients over age 70 than in those under age 60. After resection of a benign SPN, the 30-day mortality rate is less than 1%.

### THORACOSCOPY

In recent years, video-assisted thoracic surgery via the thoracoscope has been used to remove...
nODULES LOCATED IN THE PERIPHERY OF THE LUNG Video-assisted thoracic surgery provides a minimally invasive alternative to formal thoracotomy for the diagnosis and, in selected patients, management of indeterminate SPNs. The results of frozen-section examination of specimen resected via video-assisted thoracic surgery assist in directing the further course in the operating room. For benign nodules, the entire lesion can be resected with video-assisted surgery assist in directing the further course in the management of malignant SPNs. Conversion to standard thoracotomy is best made after converting video-assisted thoracic surgery to standard thoracotomy. The reported conversion rate from video-assisted thoracic surgery to thoracotomy varies from 17% to 50%. Occasionally, failure to locate an SPN with video-assisted thoracic surgery leads to a decision to proceed with thoracotomy.

**Candidates for wedge resection**
A select group of patients with localized bronchogenic carcinoma who are poor surgical candidates due to impaired cardiopulmonary reserve, advanced age, or other confounding medical illnesses have been managed with thoracoscopic wedge resection alone. However, most surgeons believe that optimal surgical management of most patients with malignant SPNs mandates converting video-assisted thoracic surgery to full thoracotomy to ensure removal of the entire tumor.
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