Although continuation rates and user satisfaction with LARC methods are high, a common reason for discontinuation is unfavorable bleeding patterns. Here, with an eye toward improved patient counseling, we examine recent data on bleeding rates with 3 hormone-releasing IUDs, discuss how early bleeding patterns with the etonogestrel implant correlate with long-term patterns, and address how postpartum timing of etonogestrel implant insertion might—or might not—impact bleeding patterns.

Long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) use continues to increase in the United States. According to the most recent estimates from 2014, 14% of women use either an intrauterine device (IUD) or the etonogestrel implant.¹ Forms of LARC currently available in the United States include:
- 4 hormone-releasing IUDs
- 1 nonhormonal copper IUD, and
- 1 hormonal subdermal implant.

The hormone-releasing IUDs all contain levonorgestrel (LNG). These include two 52-mg LNG products and a 19.5-mg LNG IUD, which are currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for contraception for 5 continuous years of use. In addition, a 13.5-mg LNG IUD is FDA-approved for 3 years of use. The hormonal subdermal implant, which contains etonogestrel, is FDA-approved for 3 years of use. Although major complications with IUDs (perforation, expulsion, intrauterine infection) and implants (subfascial implantation, distant migration) are rare, adverse effects that can affect continuation—such as irregular bleeding—are more common.²,³

Contraceptive discontinuation due to bleeding concerns occurs more frequently with the etonogestrel implant than with LNG IUDs (TABLE 1, page e1). In a large prospective study in the United States, 13% of women discontinued the implant during 3 years of follow-up due to bleeding pattern changes.⁴ In comparison, the 3-year discontinuation rate for bleeding complaints with the 52-mg LNG IUD is 1.5%.⁵ The 3-year discontinuation rate is higher with the 19.5-mg and 13.5-mg LNG IUDs (4.9% and 4.7%, respectively).⁶ The discontinuation rate for bleeding complaints within 5 years of use remains higher for the 19.5-mg LNG IUD (5.2%) compared with the 52-mg LNG IUD (2.2%).⁷,⁸

Notably, it is important to use standardized definitions to understand and compare bleeding concerns with LARC use. The Belsey criteria of the World Health Organization (WHO), a standard used for decades, describe bleeding patterns using 90-day reference periods or

---

**TABLE 1** Bleeding Concerns With LARC Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>3-Year Discontinuation Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LNG IUD 52-mg</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG IUD 19.5-mg</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG IUD 13.5-mg</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etonogestrel implant</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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intervals (TABLE 2, page e1).6 Bleeding patterns that decrease flow (amenorrhea, infrequent bleeding) often are considered favorable, and those that increase bleeding or irregularity often are considered unfavorable. These criteria are commonly used in package labeling to describe bleeding patterns with extended use. In this Update, we examine recent data evaluating differences in bleeding patterns with the 3 doses of the LNG IUD, predictors of abnormal bleeding with the etonogestrel implant, and the impact of timing on postpartum etonogestrel implant placement.

Bleeding patterns with progestin-containing IUDs vary according to the LNG dose


Counseling on IUDs’ different hormonal doses requires an understanding of patients’ desires for contraceptive efficacy and bleeding expectations. A recent study provides guidance on what patients typically can expect for their bleeding patterns over the first few years with the 3 different doses of LNG IUDs.

Goldthwaite and Creinin used existing published or publicly available data to analyze differences in bleeding patterns associated with the 52-mg, 19.5-mg, and 13.5-mg LNG IUDs. Although two 52-mg LNG IUDs are available, published data using the WHO Belsey criteria are available only for one (Liletta; Allergan, Medicines360). The 2 products have been shown previously to have similar drug-release rates and LNG levels over 5 years.8

Comparing favorable bleeding patterns: Amenorrhea and infrequent bleeding

Among favorable bleeding patterns, amenorrhea was uncommon in the first 90 days and increased over time for all 3 IUDs. However, starting as soon as the second 90-day reference period, amenorrhea rates were significantly higher with the 52-mg LNG IUD compared

FIGURE 1 Amenorrhea in users of the 52-mg, 19.5-mg, and 13.5-mg LNG IUD

*52 mg vs 19.5 mg: RR, 1.83 (95% CI, 1.57–2.12) 52 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 3.13 (95% CI, 2.56–3.82) 19.5 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 1.78 (95% CI, 1.24–2.55)

652 mg vs 19.5 mg: RR, 1.52 (95% CI, 1.27–1.81) 52 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 2.98 (95% CI, 2.35–3.76) 19.5 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 1.96 (95% CI, 1.52–2.52)

*52 mg vs 19.5 mg: RR, 1.83 (95% CI, 1.57–2.13) 52 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 3.13 (95% CI, 2.56–3.82) 19.5 mg vs 13.5 mg: RR, 1.71 (95% CI, 1.37–2.13)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IUD, intrauterine device; LNG, levonorgestrel; RR, relative risk.

Adapted from Goldthwaite LM, Creinin MD. Comparing bleeding patterns for the levonorgestrel 52 mg, 19.5 mg, and 13.5 mg intrauterine systems. Contraception, 2019;100:128-131.
FIGURE 2 Irregular bleeding rates for users of the 52-mg, 19.5-mg, and 13.5-mg LNG IUD

At the end of year 1, 30% of the 52-mg LNG IUD users had infrequent bleeding compared with 26% of the 19.5-mg users (P = .01) and 20% of the 13.5-mg users (P<.0001) with both of the lower-LNG dose IUDs, and this difference increased through 3 years of use (FIGURE 1, page 17).

Similarly, the 19.5-mg LNG IUD users had significantly higher rates of amenorrhea than the 13.5-mg LNG IUD users for all periods starting with the second 90-day reference period. At 3 years, 36% of women using the 52-mg LNG IUD had amenorrhea compared with 20% of those using the 19.5-mg LNG IUD (P=.01) and 12% of those using the 13.5-mg LNG IUD (P<.0001).

Infrequent bleeding was similar for all 3 LNG IUDs in the first 90-day period, and it then increased most rapidly in the 52-mg LNG IUD users. At the end of year 1, 30% of the 52-mg LNG IUD users had infrequent bleeding compared with 26% of the 19.5-mg users (P = .01) and 20% of the 13.5-mg users (P<.0001). Although there was no difference in infrequent bleeding rates between the 52-mg and the 19.5-mg LNG IUD users at the end of year 1, those using a 52-mg LNG IUD had significantly higher rates of infrequent bleeding compared with the 13.5-mg LNG IUD at all time points.

Comparing unfavorable bleeding patterns: Frequent, prolonged, and irregular bleeding

Frequent and prolonged bleeding were uncommon with all LNG doses. Irregular bleeding rates declined for users of the 3 IUDs over time. However, significantly fewer users of the 52-mg LNG IUD reported irregular bleeding compared with those using either the 13.5-mg or 19.5-mg LNG IUDs.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IUD, intrauterine device; LNG, levonorgestrel; RR, relative risk.

Adapted from Goldthwaite LM, Creinin MD. Comparing bleeding patterns for the levonorgestrel 52 mg, 19.5 mg, and 13.5 mg intrauterine systems. Contraception. 2019;100:128-131.
Predicting long-term bleeding patterns after etonogestrel implant insertion


Data from 2014 indicate that the etonogestrel implant was used by nearly 1 million women in the United States and by 3% of women using contraception. The primary reason women discontinue implant use is because of changes in bleeding patterns. Given the high prevalence of bleeding concerns with the etonogestrel implant, we need more data to help counsel our patients on how they can expect their bleeding to change with implant use.

Etonogestral implant and bleeding pattern trends

Mansour and colleagues completed a secondary analysis of 12 phase 3 studies to evaluate the correlation between bleeding patterns early after placement of the etonogestrel implant (days 29–118) compared with bleeding patterns through 90-day intervals during the rest of the first year of use. To account for

WHEN CONSIDERING THE DIFFERENT PROGESTIN-BASED IUD OPTIONS, IT IS IMPORTANT TO COUNSEL PATIENTS ACCORDING TO THEIR PREFERENCES FOR POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS. A RANDOMIZED TRIAL DURING PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT FOUND NO DIFFERENCE IN SYSTEMIC ADVERSE EFFECTS WITH THE 3 DOSES OF LNG IUD, LIKELY BECAUSE THE SYSTEMIC HORMONE LEVELS ARE INCREDIBLY LOW FOR ALL 3 PRODUCTS. THE SUMMARY DATA IN THIS REPORT HELPS EXPLAIN WHY WOMEN USING THE LOWER-DOSE LNG PRODUCTS HAVE SLIGHTLY HIGHER DISCONTINUATION RATES FOR BLEEDING COMPLAINTS, A FACT WE CAN EXPLAIN TO OUR PATIENTS DURING COUNSELING.

Overall, the 52-mg LNG IUD is associated with a higher likelihood of favorable bleeding patterns over the first few years of use, with higher rates of amenorrhea and infrequent bleeding and lower rates of irregular bleeding. For women who prefer to not have periods or to have infrequent periods, the 52-mg LNG IUD is most likely to provide that outcome. For a patient who prefers to have periods, there is no evidence that the lower-dose IUDs result in “regular” or “normal” menstrual bleeding, even though they do result in more bleeding/spotting days overall. To the contrary, the available data show that these women have a significantly higher likelihood of experiencing prolonged, frequent, and irregular bleeding. In fact, no studies have reported rates of “normal” bleeding with the progestin IUDs, likely because women uncommonly have “normal” bleeding with these contraception methods. If a patient does not desire amenorrhea or strongly prefers to have “regular bleeding,” alternative methods such as a copper IUD should be considered rather than counseling her toward a lower-dose progestin IUD.
Overall, 61% of women with a favorable pattern in reference period 1 had favorable bleeding throughout the entire first year of use. Only 3.7% of women with favorable bleeding in the first reference period discontinued the implant for bleeding in year 1. Further, women with favorable bleeding at year 1 commonly continued to have favorable bleeding in year 2, with a low discontinuation rate (2.5%) in year 2.

Initial unfavorable bleeding portends less favorable patterns later
Women who had an unfavorable bleeding pattern initially, however, had a less predictable course over the first year. For those with an initial unfavorable pattern, only 37%, 47%, and 51% reported a favorable pattern in reference periods 2, 3, and 4. Despite these relatively low rates of favorable bleeding, only 13% of the women with an initial unfavorable bleeding pattern discontinued implant use for a bleeding complaint by the end of year 1; this rate was significantly higher than that for women with a favorable initial bleeding pattern ($P<.0001$). The discontinuation rate for bleeding complaints also remained higher in year 2, at 16.5%.

Limitations and strengths to consider
Although the etonogestrel implant is FDA-approved for 3 years of use, the bleeding data

Overall, these studies included 923 individuals across 11 countries; however, for the current analysis, the researchers excluded women from Asian countries who comprised more than 28% of the study population. These women report significantly fewer bleeding/spotting days with the etonogestrel implant and have a lower average body weight compared with European and American women.12

A prior analysis of the same data set looked at the number of bleeding/spotting days in groups of users rather than trends in individual patients, and, as mentioned, it also included Asian women, which diluted the overall number of bleeding days.12 In this new analysis, Mansour and colleagues used the Belsey criteria to analyze individual bleeding patterns as favorable (amenorrhea, infrequent bleeding, normal bleeding) or unfavorable (prolonged and/or frequent bleeding) from a patient perspective. In this way, we can understand trends in bleeding patterns for each patient over time, rather than seeing a static (cross-sectional) report of bleeding patterns at one point in time. Data were analyzed from 537 women in year 1 and 428 women in year 2. During the first 90-day reference period (days 29–118 after implant insertion), 61% of women reported favorable bleeding, and 39% reported unfavorable bleeding.

**WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS FOR PRACTICE**

Individual patients who have a favorable bleeding pattern initially with etonogestrel implant placement are highly likely to continue having favorable bleeding at year 1 and year 2. Notably, of women with a favorable bleeding pattern in any 90-day reference period, about 80% will continue to have a favorable bleeding pattern in the next reference period. These women can be counseled that, even if they have a 90-day period with unfavorable bleeding, about two-thirds will have a favorable pattern in the next reference period. For those with initial unfavorable patterns, about one-third to one-half change to a favorable pattern in subsequent 90-day reference periods. For women who require intervention for unfavorable bleeding but wish to keep their etonogestrel implant, prior data support use of combined oral contraceptive pills, although bleeding resolution seems to be temporary, with 86% of women having bleeding recurrence within 10 days after treatment.13

**Favorable bleeding correlates with favorable patterns later**

A favorable bleeding pattern in this first reference period correlated with favorable bleeding patterns through year 1, with 85%, 80%, and 80% of these women having a favorable pattern in reference periods 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Overall, 61% of women with a favorable pattern in reference period 1 had favorable bleeding throughout the entire first year of use. Differences in timing of etonogestrel implant placement relative to the menstrual cycle and discontinuation of other methods like oral contraceptives, bleeding outcomes on days 0–28 were excluded. They also sought to investigate the correlation between bleeding patterns in year 1 compared with those in year 2.
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from the combined trials included information for only up to 2 years after placement. The studies included also did not uniformly assess BMI, which makes it difficult to find correlations between bleeding patterns and BMI. Importantly, the studies did not include women who were more than 30% above their ideal body weight, so these assessments do not apply to obese users. Exclusion of women from Southeast Asia in this analysis makes this study’s findings more generalizable to populations in the United States and Europe.

Twelve-month implant continuation rates were high in both groups: 98% in the early- and 100% in the delayed-insertion group.


Early versus delayed postpartum etonogestrel implant insertion: Similar impacts on 12-month bleeding patterns

Vieira and colleagues found similar bleeding patterns between the groups over 12 months of follow-up. Amenorrhea was reported by 56% of the early-insertion group in the first 90 days and by 62% in the delayed-insertion group. During the last 90 days of the year, 52% of the early-insertion and 46% of the delayed-insertion group reported amenorrhea. Amenorrhea rates did not differ between women who were exclusively breastfeeding and those nonexclusively breastfeeding.

Continuation rates were high at 1 year

Prolonged bleeding episodes were uncommon in both groups, with only 2% of women reporting prolonged bleeding in any given reference period. Twelve-month implant continuation rates were high in both groups: 98% in the early- and 100% in the delayed-insertion group. Additionally, the investigators found that both groups experienced a
BMI decrease, with no difference between groups (10.3% and 11% in the early- and delayed-insertion groups, respectively).

**Study limitations and strengths**

This study included a larger number of participants than prior randomized, controlled trials that evaluated bleeding patterns with postpartum etonogestrel implant insertion, and it had very low rates of loss to follow-up. The study’s low rate of 12-month implant discontinuation (2%) is lower than that of other studies that reported rates of 6% to 14%.\(^{16,17}\) Although the authors stated that this low rate may be due to thorough anticipatory counseling prior to placement, it is also possible that this study population does not reflect all populations. Regardless, the data clearly show that placing an etonogestrel implant prior to hospital discharge, compared with waiting for later placement, does not impact bleeding patterns over the ensuing year.●

**WHAT THIS EVIDENCE MEANS FOR PRACTICE**

For patients who desire an etonogestrel implant for contraception postpartum, we now have additional information to counsel about the impact of implant placement on postpartum bleeding patterns. Overall, bleeding patterns are highly favorable and do not vary whether the implant is placed in the hospital or later. Additionally, the timing of placement does not impact implant continuation rates or BMI changes over 1 year. Further, the primary study assessed infant growth in the early- versus delayed-placement groups and found no differences in infant growth. Although the data are limited, immediate postpartum etonogestrel implant placement does not seem to affect the rate of breastfeeding or the volume of breast milk.\(^{16,17}\) Timing of implant placement, assuming adequate resources, should be based primarily on patient preference. And, given the correlation of immediate postpartum LARC placement to increased interpregnancy interval, particular efforts should be made to provide the implant in the immediate postpartum period, if the patient desires.\(^{20}\)
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TABLE 1  Three-year discontinuation rates for hormonal LARC methods due to bleeding complaints<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. in study</th>
<th>US participants, %</th>
<th>Discontinuation rate&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etonogestrel implant&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel 52 mg IUD&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,714</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel 19.5 mg IUD&lt;sup&gt;6,21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>71 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levonorgestrel 13.5 mg IUD&lt;sup&gt;6,22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,432</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>67 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Data from phase 3 studies.

<sup>b</sup>For bleeding complaints within 3 years of LARC placement.

Abbreviations: IUD, intrauterine device; LARC, long-acting reversible contraception.

TABLE 2  Belsey (World Health Organization) definitions of bleeding patterns with contraceptive use<sup>9</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding/spotting episode</td>
<td>1 or more consecutive days during which blood loss (bleeding or spotting) has been entered on the calendar record; each episode being bounded by bleeding/-spotting-free days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>No bleeding or spotting during a 90-day reference period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged bleeding</td>
<td>Bleeding/spotting episodes lasting more than 14 days during a 90-day reference period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent bleeding</td>
<td>More than 5 bleeding/spotting episodes during a 90-day reference period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrequent bleeding</td>
<td>1 or 2 bleeding/spotting episodes during a 90-day reference period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular bleeding</td>
<td>3 to 5 bleeding/spotting episodes and less than 3 bleeding/spotting-free intervals of 14 days or more during a 90-day reference period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>