Hand-carried ultrasound (HCU) is a field technique. Originally intended for military triage, the advent of small, portable, ultrasound devices has brought ultrasound imaging to the patient’s bedside to guide procedures and evaluate life-threatening conditions. Although many recently-trained physicians in emergency or critical care medicine now routinely use HCU to place central lines and tap effusions, physicians in emergency or critical care medicine now routinely use HCU to place central lines and tap effusions.
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The hospitalist position is in theory well-suited for learning and applying bedside ultrasound, having both expert resources in the hospital to guide training and a clinical objective to reduce unnecessary hospital costs.

**Saving the Bedside Examination: The “Laying-on” of Ultrasound**

Bedside examination is a vital component of the initial hospitalist-patient interaction, adding objective data to the patient’s history. In this era of physician surrogates and telemedicine, physical examination remains a nonnegotiable reason why physicians must appear in person at the patient's bedside “to lay on hands.” However, bedside cardiovascular examination skills have greatly diminished over the past decade for a variety of reasons. In particular, physical examination is impaired in the environment in which the hospitalist must practice. The admitting physician must oftentimes hurriedly examine the patient on the gurney in the noisy emergency department or in bed in an alarm-filled intensive care unit (ICU) or hospital room. Ambient noise levels often preclude auscultation of acute aortic and mitral valve regurgitation, splitting of valve sounds, low diastolic rumble, soft gallops, and fine rales. Patient positioning is limited in ventilated patients or those in respiratory or circulatory distress. Although medical education still honors the value of teaching the traditional cardiac examination, no outcome data exist to justify the application of the various maneuvers and techniques learned in medical school to contemporary, commonly encountered inpatient care scenarios. For example, few physical examination data exist on how to evaluate central venous pressures of an obese patient on the ventilator or assess the severity of aortic stenosis in the elderly hypertensive patient. Furthermore, many important cardiopulmonary abnormalities that are easily detected by ultrasound, such as pericardial fluid, well-compensated left ventricular systolic dysfunction, small pleural effusion, and left atrial enlargement, make no characteristic sound for auscultation. The effect of undiagnosed cardiac abnormalities on the patient's immediate hospital course is unknown, but is likely related to the clinical presentation and long-term outcome. Today, the hospitalist's suspicion of cardiovascular abnormalities is more often generated from elements in the patient’s initial history, serum biomarkers, chest radiography, or electrocardiogram, and less from auscultation. Accordingly, cardiac physical examination is only adjunctively used in determining the general direction of the ensuing evaluation and when abnormal, often generates additional diagnostic testing for confirmation.

The optimal role of HCU for the internist-hospitalist is in augmentation of bedside physical diagnosis. Unlike x-ray or even rapid serum biomarkers, ultrasound is a safe, immediate, noninvasive modality and has been particularly effective in delineating cardiac structure and physiology. Accurate HCU estimation of a patient's central venous pressure, left atrial size, or left ventricular ejection fraction is of particular value in those with unexplained respiratory distress or circulatory collapse, or in those in whom referral for echocardiography or cardiac consultation is not obvious. Asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction has an estimated prevalence of 5% in adult populations, and its detection would have immediate implications in regard to etiology, volume management, and drug therapy. Multiple studies have shown the prognostic importance of left atrial enlargement in ischemic cardiac disease, congestive heart failure, atrial arrhythmias, and stroke. The inferior vena cava diameter has been related to central venous pressure and prognosis in congestive heart failure. A recent study using medical residents employing HCU demonstrated that persistent dilatation of the inferior vena cava at discharge related to a higher readmission rate in patients with congestive heart failure. The potential exists to follow and guide a patient’s response to therapy with HCU during daily rounds. Comparative studies confirm that HCU examinations are better than expert auscultation and improve overall exam accuracy when added to traditional physical exam techniques. Entering into the modern-day emergency room with a pocket-sized ultrasound device that provides the immediate capability of detecting left ventricular dysfunction, left atrial enlargement, pericardial effusion, or abnormalities in volume status, provides an additional sense of being "prepared for battle."

**Deriving Limited Ultrasound Applications: Time Well Spent**

However, in order for a hospitalist to use HCU, easily applied limited imaging protocols must be derived from standard ultrasound examination techniques for each organ.
For the heart, studies from our laboratory have shown that it is feasible to distill the comprehensive echocardiogram down to simple cardiac screening examinations for rapid bedside HCU use.26–28 We found that a “limited” cardiac ultrasound study consisting of a single parasternal long-axis (PLAX) view (Figure 1) requires only seconds to perform and can identify those patients who have significant cardiac abnormalities. In an outpatient population (n = 196) followed in an internal medicine clinic, the PLAX component of an HCU cardiac screening protocol uncovered left atrial enlargement in 4 patients and left ventricular systolic dysfunction in 4 patients that had not been suspected by the patients’ primary physicians.29 In a study of 124 patients in the emergency department with suspected cardiac disease,12 abnormal cardiac findings were noted 3 times more frequently by PLAX than by clinical evaluation, and an abnormal PLAX was significantly associated with a longer hospital length of stay. In other preliminary studies using cardiologists, limited imaging has been shown to reduce costs of unnecessary echo referral.28,30–32 Cost analysis has yet to be performed in nonexpert HCU users, but benefit is likely related to the difference between the user’s own accuracy with the stethoscope and the HCU device.

Although experts in ultrasound exist in radiology and cardiology, it is unlikely these subspecialists will spontaneously create and optimize a full-body HCU imaging protocol for hospitalists. Similar to the use of ultrasound in emergency medicine, anesthesiology, and critical care medicine, the derivation of a bedside ultrasound exam appropriate for the in-hospital physical examination should be developed within the specialty itself, by those acquainted with the clinical scenarios in which HCU would be deployed. For example, the question of whether the gallbladder should be routinely imaged by a quick HCU exam in the evaluation of chest pain is similar to the question of whether the Valsalva maneuver should be performed in the evaluation of every murmur—both require Bayesian knowledge of disease prevalence, exam difficulty, and test accuracy. With the collaboration of experts in ultrasound, internists can derive brief, easily learned, limited ultrasound exams for left ventricular dysfunction, left atrial enlargement, carotid atherosclerosis, interstitial lung disease, hepatosplenomegaly, cholelithiasis, hydronephrosis, renal atrophy, pleural or pericardial effusion, ascites, deep vein thrombosis, and abdominal aortic aneurysm. The discovery of these disease states has clinical value for long-term care, even if “incidental” to the patient’s acute presentation. The lasting implications of a more comprehensive general examination will likely differentiate the use of HCU in internal medicine practice from that of emergency medicine.

**Basic Training in HCU**

A significant challenge to medical education will be in physician training in HCU. Over 15 studies12,13,15,17–20,22,23,33–43 have now shown the ability of briefly trained medical students, residents, and physicians in internal medicine to perform a limited cardiovascular ultrasound examination. Not surprisingly, these studies show variable degrees of training proficiency, apparently dependent upon the complexity of the imaging protocol. In a recent pair of studies from 1 institution,42,43 10 hospitalists were trained to perform an extensive HCU “echocardiogram” including 4 views, color and spectral Doppler, and interpret severity of valvular disease, ventricular function, pericardial effusion. In 345 patients already referred for formal echocardiography, which later served as the gold standard, HCU improved the hospitalists’ physical examination for left ventricular dysfunction, cardiomegaly, and pericardial effusion, but not for valvular disease. Notably, despite a focused training program including didactic teaching, self-study cases, 5 training studies, and the imaging of 35 patients with assistance as needed, image acquisition was inferior to standard examination and image interpretation was inferior to that of cardiology fellows. Such data reemphasize the fact that the scope of each body-system imaging protocol must be narrow in order to make the learning of a full-body HCU exam feasible and to incorporate training into time already allocated to the bedside physical examination curriculum or continuing medical education activities.

At our institution, internal medical residents are trained in bedside cardiovascular ultrasound to “blend” results with their auscultative findings during bedside examination. We have developed 2 cardiovascular limited ultrasound examinations (CLUEs) that can be performed in 5 minutes and have evidence-basis for their clinical use through pilot training studies.18,19,29,35 Our basic CLUE, designed for general cardiovascular examination, includes screening the carotid bulb for subclinical atherosclerosis, PLAX imaging for left atrial enlargement and systolic dysfunction of the left ventricle, and abdominal scanning for abdominal aortic aneurysm. In this imaging protocol consisting of only 4 targets, atherosclerotic risk increases from “top to bottom” (cephalad to caudal), making the exam easy to remember. The CLUE—parasternal, lung, and subcostal (CLUE-PLUS), designed for the urgent evaluation of unexplained dyspnea or hypotension, uses a “work backward” imaging format (from left ventricle to right atrium) and a single cardiac transducer for simplicity. The PLAX view screens for left ventricular systolic dysfunction and then left atrial enlargement. Next, a brief 4-point lung exam screens for ultrasonic lung comets and pleural effusion. A subcostal view of the heart is used to evaluate right ventricular size and pericardial effusion, and finally the inferior vena cava is evaluated for central venous pressures. CLUEs are taught in bedside and didactic formats over the 3 years of residency with formal competency testing after lecture attendance, practice imaging in our echo-vascular laboratories, participation in rounds, and completion of at least 30 supervised examinations.

**Reaffirming the Role of the Internist**

Although emergency44 and critical care45 medical subspecialties have begun to train their constituencies in HCU, general diagnostic techniques that have wide-ranging
application in medical illness should be the evidence-based tools of the internist. The rejuvenation of bedside examination using HCU on multiple organ systems should be orchestrated within internal medicine and not simply evolve as an unedited collection of all subspecialty organ ultrasound examinations. Device development can then be customized and made affordable for use in general internal medicine, perhaps limiting the unnecessary production costs and training requirements for advanced Doppler or multiple transducers.

Concern has been raised about the medical and economic impact of training internists in HCU. Although training costs can be incorporated in residencies or hospital-based continuing medical education, discussions regarding reimbursement for cardiac imaging require a distinction between the brief application of ultrasound using a small device by a nontraditional user and a “limited echocardiogram” as defined by payers and professional societies. To date, no procedural code or reimbursement has yet been approved for “ultrasound-assisted physical examination” using HCU devices and likely awaits outcome data. There is also concern about the possibility of errors being made by HCU use by briefly trained physicians. Patient care and cost-savings depend on HCU accuracy, being liable both for unnecessary referrals due to false-positive screening HCU exams and delays in diagnosis due to false-negative examinations. However, such errors are commonplace and accepted with the stethoscope, both of which lack sensitivity when compared to HCU.

HCU is a disruptive technology. However, unlike the “successful” disruption that small desktop computers had on their mainframe counterparts, HCU devices appeared before the “operating system” of their clinical application had been formulated, making dissemination to new users nearly impossible. Furthermore, placing ultrasound transducers into the hands of nontraditional users often alienates or displaces established users of ultrasound as well as established untrained members within the profession. Competency requirements will have to be derived, preferably from studies performed within the profession for specific uses in internal medicine. Perhaps championed by hospitalists and driven by hospital-based outcome studies, the use of HCU by internists as a physical exam technique will require advocacy by internists themselves. The alternative, having the hospitalist ask the emergency department physician for help in examining the patient, is difficult to imagine. The answer to whether the hospitalist should use HCU should be a resounding “yes”—based upon the benefit of earlier, more accurate examination and the value of preserving the diagnostic role of the internist at the bedside. In regard to the latter, it is a concept worth fighting for.
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