Patients’ Diverse Beliefs about What Happens at the Time of Death
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BACKGROUND: Beliefs about what happens at the time of death surely affect a patient’s whole dying experience and could help guide end-of-life care. Yet virtually no research describes those beliefs. This exploratory study begins the descriptive process.

METHODS: Assuming culture is key, we interviewed 26 Mexican-American (MA), 18 Euro-American (EA), and 14 African-American (AA) inpatients about their beliefs concerning what happens at the time of death.

RESULTS: One belief, that death separates the dead from the living, was widespread. Majorities of all 3 ethnic group samples and of 5 of the 6 gender subsamples expressed this belief, saying the dead “go” or “leave” from this life. Other beliefs differed by ethnic group or gender. For example, more EAs (50%) than others said death is a momentary event, and more MAs (35%) than others said death involves “being taken” by an external force (always God or Jesus). Furthermore, considerably more EA women (45%) than others said some senses persist after death. In contrast, the physiologic signs that participants cited as defining the exact time of death varied from individual to individual with no ethnic or gender pattern, and no one sign predominated.

CONCLUSIONS: A few beliefs about what happens at the time of death may characterize Americans in general; many other beliefs may characterize only certain ethnic groups, genders, or individuals. To identify such beliefs and to use them to guide end-of-life care, hospitalists and other health professionals may have to elicit them directly from patients or survivors.
TABLE 1. Characteristics of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean: 63</td>
<td>Mean: 63</td>
<td>Mean: 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standard deviation: ±6.5</td>
<td>±8.4</td>
<td>±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion, %</td>
<td>Roman Catholic: 77</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protestant: 15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other: 8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>Median: 7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interquartile range: 3–11</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>10–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently married, %</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

experienced the deaths of others had already prompted these older inpatients to think about death.11

Admission logs from 2 San Antonio, Texas, hospitals identified all patients, aged 50 to 79, who were admitted over a 9-month period for any of 10 common internal medicine diagnoses. From these logs we selected interviewees by “purposive” sampling, a non-statistical technique that ensured adequate participant numbers by ethnic group and gender.15,16 We invited patients to interview only after their primary physicians gave permission.

Sixty of 65 participants who began interviews completed them, and 58 of the 60 could be classified into 1 of the 3 ethnic groups.14 These 58, who produced saturation for all themes mentioned by more than 5% of participants, constituted our analysis sample. Participants included 26 MAs (14 men, 12 women), 18 EAs (7 men, 11 women), and 14 AAs (7 men, 7 women). The most prevalent admitting diagnoses were congestive heart failure (19 participants), angina (17 participants), and pneumonia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (5 participants each). The 3 ethnic group samples had similar mean ages but differed in other ways (Table 1). MAs were typically Roman Catholic, educated through grade 7, and married; EAs were divided between Roman Catholic and Protestant, educated through grade 12, and mostly unmarried; and AAs were nearly all Protestant, educated through grade 11, and mostly unmarried. The genders within each ethnic group sample were similar by age, religion, and education (data not shown). AA men and women were also similar by marital status. However, MAs and EAs had more men than women who were married, and more women than men who were widowed.

Two trained, bilingual women—1 MA and 1 EA, not specifically matched to participants by ethnic group—used the schedule of questions to interview participants. The interviews usually took place 3 days after admission, involved one-on-one engage-
report representative quotes to illustrate the depth and richness of participant responses.

The study complied with all institutional review board requirements.

RESULTS
Most participants in all 3 ethnic group samples named a parent as the closest person to them to have died (Table 2). Among these participants, MAs named their mothers overwhelmingly, but EAs and AAs named their mothers and fathers nearly equally. Other participants named siblings, children, other relatives, or friends. Of 13 widowed participants, only 4 named their spouses.

Thirty-nine participants—20 MAs, 13 EAs, and 6 AAs—described the closest person’s time of death as either momentary (typically less than a minute) or prolonged (longer than a few minutes). More EAs described it as momentary than as prolonged (50% vs 22%). One EA woman said, “We were right outside [the hospital room when my father suffered his cardiac arrest]. We knew, when the alarm went off on the heart monitor, it was … the last time we’d see him alive.” In contrast, MAs and AAs split roughly equally between describing death as momentary or as prolonged (MAs: 35% and 42%, respectively; AAs: 21% for both).

The ethnic group samples also differed about harm from treatments the closest person had received when dying. Of participants who specified such treatments, disproportionately more AAs (4 of 6) than MAs (0 of 5) or EAs (1 of 8) said those treatments had caused the person to suffer at the time of death. Recalling the prolonged resuscitation efforts on his father, one AA man said that the doctors “were trying to keep him alive … I said, ‘Don’t put his body through that.’”

Many participants went on to describe their beliefs about what happens at the time of death, about the physiologic signs that define that time, and about the senses that persist after death.

Beliefs about What Happens at the Time of Death
Because words embody meaning, the synonyms used for “death,” “dying,” or “dead” give clues to people’s beliefs about what happens at death.18 Fifty-three participants (91%) used such synonyms. Reflecting a sense of separation, the most prevalent synonyms implied movement of the dead away from this life and the living (Table 3). Forty-six participants (79%) used one of these synonyms. Two, “goes” and “leaves,” definitely implied movement but not necessarily due to an external force (theme 3A). Thirty-two participants (55%)—including majorities of all 3 ethnic group samples (range, 54%-57%) and of 5 of 6 gender subsamples (range, 55%-64%, except for 42% for MA women)—used at least one of these terms. An EA man, for example, described death as “leav[ing] this life, and … go[ing] to the next.” Other synonyms, variations on “passes” (theme 3B), may have

---

**TABLE 2. Characteristics of Closest Person to Participant To Have Died**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mexican Americans*</th>
<th>Euro-Americans*</th>
<th>African Americans*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relationship of closest person to participant</td>
<td>(n = 26)</td>
<td>(n = 18)</td>
<td>(n = 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closest person’s death was…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentary</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant mentioned medical treatment that closest person received at time of death</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant believed medical treatment caused closest person to suffer at time of death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are percentages within ethnic groups. The African Americans’ percentages for the “relationship of closest person to participant” add to over 100% due to rounding. Relationships of “other” closest persons included 4 brothers, 4 friends, 3 sons, 2 grandmothers, 2 aunts, a sister, a daughter, a grandson, an uncle, a cousin, a father-in-law, a sister-in-law, and nobody (once). “Momentary” means less than a few minutes; “prolonged” means greater than a few minutes. Percentages add to less than 100% because some subjects did not give an opinion.

---

**TABLE 3. Synonyms for “Death”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Dying Person…</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mexican Americans (26 Total: 14 Men, 12 Women) (%)*</th>
<th>Euro-Americans (18 Total: 7 Men, 11 Women) (%)*</th>
<th>African Americans (14 Total: 7 Men, 7 Women) (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. “Goes” or “leaves”</td>
<td>All participants</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men alone</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women alone</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. “Passes on or away”</td>
<td>All participants</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men alone</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women alone</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. “Is taken”</td>
<td>All participants</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men alone</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women alone</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are percentages within the groups designated in the second column from the left. Percentages for like participants—all participants, men alone, or women alone—add to more than 100% whenever some participants used more than 1 expression, and add to less than 100% when some participants used none of the expressions.
also implied movement. Large minorities of all ethnic group samples (range, 38%-44%) and gender subsamples (range, 29%-50%) used at least one of these terms. As an MA woman said, "[W]e are born, and we die....[W]e stay here a while, [and then] pass through...to the other side." Still other synonyms, variations on "taken" (theme 3C), definitely implied that an external force actively removes the dead from this life. Notably more MAs than EAs or AAs used one of these terms (35% vs 6%, and 21%, respectively). All participants who did attributed the external force to God or Jesus. "We're here on borrowed time," one MA man explained. "When God tells you [that] you gotta leave...[t]hat's when you die. He is going to take your soul."

Less prevalent synonyms for "death" carried no implication of movement and fell into 2 groups. One group included terms such as "sleeping" or "resting" that implied relief from life's struggles. Modest minorities of all ethnic group samples (range, 14%-19%) and of 5 of the 6 gender subsamples (range, 17%-29%, except for 0% for AA women) used such terms. Recalling her sister's death after a long illness, one MA man said, "[H]er soul went...to heaven, and she's resting." The second group included terms about being "lost" to the living. Modest minorities of MAs and EAs (15% and 11%, respectively), but no AAs, used these terms. One MA woman remembered her daughter's death in childbirth, saying, Her death "took part of my life away...[but] my grandson...lost his mother."

Beliefs about the Physiologic Signs That Define the Time of Death

Twenty-three participants (40%) specified physiologic signs they believed define this time (Table 4). Nineteen participants did so referring to hospital deaths; 4 participants, to home deaths. More EAs than MAs or AAs specified at least one such sign (67% vs 23% and 36%, respectively).

Seventeen of the 23 participants cited only one sign each as defining the time of death; the other 6 participants cited multiple signs. Overall, 8 physiologic signs were cited, but none predominated in any ethnic or gender group. The most common signs were cessation of breathing (theme 4A, 8 participants), cessation of heartbeat (theme 4B, 7 participants), and cooling of the body (theme 4C, 6 participants). Illustrating these signs in order, one AA woman said, "Once that breath is going out of the body...[a person's] already dead;" an MA man said, "My mother died...as her heart monitor kept going down little by little;" and an EA woman explained, "I didn't feel the coldness of [my dead mother in the coffin]...she didn't belong there...If e l t like she was asleep. I was telling her to get up." Interestingly, no AAs cited cooling of the body as a sign defining the time of death. Furthermore, only 4 participants, all of whom described in-hospital deaths, cited coma or other severe brain dysfunction as such a sign.

Beliefs about Senses Persisting after Death

Of the 29 participants (50%) who expressed opinions on this topic (Table 5), 17 said the senses definitely
or possibly persist after death (theme 5A), and 12 said they definitely do not (theme 5B). Prevalences of these contrasting beliefs differed little within ethnic group or gender samples with one exception: More EA women said the senses definitely or possibly persist after death than said they do not (45% vs 9%).

The senses mentioned most often as persisting after death were sight (8 participants), hearing (7 participants), and touch (7 participants). Only 1 participant mentioned smell, and none mentioned taste. Some participants associated persistent senses with the dead person’s spirit; other participants associated them with the body. All who mentioned sight associated it with the spirit. As one MA woman explained, at death the soul “is not in the body but ... lingers above ... [watching] to see how the family takes [the death].” Among those who mentioned hearing, more associated it with the spirit than with the body. Associating hearing with the spirit, one MA man said, “[W]hen I had my aneurysm ... I died three times ... I could hear music that had never been heard. It wasn’t in the room ... like somebody was calling me.” In contrast, an AA man insisted his dead father’s “body could hear ... you.” Unlike either sight or hearing, touch was associated more often with the body than with the spirit. When asked about practicing invasive procedures on the cadaver, an EA man exclaimed, “[If the doctors] hurt the guy that’s dead, he ain’t going to holler. ... They wouldn’t know they hurt him. ... [They’re] liable to get back too far and hit the bone.”

The large percentages of both Protestants and Roman Catholics among MAs and EAs allowed us to check religion as a potential alternative explanation for differences between ethnic groups. We found only one possible instance: In both ethnic group samples, about 50% of Protestants but only about 15% of Roman Catholics described death as momentary.

DISCUSSION

Beliefs about what happens at death surely affect the whole dying experience1 and may help guide end-of-life care. Yet for all the research on dying, the health professions literatures contain virtually no studies describing such beliefs.19 This exploratory study begins the descriptive process.

The results suggest a taxonomy—however provisional—for those beliefs (Table 6). Occasional beliefs, such as the one that death separates the dead from the living, may be held by many Americans and thereby characterize American society in general. Other beliefs may characterize only particular American ethnic groups or genders. Ethnically based beliefs may include, for MAs, the belief that death occurs when an external force, specifically God or Jesus, “takes” the dead person away; for EAs, the beliefs that death occurs in less than a minute and that physiologic signs define the time of death; and, for AAs, the belief that cooling of the body never defines the time of death. A gender-based belief may be the belief of EA women that some senses persist after death. Still other beliefs may be idiosyncratic, varying among individuals without a demographic pattern. Idiosyncratic beliefs may include which particular physiologic sign defines the time of death.
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The reader must consider these results in light of the study’s assumptions, weaknesses, and strengths. Two assumptions were key: that participants expressed themselves fully (despite the difficulty of articulating such beliefs), and that the content analysts interpreted them accurately. Study weaknesses included possible conditioning of responses through prior interview questions, incomplete responsiveness about certain themes, nongeneralizability beyond these sample groups due to the purposive sampling, and educational and marital status differences as possible alternative explanations for the results. Important study strengths included the clinically important topic; the ill, older participants who had already faced death for themselves or others; the pretested, bilingual interview schedule; the open-ended questions allowing participants to express beliefs in their own words; and the rigorous content analysis.

While indicating directions for future research, our results also provide several useful lessons for current end-of-life care. First, hospitalists and other health professionals who attend the dying must not assume they can accurately predict the beliefs of patients or survivors about what happens at the time of death. Many beliefs that participants expressed here neither we nor the health professionals to whom we have presented the results could have imagined beforehand. Health professionals simply cannot expect patients and survivors to hold the same beliefs as they. Furthermore, while some beliefs may characterize certain demographic groups in general, large idiosyncratic variation within groups compromises many demographically based predictions of particular individuals’ beliefs. Thus, health professionals can probably learn such beliefs only by eliciting them individual by individual. Admittedly awkward, the necessary inquiries demand courage and patience, but, when done well, may help prepare all for the death.

Second, these inquiries require health professionals to cultivate techniques for eliciting people’s beliefs sensitively and accurately. For example, health professionals might ask questions using the same terms for death (such as “goes,” “passes,” or “rests”) that the patient or survivors use. This technique encourages open expression of beliefs by assuring people that health professionals are listening carefully. Still, professionals must guard against misunderstandings that such terms may create—particularly by giving false hope or ignoring sad realities.

Third, armed with knowledge of patient and survivor beliefs, health professionals should tailor perimortem care accordingly. For example, because many people suffer pangs of separation at a death, health professionals should address those feelings expressly. Doing so may promote closure for the grieving. Health professionals should also attend to beliefs such as those about the signs and duration of the time of death. As this study showed, different people may time death by different physiologic signs. The patient with a warm body but no heartbeat may be simultaneously alive to someone who sees cooling of the body as defining the time of death, and dead to someone else who sees cessation of heartbeat as doing so. Unfortunately, certain perimortem procedures, such as harvesting organs for transplantation, moving the body to the morgue, or performing an autopsy, require declaring death unambiguously at one specific time. When differences may exist over the signs or duration of death, the best way to avoid agonizing arguments or decisional paralysis when death occurs is to articulate any differences beforehand and to resolve them with a mutually acceptable management plan.

Health professionals should also honor survivor beliefs about sentence of the dead. Unlike differences over signs and duration of death, differences over sentence of the dead may often be accommodated without definitive resolution. For example, although health professionals should treat any body respectfully, they must make special efforts to handle the dead body gently in case survivors believe it can still feel pain.

CONCLUSION

A patient’s death is a climactic event for patient, survivors, and health professionals alike. It warrants careful management. Personal beliefs about what happens at death surely affect how patients anticipate it and what survivors remember of it. Compassionate perimortem care, therefore, must address those beliefs. Yet demographic characteristics such as ethnic group or gender offer only limited clues to such beliefs, making health professionals elicit them directly. Discussions with patients and survivors about these beliefs are bound to create emotional discomfort for everybody, but health professionals may dispel much of it by demonstrating a sincere commitment to personalized end-of-life care, showing respect for the beliefs of patients and survivors, and accommodating those beliefs whenever possible. The result may be the best of all possible outcomes—sensitive, respectful, and compassionate care for patients and survivors, and rewarding caregiving experiences for health professionals.

Acknowledgments

Charles Cavazos provided computer support, and Susan Bagby helped edit the manuscript. The authors presented these data at the annual meeting of the Southern Region, Society of General Internal Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, March 2006; the Eighth Annual David C. Thomasma International Bioethics Retreat, Freijs University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, June 2006; the Fifth International Conference on Clinical Ethics and Ethics Consultation, Taichung, Taiwan, March 2009; and the annual conference of the European Association of Centres of Medical Ethics, Venice, Italy, September 2009. The data were also accepted for presentation at the national meeting, Society of General Internal Medicine, Los Angeles, California, April 2006.

This work was supported with resources from the Audie L. Murphy Veterans Administration Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas, but the
views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

The following institutions helped fund the research either directly or indirectly: The Department of Veterans Affairs; The John A. Hartford Foundation; the American Federation on Aging Research; and the Mexican-American Medical Treatment Effectiveness Research Center, the Aging Research and Education Center, and the Medical Dean's Office, all at The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. Funders played no role in the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or the preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript. The authors have no financial conflict of interests.

References