A Novel Configuration of a Traditional Rapid Response Team Decreases Non–Intensive Care Unit Arrests and Overall Hospital Mortality
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BACKGROUND: In-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest (CPA) accounts for substantial morbidity and mortality. Rapid response teams (RRTs) are designed to prevent non-intensive care unit (ICU) CPA through early detection and intervention. However, existing evidence has not consistently demonstrated a clear benefit.

OBJECTIVE: To explore the effectiveness of a novel RRT program design to decrease non-ICU CPA and overall hospital mortality.

METHODS: This study was conducted from the start of fiscal year 2005 to 2011. In November 2007, our hospitals implemented RRTs as part of a novel resuscitation program. Charge nurses from each inpatient unit underwent training as unit-specific RRT members. Additionally, all inpatient staff received annual training in RRT concepts including surveillance and recognition of deterioration. We compared the incidence of ICU and non-ICU CPA from first complete preimplementation year 2006 to postimplementation years 2007 to 2011. Overall hospital mortality was also reported.

RESULTS: The incidence of non-ICU CPA decreased, whereas the incidence of ICU CPA remained unchanged. Overall hospital mortality also decreased (2.12% to 1.74%, P < 0.001). The year-over-year change in RRT activations was inversely related to the change in Code Blue activations for each inpatient unit (r = −0.68, P < 0.001).

CONCLUSION: Our novel RRT program was associated with a decreased incidence of non-ICU CPA and improved hospital mortality. Journal of Hospital Medicine 2015; 10:352–357. © 2015 Society of Hospital Medicine

Cardiopulmonary arrest (CPA) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, both in the out-of-hospital environment as well as the inpatient setting.1,2 Unlike the out-of-hospital environment, inpatient CPA is unique in that healthcare providers are present during the prearrest period. In theory, this allows the opportunity to intervene and potentially prevent arrest. However, multiple investigators have demonstrated that the vast majority of inpatient CPA victims demonstrate abnormal vital signs prior to arrest without antecedent therapeutic intervention.3–5

Rapid response teams (RRTs) were created to institutionalize the response to at-risk patients based on chief complaint or vital sign abnormalities. Early evaluation by a critical care team and initiation of appropriate therapy based on defined activation criteria should prevent deterioration in a substantial portion of patients at risk for CPA. Unfortunately, RRTs have not consistently demonstrated improved outcomes on the incidence of CPA and hospital mortality.6–14 Although some investigators have reported a decrease in non-intensive care unit (ICU) arrests, it has been posited that this finding appears to be highly associated with either an increase in ICU arrests or more aggressive “do not attempt resuscitation” (DNAR) orders.8

Another potential explanation is an absence of training models that focus on the primary inpatient healthcare providers who are directly responsible for the “afferent” portion of an RRT program. Here we describe our experience with a novel RRT curriculum, in which unit managers (ie, charge nurse) play an essential role, and substantial education is directed toward primary responders such as bedside nurses and respiratory therapists. This approach is implemented through our novel resuscitation curriculum, which represents a comprehensive approach to inpatient resuscitation management built around critical links between continuous quality improvement (CQI) data, training, and special initiatives.

METHODS

Setting
This study was conducted in 2 urban university hospitals totaling approximately 500 medical/surgical beds.
starting fiscal year June 2005 through June 2011. Beds in the emergency department were not included. The primary medical center is comprised of 392 inpatient beds, whereas the sister campus consists of 119 inpatient beds. Waiver of informed consent was granted from our investigational review board. In 2007, our hospitals implemented the advanced resuscitation training (ART) program as an alternative to Advanced Cardiac Life Support and Basic Life Support. The ART program at the University of California San Diego consists of 5 key components: an institutional algorithm for arrest and nonarrest resuscitation, annual advanced resuscitation training for inpatient providers, the RRT as described below, and advanced defibrillators (Zoll E Series; Zoll Corp, Chelmsford, MA). By end of postimplementation year 1, all inpatient providers were required to have undergone training.

In November 2007, the RRT was initiated and is comprised of a dedicated critical care nurse and respiratory therapist. The third member of the team is the unit charge nurse who is not a dedicated primary responder but rather acts only if the response is activated in their specific unit. As part of our curriculum, it is the responsibility of the charge nurse on each inpatient unit to conduct “rounds” on at-risk patients throughout each shift. Additionally, each inpatient provider undergoes several hours of RRT education on patient surveillance and the recognition of deterioration as part of annual training in our novel hospital-wide resuscitation curriculum. The content of this training is frequently modified based on institutional CQI data. Instructors include critical care physicians and designated Code Blue/RRT nurses with extensive training and exposure to our novel curriculum. A conceptual model is used to present RRT activation criteria, with specific parameters provided as a guide (see Supporting Table 1 in the online version of this article). The Code Blue physician leader is available to the primary RRT responders based on their initial assessment. Emergency standing orders allow the RRT nurse to implement particular therapies under institutional protocols.

Data Collection

Data from all inpatient Code Blue and RRT activations are entered into an electronic CQI database by the responding nurse. Rapid response data include the etiology or “chief complaint” for the activation, relevant clinical findings, therapeutic interventions, disposition, and duration of the response. Additional clinical data, including a comprehensive process of classification and targeted CQI data collection, are provided by a dedicated resuscitation CQI team. Outcomes are obtained from the electronic patient care record and from hospital admissions so that all events can be normalized to patient discharge volume.

Data Analysis

To evaluate the effectiveness of the RRT, we compared the yearly incidence of non-ICU CPA (per 1000 patient discharges) on all units starting fiscal year July 2005 through June 2011. Hospital discharge and mortality data were available after July 2006, whereas complete Code Blue activation data were available starting fiscal year July 2005. The incidence of ICU
arrests was also determined to assess the RRT impact on the ICU and overall hospital mortality. The number and year-over-year change in RRT versus Code Blue activations for each individual inpatient unit starting November (quarter [Q] 3) 2007 through 2011 were compared using linear regression and described by Pearson correlation coefficient.\textsuperscript{15} Patient acuity over the course of observation was monitored hospital wide through case mix index (CMI).\textsuperscript{16–18} StatsDirect (StatsDirect Software Inc., Ashwell, UK) statistical software was used for all comparisons. \(P\) values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Starting preimplementation year 2006 through post-implementation years 2007 to 2011, the incidence of non-ICU CPA decreased from 2.7 to 1.1 arrests per 1000 discharges (\(P < 0.0001\)). The incidence of ICU CPA remained unchanged following program implementation (\(P = 0.532\)) (Figure 1). Overall hospital mortality also decreased over the study period 2006 to 2011 (2.12\%–1.74\%, \(P < 0.001\)) (Figure 2). Overall hospital CMI for fiscal years 2005/2006 through 2011/2012 were significantly different (1.47 vs 1.67, \(P < 0.0001\)). No difference was observed in the pre- and postimplementation period likelihood of DNAR status among nonsurvivors with initial return of spontaneous circulation at the time of CPA resuscitation (76\% vs 75\%, \(P = 0.841\)).

Starting fiscal year 2005 to 2011, there were a total of 546 total CPAs with 247 non-ICU CPAs observed between both hospital systems. Since its implementation at Q3 of 2007, a total of 1729 RRT activations throughout all inpatient areas were observed through 2011. The overall relationship between Code Blue activations starting July 2005 and the RRT since its implementation is displayed in Figure 3. No relationship was detected between the number of Code Blue and RRT activations on each unit (\(r = 0.17\), \(P = 0.242\)). However, the year-over-year (fiscal years 2007/2008–2008/2009) change in RRT activations for

\[\text{FIG. 2. Overall hospital mortality over the study period 2006 to 2011 (2.12\% to 1.74\%, } P < 0.001\). Arrow indicates time of rapid response team implementation.\]

\[\text{FIG. 3. Overall Code Blue and rapid response team (RRT) activations over time (per 1000 admissions) at both participating hospitals. Code Blue activations starting July 2005 through September 2012 include arrest patients only and exclude cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) en route to emergency department and pediatric patients. Upper confidence limit (UCL) and lower confidence limit (LCL) are presented. Overall cardiac arrest mean and linear cardiac arrest per 1000 patients discharges are also shown.}\]
each unit was inversely related to the change in Code Blue activations; the individual units with an increase in RRT activations experienced a decrease in Code Blue activations, and units with a decrease in RRT activations experienced an increase in Code Blue activations ($r = -0.68$, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 4).

The time per RRT activation appeared to stabilize after the first program year, whereas the number of RRT activations per month has increased over time. The RRT activation etiologies based on chief complaint reported to the RRT nurse are displayed in Figure 5. Only 3% of activations resulted in no intervention; most of these represented seizures that resolved prior to RRT arrival or syncope episodes for noninpatients. The most common interventions were airway management (27%), fluid therapy (18%), and respiratory treatments (15%). The vast majority of patients (99%) survived the RRT response. A total of 56% of RRT activations resulted in disposition to a higher level of care (43% upgraded to ICU status, 13% upgraded to intermediate care unit status), whereas the remaining 44% of patients stayed on their original unit.

DISCUSSION

One of the primary rationales for hospital admission is the ability to observe and monitor patients to identify deterioration and prevent CPA. Thus, the failure of RRT programs to consistently demonstrate improvements in overall hospital mortality is somewhat perplexing. Here we present 4 years of data starting at the initiation of our RRT program. During our period of observation, we noted a significant inverse relationship between the activations of the RRT and incidence of CPA. Additionally, we noticed a significant decrease in non-ICU arrests and in overall hospital mortality that appears to be associated with initiation of our novel RRT (Figures 1 and 2, respectively). In postintervention year 1, the unadjusted implementation of our RRT was associated with approximately 57% of the improvement of in-hospital mortality. We noted a decrease of non-ICU mortality from 45 deaths in fiscal year 2006 to 2007 to 21 deaths in fiscal year 2007 to 2008. Additionally, the number of non-ICU Code Blue activations also declined during this period, from 56 to 34, with a survival to hospital discharge rate of 38% (fiscal year 2007 to 2008). In the second full year postimplementation, the activation of the RRT appears to be associated with a similar amount of reduction in in-hospital mortality (52%) (see Supporting Table 2 in the online version of this article). We do not believe that initiation of our novel RRT accounts for all the variance in reduction of overall mortality. However, we posit that much of our success likely reflects our unique combined approach to a multifaceted life-support training curriculum. Finally, though not statistically investigated, RRT activation etiology appears to be relatively
uniform with respiratory, suspected cardiovascular, and clinical intuition accounting for a large majority of activations through the study period (Figure 5).

Multiple potential explanations exist to account for the lack of outcomes data to fully support RRT programs, with a failure to follow published RRT activation guidelines listed as a key factor.\textsuperscript{7,8,19} It is unclear whether this reflects inconsistent activation protocols, as many hospitals lack specific published guidelines, whereas others may have more rigid protocols. Another consideration is possible reluctance to activate a specialized team by primary in-hospital caregivers due in part to intimidation or a lack of specific training. Interestingly, the routine presence of a physician with RRT activations has been postulated to be inhibitory in this regard and result in critical delays to resuscitative care.\textsuperscript{20–22}

The vast majority of our RRT activations survived the initial response. This is an important metric not only for determining the competency of RRT providers in initiating therapies but also reflects the willingness of inpatient staff to activate RRT early in the course of a patient’s deterioration, as delayed activation has been previously associated with increased mortality.\textsuperscript{23–25} The relatively high RRT survival rate could be interpreted as reflecting some degree of “overactivation.” Of note, based upon hospital CMI, overall patient acuity appeared to continuously increase during and after the observation period. However, the incidence of RRT activations in which no therapies were initiated was extremely low, and more than half of patients were transferred to a higher level of care. We posit that benchmarking such metrics in the future may help institutions guide their resuscitation programs.

Our current RRT configuration of a dedicated critical care trained nurse and respiratory therapist plus unit manager (ie, charge nurse) is a departure from the traditional RRT historically consisting of a dedicated critical care trained nurse and/or respiratory therapist, and physician(s).\textsuperscript{26} Perhaps more important than team configuration is our novel concept of individual unit managers regularly rounding on their own most “at-risk” patients, which may add a layer of familiarity and increased likelihood of identifying even subtle changes associated with eventual decompensation. Unfortunately, we did not assess the charge nurse decision-making process regarding RRT activation. This approach differs from Gerdik et al., who have demonstrated a positive association with the ability of the patient and/or family to activate the RRT.\textsuperscript{27} Though similar, our approach also differs from the strategy of a dedicated RRT nurse rounding on “high risk” patients identified through physician and nurse surveys that have also shown a significant reduction in admission deaths.\textsuperscript{26,28,29} Although the strategies may differ on the specific “team members” initiating the deployment of the RRT, what they appear to have in common is the proactive component of the identification of at-risk patients. Additionally, we employ an annual RRT educational seminar for potential primary responders including bedside nurses, respiratory therapists, and physical therapists. Our novel resuscitation program and RRT education allows modulation of the life-support curriculum to emphasize the importance of early recognition and response to at-risk patients based upon our activation criteria and evaluation of activation trends (see Supporting Table 1 in the online version of this article). Finally, the presence of the charge nurse as part of the RRT is important, not only as part of the afferent arm of the program but also to enhance unit responsibility for detecting deterioration. It is our belief that an aggressive resuscitation CQI program with efferent links to unit managers amplifies the perception of ownership by primary providers and enhances the “culture of resuscitation.”

A lack of understanding as to the etiology of CPA in the inpatient environment may also limit the effectiveness of protocol and monitoring strategies. Our current resuscitation program places great emphasis on the taxonomy of our in-hospital cardiac arrests and classifying inpatient events to help guide CQI efforts. These classifications provide a scaffolding for life-support education and can result in changes to treatment algorithms or initiate new programs to target particular patient populations. An example includes the implementation of respiratory monitoring strategies in perioperative patients at high risk for obstructive sleep apnea.

Several limitations to this analysis must be considered. The study was not a randomized prospective trial and lacks internal validation. The before-and-after study was limited by the inclusion of only 1 complete preimplementation year (2006), which may have introduced a bias related to the inherent inability to properly evaluate secular trends. As such, this study cannot compare the relative effectiveness of our novel RRT participants and curriculum versus the traditional RRTs previously described.\textsuperscript{26} Additionally, we also excluded data from both the emergency department and operating arena. Both are reported to have overall higher survival rates due to differences in arrest etiology, monitoring, anticipation, and available personnel.\textsuperscript{10,31} We used CMI coefficients to explore the possibility of a decrease in patient acuity during the study. However, we noticed an increasing case-mix coefficient value suggesting higher patient acuity, which would predict increased mortality rather than the decrease we observed.

One must also consider how the introduction of an RRT may increase DNAR orders and subsequently affect overall mortality by artificially lowering it.\textsuperscript{32} Trends in DNAR during our period of observation were not significantly different. However, if an increase in DNAR orders did artificially improve
non-ICU CPA outcomes, one would expect unchanged or increased overall hospital mortality. In contrast, we found improvement in all outcomes including overall hospital mortality (Figure 2).

CONCLUSIONS

Our novel RRT program, with an emphasis on inclusion of non-ICU charge nurses as part of the team and universal RRT education integrated within life support training, appears to be effective at decreasing the incidence of non-ICU CPA and overall hospital mortality.

Disclosure: Nothing to report.
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